

The “AHA” moment

One particularly memorable "aha!" moment occurred during Lou Boudreau's defensive move against Ted Williams. Realizing that Boudreau's choice, which was based on basic observational data, was an early example of a data-driven strategy was fascinating. What struck me was how, when used methodically, even basic, analog observations may have significant impact. The idea is still the same: data improves judgments. Today's models are more complex, using historical data to compute probabilities in real time. This altered my viewpoint by demonstrating that data science begins with an attitude of observation, inquiry, and adaptation rather than always with sophisticated tools. Prior to being codified with algorithms, it reframed data science as a human-centred approach that had its roots in intuition and pattern recognition.

Data Is King (Or is it?)

The application of data science by Major League Baseball is a fantastic illustration of how vast volumes of data, despite their messiness and diversity, can produce tangible outcomes. Rich databases spanning decades are created by recording every pitch, swing, and catch. Managers can use predictive models to optimize batting lineups, defensive positions, and pitch selections thanks to the abundance of data available. Better decisions are fuelled by the sheer volume and diversity of data, which is equally as potent as the computational sophistication. For example, without comprehensive positional and situational data, fielders could not be moved based on a batter's spray

chart history. Although some of it may be noisy or prone to outliers, the general patterns are trustworthy and useful. This demonstrates that complicated models are not necessary for many machine learning applications to be effective.

Humanity in the loop

The problem of overfitting, which occurs when a model learns the noise in the training data rather than the underlying patterns, is one significant machine learning drawback that stood out. Because it results in poor generalization on fresh data, this is a serious problem. The fact that this is a subtle, frequently concealed issue that has the potential to compromise even extremely realistic-looking models is what makes it so fascinating. It emphasizes how crucial human judgment is when creating models. To make sure that models are reliable and significant, data scientists must actively test, validate, and interpret outcomes. To make sure that machine learning is in line with practical aims rather than merely technical measures, I envision humans playing a critical role in the future in data curation, goal definition, and model behavior interpretation.