

# **Sprint 0 Feedback - Campus Connect**

Team Name: Camus Connect

Github: [Final Term Project - Campus Connect](#)

<b>Product.md</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Product Backlog.md</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Setup</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Documentation: README.md</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>User experience</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Presentation quality</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Git Practices and conventional commits</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Total Mark</b>	<b>13</b>

# Product.md

## Max - 36 marks

- Project objectives have been specified clearly (max 5 marks)
  - 5marks = clearly
  - 2 mark = somewhat clearly
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark: 5

Feedback: The project objectives are clearly specified, providing a strong foundation for your project.

- Key users have been identified clearly (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = clearly
  - 1 mark = somewhat clearly
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark: 2

Feedback: The identification of key users is clear and precise, highlighting the target audience effectively.

- Scenarios have been described clearly (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = clearly
  - 2 mark = somewhat clearly
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark: 5

Feedback: The scenarios are well-described, giving a comprehensive understanding of how the users will interact with the system.

- Market research has been done (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = to a high degree
  - 2 mark = to an acceptable degree
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark = 5

Feedback: Really impressed by your primary analysis (surveys) of the market as well as the competitor analysis, good job!

- The idea is feasible and students could realistically finish an MVP during the course of the semester (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = to a high degree
  - 2 mark = to an acceptable degree
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark = 5

Feedback: The project idea is highly feasible, and it seems realistic to achieve an MVP within the semester timeframe.

- The idea is novel, it shows a level of thought and creativity (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = to a high degree
  - 2 mark = to an acceptable degree
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark = 2

Feedback: The idea is novel if implemented in a niche market however the differentiating features might not be unique enough to persuade users to choose this application over its large competitors like varsity tutors.

- The idea has business potential (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = to a high degree
  - 1 mark = to an acceptable degree
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark = 2

Feedback: There is a high degree of business potential in this product.

- Quality of the persona should be measured based on the presence/absence quality of description of the following elements (max 4 marks):
  - Age, personality, personal background
  - Skills, professional background
  - Attitude towards technology, domain, etc.
  - Goals when using the system
    - 4 marks = Two or more high quality personas provided
    - 3 marks = Two personas provided but quality is not very good
    - 2 marks = Only 1 persona provided with good quality
    - 1 mark = One persona of poor quality
    - 0 marks = No personas provided

Your mark: 4

Feedback: The personas are of high quality, providing detailed and useful insights into the target users.

- Relevance to the system (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = Personas are highly relevant to the system
  - 1 mark = Personas are somewhat relevant
  - 0 marks = Not all or no personas are relevant

Your mark: 2

Feedback: The personas are highly relevant to the system, ensuring that the user needs are central to the project.

- Persona Descriptions (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = Appropriate length and is well-written
  - 1 mark = Short and/or with errors
  - 0 marks = No writing or poor quality

Your mark: 2

Feedback: The persona descriptions are well-written and of appropriate length.

- Competition has been identified clearly (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = clearly
  - 1 mark = somewhat clearly
  - 0 marks = not at all

Your mark: 1

Feedback: The competition analysis can be further improved using specific examples of organizations and assessing their target users as well as their most impactful features.

- The definition of done is (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = Relevant to the project and applies to all stories
  - 2 mark = Somewhat relevant and does not apply to all stories
  - 0 marks = Missing or unclear

Your mark: 5

Feedback: The definition of done is relevant and applies to all stories, showing a clear understanding of project completion criteria. You do not need to mention the features in this part.

- Team organization (roles) divided clearly (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = Team organization (roles) are divided clearly
  - 2 mark = Unclear team organization
  - 0 marks = Not completed

Your mark: 5

Feedback: Team organization (roles) are divided clearly.

- Decision-making (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = decision making is described clearly
  - 1 mark = unclear decision making
  - 0 marks = Not completed

Your mark: 2

Feedback: Decision making is described clearly.

- Meetings (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = Meeting plan described clearly

- 1 mark = Description is unclear
- 0 marks = Description is missing; Not completed

Your mark: 2

Feedback: Meeting plan described clearly.

**Product.md Total Mark: 49 / 53**

# Product Backlog.md

(Max 20 marks)

- Sufficient stories created to occupy team for next 4 sprints (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = Excellent
  - 2 mark = Few user stories, clearly not enough to occupy team for release 1
  - 0 marks = No user stories

Your mark: 5

Feedback: Excellent

- Backlog created in JIRA with the correct user story format and definition of done outlined clearly (max 8 marks)
  - 8 marks = Excellent
  - 5 marks = Not all user stories in correct format and missing chunk of details
  - 2 mark = Few user stories, clearly not enough to occupy team for entire project
  - 0 marks = No user stories

Your mark: 5

Feedback: Excellent

- Relevant persona clearly identified for each user story (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = All user stories identify relevant persona
  - 1 mark = Part of the stories do not mention persona
  - 0 marks = No personas mentioned in user stories

Your mark: 2

Feedback: Excellent

- All user stories identify goal/desire and all identify why/benefit (max 2 marks)
  - 2 marks = All user stories identify goals
  - 1 mark = Part of the stories do not identify goals
  - 0 marks = No user story identifies goals

Your mark: 2

Feedback: All user stories identify goals

- Writing (max 3 marks)
  - 3 marks = Writing is very clear for all stories
  - 1.5 mark = Writing is not clear for some stories
  - 0 marks = Writing is poor and with errors

Your mark: 3

Feedback: Writing is very clear for all stories

**Product Backlog.md Total Mark: 20 / 20**

## Setup

(max 5 marks)

- Frontend
  - 2.5 mark = Frontend installation and configuration are outlined properly
  - 0 marks = Frontend installation and configuration are not provided
- Backend
  - 2.5 mark = Backend installation and configuration are outlined properly
  - 0 marks = Backend installation and configuration are not outlined properly

Feedback: Backend Installation not outlined properly.

**Setup Total Mark: 2.5 / 5**



## Documentation: README.md

(max 3 marks)

- Motivation and Project Description
  - 1 mark = Short detailed description of motivation behind the project and why it exists
  - 0 marks = Motivation is missing or has grammar errors/typos

Your mark: 1

- Installation for your Software/System
  - 1 mark = Instructions for the installation process are clear and concise, with provided commands.
  - 0 marks = No instructions or installation section has grammar errors/typos

Your mark: 0

Feedback: Installation instructions are incomplete.

- Contribution
  - 1 mark = Contribution process is well-explained, allowing contributors to easily get on board
  - 0 marks = Contribution is missing or has grammar errors/typos

Your mark: 1

**README.md Total Mark: 2 / 3**

## User experience

(max 10 marks)

- User experience is logically related to scenarios (from product.md) (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = Relates well
  - 2 mark = Somewhat related
  - 0 marks = Missing or not related

Your mark: 5

- Graphic representation is clear and represents well intended user interface elements (max 5 marks)
  - 5 marks = Clear and well done
  - 2 mark = Not very clear,
  - 0 marks = missing or completely unclear

Your mark: 2

Feedback: Overall graphic representation can be improved by using realistic styling components, padding, elements from style libraries or CSS components that are planned to be implemented. The current UI isn't the most conducive for either user type.

**User experience Total Mark: 7 / 10**

## **Presentation quality**

(max 5 marks)

- 5 marks = Documentation done very clearly and presented in a very well organized way
- 3 mark = presented in somewhat clear way
- 1.5 mark = Not very clear
- 0 marks = missing or completely unclear

**Presentation quality Total Mark: 3 / 5**

## Git Practices and conventional commits

(max 4 marks)

- 4 marks = Set up and followed git flow, squashed and merged into main, used conventional commits
- 2 marks = Set up and followed git flow, squashed and merged into main
- 0 marks = Improper git practices used and overpopulated commit history

**Git Convention Total Mark: 0 / 4**

## Total Mark

83.5 / 100

Feedback: Great job overall! Market research, UI, and readmes can be improved upon however, your product description work is done really well!