

# YMS QR Assessment: Problem 2

Aaryan Sharma 210110003

## Core Philosophy

---

The strategy exploits the mean-reverting nature of the **Calendar Spread** ( $Price_{FUT2} - Price_{FUT1}$ ) in the Indian derivatives market. Although individual futures prices are driven by directional beta and market sentiment, the spread represents the structural Cost of Carry (Interest rate differential minus Dividends). In a stable market regime, this cost is fundamentally bounded and mean-reverting, making it an ideal candidate for statistical arbitrage. By trading the spread, we neutralize the risk of the market direction and isolate the relative value between contracts.

## Alpha Generation (Z-Score)

---

We calculate a rolling Z-Score of the spread over a **300-minute window** to capture intraday mean reversion opportunities:

$$Z = \frac{CurrentSpread - RollingMean}{RollingStdDev}$$

- **Entry** ( $|Z| > 2.0$ ): We bet on convergence when the spread extends statistically beyond two standard deviations.
  - If  $Z > 2.0$  (Expensive): **Short Spread** (Sell FUT2, Buy FUT1). We expect the spread to narrow.
  - If  $Z < -2.0$  (Cheap): **Long Spread** (Buy FUT2, Sell FUT1). We expect the spread to widen back to the mean.
- **Exit** ( $|Z| < 0.5$ ): We exit the position when the spread reverts to its mean (within 0.5 standard deviations), capturing the reversion profit.

## Risk Management: Integration of Problem 1 Insights

---

Standard mean reversion models often fail during stress events or structural shifts. We applied strict, data-driven filters derived from our Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) in Problem 1 to remove specific structural risks:

**Regime Filter** [*Insight from Problem 1C*]: We observed that specific stocks (e.g., SHREECEM) exhibit extreme “fat tail” volatility ( $StdDev > 40.0$ ) and deep backwardation that defy normal statistical bounds. **Action:** We blacklist any stock with a spread  $StdDev > 25.0$ . This successfully removes chaotic “Regime B” stocks from the trading universe, significantly reducing maximum drawdown and tail risk.

**Execution Window** [*Insight from Problem 1B*]: The Volume Ratio ( $FUT2/FUT1$ ) spikes exponentially from 0.2 to  $> 2.0$  in the final 48 hours before expiry. This liquidity fragmentation causes bid-ask spreads to widen and impact costs to soar.

**Action:** We strictly trade only in the **DTE [2, 7]** window. Positions are forced closed if Days to Expiry (DTE) drops below 2 to avoid expiry Gamma risk and high transaction costs during the rollover shuffle.

**Liquidity Filter** To ensure reliable execution simulations and avoid slippage on thin names, we exclude names with a Median Minute Volume  $< 500$  lots.

## Execution Assumptions

---

To ensure the backtest remains realistic and conservative:

- **Position Sizing:** 1 Lot per leg (Spread Unit) to normalize risk across different tick sizes.
- **Costs:** Conservative estimates applied to the full notional value of both legs:
  - Commission: 0.5 bps
  - Slippage: 1.0 bps