Bio-Efficacy of Phytotherapeutic Substances Against *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum* Affecting Cucumber in Polyhouse under Protected Cultivation

JAYDEEP PATIL, ANIL KUMAR, SAROJ YADAV, S.R. GOEL AND A.K. BHATIA*

Department of Nematology, *Department of Vegetable Sciences, College of Agriculture, CCS HAU Hisar 125004 Haryana, India. *Corresponding author: Email: rajhau99@gmail.com

Received on 07-12-2018 and Accepted on 18-12-2018

ABSTRACT: The nematicidal effect of neem seed kernel extract (NSKE), neem, aak and castor leaves was tested against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*. Under the polyhouse conditions, all the treatments reduced the disease severity and enhanced the plant growth as compared to untreated inoculated check. Application of neem seed kernel extract (NSKE) was more effective as compared to neem leaves. Plant height, dry shoot weight, dry root weight, were significantly reduced as a results of infection with *M. incognita* and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*. However, application of phytotherapeutic substances recovered this reduction. Moreover, they enhanced the growth parameters viz., plant height, dry shoot weight, dry root weight compared to control. Our results proved that application of different phytotherapeutic substances, neem seed kernel extract (NSKE), neem, aak and castor leaves not only had a suppressive effect on the root knot nematode, but also enhanced the plant growth parameters, supplying nutritional elements to the plants. Among phytotherapeutic substances, *A. indica* seed kernel extracts were found to be most effective in suppressing galling and final population in soil and fungus wilt incidence after 30th day of germination followed by mustard and aak leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check.

Keywords: Meloidogyne spp., cucumber, neem seed kernel extract, neem leaves, aak leaves and castor leaves, fungus

Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) is widely cultivated crop in the gourd family Cucurbitaceae grown all over the world due to a good source of vitamins, minerals, fiber and roughages (Weng, 2011). Though in the polyhouses, crops are grown under protected conditions, yet they are not so protected. Polyhouse cultivation involves intensive cultivation of crops, optimum use of fertilizers and frequent use of irrigation. But due to continuous growing of the same crop with high day temperature and relative humidity within the greenhouse, polyhouse and low tunnel along with poor plant hygienic conditions inside and outside the greenhouse increase problem of soil borne pests and diseases including plant parasitic nematodes (Minuto et al., 2006) which results in the availability of ideal conditions for the growth and multiplication of these pests.

Under polyhouse cultivation, crops are attacked by a number of pests and diseases including nematodes which interfere with the successful cultivation under protected conditions ((Hanafi and Papasolomontos, 1999; Greco and Esmenjaud, 2004). Among the nematodes, root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne spp.) is the most damaging under polyhouse conditions, parasitizing almost all the polyhouses crops (Sharma et al., 2007). A frequency of occurrence of root-knot nematode under protected conditions was recorded to be 63.15% and population density range was 30-10000 j2/200cc soil (Patil et al. 2017). The damage becomes very severe in association with fungi (Abawi 1998). Though, yield loss due to this nematode is difficult to predict, approximate yield loss due to this nematode has been predicted by many authors in various crops (Kruger 2007). Another important biotic stress to which the crop exposed is the fungus, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*.

Generally, root knot nematode-fungus complex is considered to be one of the important factors responsible for the reduced crop production under field and polyhouse conditions. However, very little work has been done on the management of nematode-fungus disease complex in cucumber under polyhouses conditions. This research proposed to study the management nematode-fungus disease complex in cucumber under protected conditions by using different phytotherapeutic substances.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pure culture of root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* was maintained in the pots planted with tomato in the Nematology Laboratory. Fresh J2 were obtained from the eggs isolated from the infected roots and used in the experiment as required.

Pure culture of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*, isolated from the infested plants during random survey of polyhouses was maintained on PDA in petriplates at $27\pm1\,^{\circ}$ C. In order to produce mass-culturing pure culture of the fungus was grown on sand maize meal medium (700gm sand + maize meal 300gm + 150ml distilled water). The flasks and polypropylene bags were incubated in a BOD incubator at a temperature of $27\pm1\,^{\circ}$ C for 15 days. During incubation, the flasks were shaken three times in a day, to ensure proper growth of the fungal mycelium on the sand maize meal medium.

Experimental procedure

Experiment was conducted in polyhouse (26.7±3°C, 73.5 % ± 11% Relative Humidity and 0.918 kPa) in earthen pots (18 cm diameter) filled with a mixture of autoclaved sandy loam soil (sand 70%, silt 22% and clay 8%, pH7.5). Sterilized autoclaved soil was filled in pots (1 kg capacity). Autoclaved soil was subsequently infested with root-knot nematode (1000 J₂/kg soil) and fungus (50g/kg soil). Uninoculated pots and nematode + fungus inoculated pots served as controls. Chopped leaves of neem, aak, castor and neem seed kernel powder each @ 20 & 30 g/pot. Carbofuran 0.1 g/pot and

Bavistin 2 g/1 water were used as chemical treatments. A waiting period of ten days was given before sowing for decomposition of leaves. Each pot was sown with cucumber cv. sania 5 seeds per pot. One plant per pot was retained after 30 days. The experiment was terminated 60 days after germination. Each treatment was replicated four times in a completely randomized block design during the months of April to June, 2015 in the polyhouse under protected conditions.

Evaluations were performed 60 days after sowing on plant growth parameters (shoot length, fresh and dry shoot and root weight). Observations were recorded on the root population, number of galls per plant, number of egg masses per plant, final nematode population per 200cc soil. Per cent wilt incidence due to fungus was also assessed. The data were subjected to one or two factorial Completely Randomized Design (CRD) using OPSTAT programme available on-line at CCS HAU, Hisar University website (www.hau.ernet.in).

RESULTS

Effect of different treatments on the plant growth parameters

The data (Table 1) indicated that shoot length in all the treatments were significantly better over untreated inoculated checks, nematode alone, fungus alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously. Among the various treatments, maximum shoot length was observed in neem seed kernel powder (NSKP) 30 g per kg soil, followed by neem leaves 30 g per kg soil irrespective of whether nematode or fungus inoculated individually or concomitantly. The data (Table 2) expressed that dry shoot weight in all the treatments was significantly better over untreated inoculated checks, nematode alone, fungus alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously. Among the various treatments, maximum dry shoot weight was observed in NSKP 30 g per kg soil, followed by neem leaves 30 g per kg soil irrespective of whether nematode or fungus inoculated individually or concomitantly. In plants inoculated with nematode and fungus concomitantly, dry shoot weight was maximum in case of NSKP followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check.

 $Table \ 1. \ Effect \ of \ soil \ treatment \ with \ phytotherapeutic \ substances \ on \ shoot \ length \ (cm) \ of \ cucumber \ infested \ with \ \textit{M. incognita}$ and fungus

| Treatments | Shoot length (cm) | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Nematode alone | Fungus alone | Nematode + fungus | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 133.3 | 128.8 | 126.1 | 129.4 |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 151.9 | 147.1 | 145.9 | 148.3 |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 128.6 | 124.1 | 122.3 | 125.0 |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 143.5 | 139.5 | 136.7 | 139.9 |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 123.0 | 119.4 | 116.5 | 119.6 |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 138.9 | 134.8 | 132.2 | 135.3 |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 147.8 | 143.3 | 140.5 | 143.8 |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 157.3 | 153.9 | 150.9 | 154.0 |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 143.4 | 121.6 | 140.1 | 135.0 |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/l water | 119.0 | 160.3 | 114.9 | 131.4 |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 90.6 | 93.3 | 80.5 | 88.1 |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 162.5 | 164.8 | 165.0 | 164.1 |
| Mean | 136.6 | 135.9 | 131.0 | |

Critical Difference at 5% level, Treatment: 1.7, Sub treatment: 3.5, Treatment X Sub treatment: 6.1

 $Table \ 2. \ Effect \ of \ soil \ treatment \ with \ phytotherapeutic \ substances \ on \ dry \ shoot \ weight \ (g) \ of \ cucumber \ infested \ with \ \emph{M. incognita}$ and fungus

| Treatments | Dry shoot weight (g) | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Nematode alone | Fungus alone | Nematode + fungus | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 14.06 | 13.18 | 12.74 | 13.3 |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 21.37 | 18.98 | 17.25 | 19.2 |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 13.25 | 12.01 | 11.11 | 12.1 |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 16.83 | 15.75 | 14.75 | 15.8 |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 12.25 | 10.97 | 10.25 | 11.2 |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 15.33 | 14.75 | 13.57 | 14.5 |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 18.15 | 17.49 | 16.00 | 17.2 |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 24.24 | 22.34 | 21.75 | 22.8 |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 19.50 | 12.25 | 18.49 | 16.7 |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/l water | 11.28 | 24.25 | 10.76 | 15.4 |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 5.60 | 5.04 | 4.67 | 5.1 |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 25.24 | 26.01 | 25.00 | 25.4 |
| Mean | 16.4 | 16.1 | 14.7 | |

Critical Difference at 5% level, Treatment: 1.2, Sub treatment: 2.4, Treatment X Sub treatment: 4.3

The data (Table 3) revealed that dry root weight in all the treatments was significantly better over untreated inoculated checks, nematode alone, fungus alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously. Among the various treatments, maximum dry root weight was observed in NSKP 30 g per kg soil, followed by neem leaves 30 g per kg soil irrespective of whether nematode or fungus inoculated individually or concomitantly. However, in plants inoculated with nematode and fungus concomitantly, dry root weight was maximum in case of NSKP followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check.

Effect of different treatments on the nematode reproduction

The data (Table 4) indicated that number of galls per plant in all the treatments was significantly reduced over untreated inoculated checks, nematode alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously. Among the various treatments, minimum number of galls per plant was observed in neem seed kernel powder (NSKP) 30 per kg

soil (164), followed by neem leaves 30 g per kg soil irrespective of whether nematode inoculated individually or concomitantly. However, in plants inoculated with nematode and fungus concomitantly, number of galls per plant was minimum in case of NSKP, followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check. Among the various treatments, minimum number of egg masses per plant was observed in NSKP 30 per kg soil, followed by neem leaves 30 g per kg soil irrespective of whether nematode inoculated individually or concomitantly. However, in plants inoculated with nematode and fungus concomitantly, number of egg masses per plant was minimum in case of NSKP, followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check.

The data (Table 5) revealed that nematode population $J_2/200$ cc soil in all the treatments was significantly reduced over untreated inoculated checks viz., nematode alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously. Among the various treatments, minimum nematode population $J_2/200$ cc soil was observed in NSKP 30 per kg soil,

 $Table \ 3. Effect \ of soil \ treatment \ with \ phytotherapeutic \ substances \ on \ dry \ root \ weight \ (g) \ of \ cucumber \ infested \ with \ \textit{M. incognita}$ and fungus

| Treatments | Dry root weight (g) | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Nematode alone | Fungus alone | Nematode + fungus | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 3.95 | 3.47 | 3.69 | 3.7 |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 7.06 | 6.44 | 6.26 | 6.5 |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 3.36 | 3.28 | 3.13 | 3.3 |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 5.20 | 4.04 | 4.15 | 4.4 |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 3.24 | 3.12 | 2.70 | 3.1 |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 4.91 | 3.82 | 3.75 | 4.2 |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 5.52 | 4.28 | 4.44 | 4.8 |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 7.96 | 7.27 | 7.22 | 7.5 |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 6.09 | 3.05 | 5.36 | 4.8 |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/l water | 3.07 | 5.75 | 3.27 | 4.1 |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 1.75 | 2.24 | 1.34 | 1.2 |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 9.00 | 9.01 | 8.76 | 8.9 |
| Mean | 5.1 | 4.7 | 4.6 | |

Critical Difference at 5% level, Treatment: 0.37, Sub treatment: 0.75, Treatment X Sub treatment: 1.3

Table 4. Effect of soil treatment with phytotherapeutic substances on number of galls/ plant of cucumber infested with *M. incognita* and fungus

| Treatments | N | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Nematode alone | Nematode + fungus | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 209 (14.5) | 217 (14.7) | 213 (14.6) |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 172(13.2) | 177 (13.3) | 174.5 (13.2) |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 221 (14.9) | 228(15.1) | 224.5 (15.0) |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 186(13.7) | 190(13.8) | 188(13.7) |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 227 (15.2) | 238(15.4) | 232.5 (15.3) |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 193 (13.9) | 198(14.1) | 195.5 (14.0) |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 177 (13.3) | 183 (13.5) | 180(13.4) |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 162 (12.8) | 167 (12.9) | 164.5 (12.9) |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 153 (12.4) | 146(12.1) | 149.5 (12.3) |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/1 water | 230 (15.2) | 215 (14.7) | 222.5 (14.9) |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 328(18.1) | 313 (17.7) | 320.5 (17.9) |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 0(1.0) | 0(1.0) | 0.0(1.0) |
| Mean | 13.2 | 13.2 | |

Figures in parenthesis are the square root ("n+1) transformed values, Critical Difference at 5% level, Treatment: 0.03, Sub treatment: 0.07, Treatment X Sub treatment: 0.10

Table 5. Effect of soil treatment with phytotherapeutic substances on final nematode population/200 cc soil of cucumber infested with M. incognita and fungus

| Treatments | Final nem | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Nematode alone | Nematode + fungus | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 295 (17.2) | 300 (17.3) | 297.5 (17.3) |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 261 (16.2) | 267 (16.4) | 264 (16.3) |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 312(17.7) | 319 (17.9) | 315.5 (17.8) |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 276(16.6) | 281 (16.8) | 278.5 (16.7) |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 317 (17.8) | 327(18.1) | 322 (18.0) |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 282 (16.8) | 288 (17.0) | 285 (16.9) |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 271 (16.5) | 276(16.6) | 273.5 (16.6) |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 248 (15.8) | 252(15.9) | 250(15.8) |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 167 (12.9) | 235 (15.4) | 201 (14.2) |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/l water | 516(22.7) | 498 (22.3) | 507 (22.5) |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 694 (26.4) | 656 (25.6) | 675 (26.0) |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 0(1.0) | 0(1.0) | 0.0(1.0) |
| Mean | 16.5 | 16.7 | |

Figures in parenthesis are the square root ("n+1) transformed values, CD at 5% level, Treatment: 0.03, Sub treatment: 0.07, Treatment X Sub treatment: 0.1

followed by neem leaves 30 g per kg soil irrespective of whether nematode inoculated individually or concomitantly. However, in plants inoculated with nematode and fungus concomitantly, nematode population $J_2/200\,\mathrm{cc}$ soil were minimum in case of NSKP, followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check.

Effect of different treatments on the disease incidence

The data (Table 6) revealed that disease incidence of fungus was reduced significantly in all treatments on cucumber as compared to untreated inoculated checks. Data were recorded 15 and 30 days after sowing. At 30 days after sowing, disease incidence was minimum in case of soil treated with neem seed kernel powder (NSKP) followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check while it was maximum in case of carbofuran. Data (Table 7) indicated that disease incidence of nematode and fungus complex was reduced

significantly in all treatments on cucumber as compared to untreated inoculated checks. At 30 days after sowing, disease incidence was minimum in case of soil treated with NSKP followed by in case of neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check while it was maximum in case of carbofuran.

DISCUSSION

The present investigations showed that the phytotherapeutic substances were effective in reducing *M. incognita* infection, per cent disease incidence and increasing plant growth parameters as compared to untreated inoculated checks.

Shoot length in all the treatments was significantly better over untreated inoculated checks (nematode alone, fungus alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously). Maximum dry shoot weight was observed in treatments of neem seed kernel powder (NSKP) 30 g per kg soil and neem leaves 30 g per kg soil our findings are similar to the

Table 6. Effect of soil treatment with phytotherapeutic substances on fungal incidence (%) of cucumber infested with F. oxysporum f. sp. cucumerinum

| Treatments | % F | | |
|--|---|---|-------------|
| | Pre emergence damping off (after 15 days) | Pre emergence damping off (after 30 days) | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 35 (36.5) | 40(39.5) | 37.5 (38.0) |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 20(26.9) | 25 (30.1) | 22.5 (28.5) |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 35 (36.5) | 40(39.5) | 37.5 (38.0) |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 25 (30.0) | 30(33.2) | 27.5 (31.6) |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 40 (39.5) | 45 (42.4) | 42.5 (40.9) |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 30(33.2) | 35 (36.5) | 32.5 (34.9) |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 35 (36.5) | 35 (36.5) | 35 (36.5) |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 15 (23.0) | 20 (26.9) | 17.5 (25.0) |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 40 (39.5) | 45 (42.4) | 42.5 (40.9) |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/l water | 5 (13.6) | 10(18.6) | 7.5(16.1) |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 50 (45.3) | 60 (51.1) | 55 (48.2) |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 0(4.1) | 0(4.1) | 0.0(4.1) |
| Mean | 30.4 | 33.4 | |

Figures in parenthesis are the angular transformed values, CD at 5% level: Treatment: 1.6, Sub treatment: 4.0, Treatment X Pathogen: N.S.

Table 7. Effect of soil treatment with phytotherapeutic substances on fungal incidence (%) of cucumber infested with M. incognita and fungus

| Treatments | % F | | |
|--|--|---|-------------|
| | Pre emergence damping off (after 15 days) | Pre emergence damping off (after 30 days) | Pooled Mean |
| T1: Neem leaves 20 g/ pot | 30(33.3) | 40(39.5) | 35.0(36.4) |
| T2: Neem leaves 30 g/ pot | 20 (26.9) | 25 (30.1) | 22.5 (28.5) |
| T3: Aak leaves 20 g/ pot | 30(33.3) | 40 (39.5) | 35.0(36.4) |
| T4: Aak leaves 30 g/ pot | 25 (30.2) | 30(33.4) | 27.5 (31.8) |
| T5: Castor leaves 20 g/ pot | 35 (36.5) | 45 (42.4) | 40.0(39.4) |
| T6: Castor leaves 30 g/ pot | 25 (30.2) | 30(33.4) | 27.5 (31.7) |
| T7: Soil treatment with NSKP 20 g/ pot | 30 (33.4) | 35 (36.5) | 32.5 (35.0) |
| T8: Soil treatment with NSKP 30 g/ pot | 15 (23.0) | 20 (26.9) | 17.5 (25.0) |
| T9: Carbofuran 0.1 g/ pot | 15 (23.0) | 10(18.6) | 12.5 (20.8) |
| T10: Drenching with bavistin 2 g/l water | 35 (36.5) | 45 (42.4) | 40.0(39.4) |
| T11: untreated check (inoculated) | 50 (45.3) | 85 (67.7) | 67.5 (56.5) |
| T12: untreated check (uninoculated) | 0(4.1) | 0(4.1) | 0.0(4.1) |
| Mean | 29.6 | 34.5 | |

Figures in parenthesis are the angular transformed values, CD at 5% level: Treatment: 1.5, Sub treatment: 3.8, Treatment X Sub treatment: 5.4

results obtained by Agbenin *et al.* (2004) who reported that neem seed powder increased root/ shoot weights and heights but it reduces root knot nematode galls and presence of mycelium on root.

Number of galls per plant in all the treatments was significantly reduced over untreated inoculated checks, nematode alone and nematode + fungus simultaneously. Nematode population in soil in all the treatments was significantly reduced over untreated inoculated checks. Similar finding by Adegbite and Adesiyan (2005) who reported that neem seed reduces nematode population and it is effective in causing larval mortality. Also similar finding were reported by Agbenin and Marley (2004) that number of galls, egg masses and final nematode population in soil decreased significantly in the neem seed powder treated plants as compared to the untreated plants.

At 30 days after sowing, disease incidence was minimum in case of soil treated with neem seed kernel powder (NSKP) followed by neem leaves as compared to untreated inoculated check of fungus alone and these findings confirm that NSKP can be used as natural fungicides to control F. oxysporum and to reduce the dependence on the synthetic fungicides. These results of the present investigation are clear indication for potential of neem to control Fusarium spp. Joseph et al. (2008) showed neem in all concentration (5, 10 and 15%) has fungicide potential. Results showed significant suppression of both M. incognita and F. oxysporum by Neem seed kernel powder. Paul and Sharma (2002) reported that the leaf aqueous extract of neem inhibited the growth of soil born pathogenic fungi. NSKP was significantly effective against both the pathogens, and it may be due to presence of toxic chemicals in neem seed powder (Singh and Sitaramaiah, 1970).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India, New Delhi, for providing financial assistance in the form of INSPIRE Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- **Abawi, G.S & Chen, J.** (1998). Concomitant pathogen and pest interactions. In: Barker KR, Pederson GA, Windham GL, eds. *Plant and Nematode Interactions*. Wisconsin, USA: American Society of Agronomy, pp. 135–58.
- Adegbite, A.A. & Adesiyan, S.O. (2005). Efficacy of carbofuran (furadan) on the performance of four nematode susceptible varieties of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merril). *Tropical Oilseeds Journal* 6: 11-23.
- Agbenin, N.O., Emechebe, A.M. & Marley, P.S. (2004). Evaluations of neem seed powder for *Fusarium* wilt and *Meloidogyne* control on tomato. *Achieves of Phytopathology Plant Protection* 37: 319-326.
- Barker, K.R., Carter, C.C. & Sasser, J.N. (1985). An Advanced Treatise on *Meloidogyne*. Vol. 1, Biology and Control. North Carolina State University, Raleigh. Evans A A F. 1973. Mode of action of nematicides. *Annals of Applied Biology* 75: 469-473.
- **Cobb, N.A.** (1918). Estimating the nema population of the soil. *Agricultural Technology Circular-1*, pp. 48. Bureau of plant Industry, U.S.D.A. California, USA.
- Eisenback, J.D., Hirschmann, H. & Triantaphyllou, A.C. (1980). Morphological comparison of *Meloidogyne* female head structures, perineal patterns, and stylets. *Journal of Nematology* **12:** 300-313.
- Fàbrega, F., Marquès, M., Ginebreda, A., Kuzmanovic, D. & Barceló, M. (2013). Integrated Risk Index of Chemical Aquatic Pollution (IRICAP): Case studies in Iberian rivers *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 263, pp. 187-196
- **Good, J.M.** (1968). Relation of plant parasitic nematodes to management practices. In: Smart GC, Perry VG (eds) Tropical nematology. University of Florida Press, Gainesville, pp 113–138.
- Greco, N. & Esmenjaud, D. (2004). Management strategies for nematode control in Europe. In: Nematology Monographs and Prospective, (Eds. Cook, R. and Hunt,

- D.J.). Proceedings of the fourth International Congress of Nematology, Spain. pp. 33-43.
- **Hanafi, A. & Papasolomontos, A.** (1999). Integrated production and protection under protected cultivation in the Mediterranean region. *Biotechnology Advances* 17: 183-203.
- Joseph, B., Mozafar, M.A. & Kumar, V. (2008). Bio-efficacy of plant extracts to control *Fusarium solani* f.sp. *melangenae* incitant of Brinjal wilt. *Global Journal of Biotechnology & Biochemistry* 3: 56-59.
- Kruger, G.R., Xing, L.J., Santini, J. & Westphal, A. (2007). Distribution and damage caused by root-knot nematode to soybean in southwest Indiana. *Plant Health Progress*. Doi:10.1094/PHP-2007-1031-01-RS.
- Minuto, A., Gullino, M.L., Lamberti, F.D., Adabbo, T., Tescari, & Garibaldi, A.H. (2006). Application of emulsifiable mixture of 1,3 Dichloropropene and chloropicrin against root knot nematode and soil fungi for green house tomato in Italy. *Crop Protection* 25: 1244-1252.
- **Patil, J., Kumar, A. & Goel, S.R.** (2017). Incidence of plant-parasitic nematodes associated with polyhouses under protected cultivation in Haryana. *Environment and Ecology* **35** (**3A**): 1870-1873.
- **Paul, P.K. & Sharma, P.D.** (2002). Azadirachta indica leaf extracts induced resistance in barley against leaf strip disease. *Physiology and Molecular Plant Pathology* **16:** 3-13.
- Sharma, H.K., Pankaj, Gaur, H.S. & Singh, B. (2007). Nemic population dynamics in hybrid tomato, sweet pepper and hybrid cucumber under polyhouse cultivation. *Indian Journal of Nematology* **37:** 161-164.
- **Singh, R.S. & Sitaramaiah, K.** (1970). Control of plant parasitic nematodes with organic soil amendments. *Pests and News Summaries* **16:** 287-297.
- Weng, Y. & Sun, Z.Y. (2011). Chapter 1 Major Cucurbits. In: Genetics, Genomics and Breeding of Cucurbits (Yi-Hong Wang, TK Behera, Chittaranjan Kole, editors). CRC Press, 425pp. ISBN: 157808766X. pp1-15.
- Whitehead, A.G. & Hemming, J.R. (1965). A comparison of some quantitative methods of extracting small vermiform nematodes from soil. *Annals of Applied Biology* **55:** 25-38.