# Bayesian Linear Regression

Nipun Batra July 2, 2019

IIT Gandhinagar

MLE, MAP, Bayesian

#### Bayes Rule - 1

- $\cdot P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)$
- · Let us consider an example from Wikipedia:
  - A particular drug is 99% sensitive and 99% specific
  - i.e. test will produce 99% true positive results for drug users and 99% true negative results for non-drug users
  - · 0.5% of people are users of the drug
  - Question: What is the probability that a randomly selected individual with a positive test is a drug user?

1

#### Bayes Rule - 2

- Test will produce 99% true positive results for drug users and 99% true negative results for non-drug users ⇒
  - P(Test = + | User = Drug) = 0.99, or, P(+ | User) = 0.99
  - and  $P(-|\overline{User}) = 0.99$
- 0.5% of people are users of the drug  $\implies$  P(User) = 0.005
- Question: What is the probability that a randomly selected individual with a positive test is a drug user?

$$\implies$$
  $P(User|+) = ?$ 

• 
$$P(User|+) = \frac{P(+|User)P(User)}{P(+)} =$$

• 
$$\frac{P(+|\mathsf{User})P(\mathsf{User})}{P(+|\mathsf{User})P(\mathsf{User})+P(+|\overline{\mathsf{User}})P(\overline{\mathsf{User}})} = \frac{0.99 \times 0.005}{0.99 \times 0.005 + 0.01 \times 0.995} \approx .332$$

# Another example on Bayes rule

# Bayes Rule for Machine Learning

- P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)
- Let us consider for a machine learning problem:
  - A = Parameters ( $\theta$ )
  - B = Data  $(\mathcal{D})$
- · We can rewrite the Bayes rule as:
  - $P(\theta|\mathcal{D}) = \frac{P(\mathcal{D}|\theta)P(\theta)}{P(\mathcal{D})}$
  - · Posterior:
  - · Prior:
  - Likelihood

.

#### Likelihood

- · Likelihood is a function of  $\theta$
- Given a coin flip and 5 H and 1 T, what is more likely: P(H)
  = 0.5 or P(H) = 1

# Bayesian Learning is well suited for online settings

content...

# Coin flipping

- Assume we do a coin flip multiple times and we get the following observation: {H, H, H, H, H, H, T, T, T, T}: 6 Heads and 4 Tails
- · What is P(Head)?
- Is your answer: 6/10. Why?

### Coin flipping: Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE)

- We have  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, D_2, ...D_N\}$  for N observations where each  $\mathcal{D}_i \in \{H, T\}$
- Assume we have  $n_H$  heads and  $n_T$  tails,  $n_H + n_T = N$
- Let us have  $P(H) = \theta, P(T) = 1 \theta$
- We have Likelihood,  $L(\theta) = P(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = P(\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, ..., \mathcal{D}_N|\theta)$
- Since observations are i.i.d.,  $L(\theta) = P(\mathcal{D}_1|\theta).P(\mathcal{D}_2|\theta)...P(\mathcal{D}_N|\theta)$

# Coin flipping: Maximum Likelihood Estimate (MLE)

.

$$P(\mathcal{D}_i|\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta, & \text{for } \mathcal{D}_i = H \\ 1 - \theta, & \text{for } \mathcal{D}_i = T \end{cases}$$

- Thus,  $L(\theta) = \theta^{n_H} \times (1 \theta)^{n_T}$
- · Log-Likelihood,  $LL(\theta) = n_H log \theta + (n_T)(log(1-\theta))$
- $\frac{\partial LL(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n_H}{\theta} + \frac{n_T}{1-\theta}$
- For maxima, set derivative of LL to zero

• 
$$\frac{n_H}{\theta} + \frac{n_T}{1-\theta} = 0$$

$$\theta = \frac{n_H}{n_H + n_T}$$

#### Maximum A Posteriori estimate (MAP)

- MLE does not handle prior knowledge: What if we know that our coin is biased towards head?
- MLE can overfit: What is the probability of heads when we have observed 6 heads and 0 tails?

#### Maximum A Posteriori estimate (MAP)

#### Goal: Maximize the Posterior

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta}_{MAP} &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ P(\theta|\mathcal{D}) \\ \hat{\theta}_{MAP} &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ P(\mathcal{D}|\theta)P(\theta) \end{split}$$

# Prior distributions

# **Beta Distribution**

# **Beta Distribution**

#### Coin toss: MAP estimate