## Analysis of the concentration of the plant pigment chlorophyll-a in the Coastal transect of Great Barrier Reef Project 3 490620014 University of Sydney | DATA1001 | May 2020 1 Recommendation Study shows that in Coastal transects of Great Barrier Reef, mean concentration of chlorophyll-a in summer and winter season is equal. The study also compares mean concentration for all months. This could potentially be used by our clients, the marine tourism industry, and Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA). They both play important role in ensuring sustainable use and protection of reef. Water sports have negative impact on concentration of chlorophyll-a, an important parameter in calculating Water Quality Index. Hence, they effect reefs negatively. Our clients can use this report to decide permitted marine sports in different months. 2 Evidence The data has been collected by fully-trained community groups, tourism operators and government agencies under the chlorophyll monitoring under the Reef Plan Marine Monitoring Programme, managed by the Australian Institute of Marine Science. This study explores the samples collected

for marine life, this is where ethics come in. Marine biologists are working to devise better and more efficient methods of sampling. 2.1 Initial Data Analysis Reading data.

##

The primary objective of this report is exploring the Coastal transect. We are adding the month and the season in which the data was recorded column to our data.

in the Coastal transect of Great Barrier Reef. The data has certain limitations, and is not completely reliable. "AIMS make no representation or warranty that the data, products and

services are accurate, complete, reliable or current.", as mentioned on their website. The omitting of

NA's has resulted in limitation of findings. The methods used for sampling are not completely accurate, they are prone to chance and calculation errors. Some methods of sampling are harmful

## Attaching package: 'lubridate'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base': ## ## date, intersect, setdiff, union

## Attaching package: 'dplyr'

## The following objects are masked from 'package:lubridate': ##

intersect, setdiff, union

## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats': ## filter, lag

## The following objects are masked from 'package:base': ##

## intersect, setdiff, setequal, union The dimension and structure of the variables.

2.1.1 Variable classifications Temperature

num [1:1027] 28 28 31 31 31 31 26.1 26.1 25.4 25.4 ... Salinity

Concentration of the plant pigment chlorophyll-a (in µg/L) num [1:1027] 1.02 0.92 0.54 0.54 0.62 0.62 0.56 0.54 0.45 0.42 ...

## num [1:1027] 35 35 37 NA 37 NA 38 38 40 40 ...

**Transect** 

Removing the outliers

Factor w/ 14 levels "Burnett-Hervey", ...: 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 ... No changes in variable classification are required. 2.1.2 Data Wrangling

Finding IQR and quantile in order to remove the outliers from Temperature Finding IQR and quantile in order to remove the outliers from Salinity

2.1.3 Final dataset

Subsetting the data; removing the outliers from specific variables

2.1.4 The dimension of the final dataset.

Finding IQR and quantile in order to remove the outliers from Chlorophyll

## [1] 249 17 2.1.5 Variable classification

Classification of variables of the final dataset are the same as before.

Variable classification of specific variables:

Temperature

hypothesis)

which sample has been recorded in winter season.

H1: There is a difference:  $\mu 1 \neq \mu 2$ , or  $\mu 1 - \mu 2 \neq 0$ .

2.2.2 A Assumptions

1- The 2 samples are independent

## [1] 0.4851811

2.2.4 P p-value

time periods.

assumptions

9.0

0.2

## num [1:249] 28 28 26.1 26.1 25.4 25.4 24.7 24.7 24.4 23.9 ... Salinity

num [1:249] 35 35 38 38 40 40 36 36 38 37 ...

Concentration of the plant pigment chlorophyll-a (in µg/L)

**Transect** Factor w/ 14 levels "Burnett-Hervey", ...: 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 ... The variable classification is the same as before.

2.2 2 sample T test (2-sided alternative

num [1:249] 1.02 0.92 0.56 0.54 0.45 0.42 0.34 0.25 0.13 0.55 ...

Let  $\mu 1$  = mean concentration of chlorophyll-a in summer Let  $\mu$ 2 = mean concentration of chlorophyll-a in winter 2.2.1 H Hypothesis H0 : There is no difference:  $\mu 1 = \mu 2$ , or  $\mu 1 - \mu 2 = 0$ .

Is there a difference in the mean concentration of chlorophyll-a in summer and winter season?

Sample 1 is data set for which sample has been recorded in summer season. Sample 2 is data set for

2- The 2 populations have equal spread (SD/variance) 3- The 2 populations are Normal 2.2.3 T Test Statistic

## [1] 0.6279779 2.2.5 C Conclusion As the p-value > 0.05, we retain the null hypothesis. 2.2.6 Checking our assumptions

1- The 2 samples are independent The two samples have been recorded separately at different

2.2.6.1 Creating Comparative Boxplots - for normality and equality of variance

**Box Plot** 

Sample 2

2-The 2 populations have equal spread (SD/variance) 3-The 2 populations are Normal

8 0 8 1.0 0.8

Normality: the 2 samples look symmetrical and so are consistent with Normality, although the sample one looks a bit asymmetric. Equality of variance: the 2 samples have similar spread and so

Sample 1

are consistent with the equality of variance assumption.

2.2.6.2 Q-Q Plot - for Normality

assume that the data is normally distributed.

## ratio of variances

Sample T Test is true.

## ##

##

0.4 -

0.2 -

0.75

January

as compared to other months.

2.2.9.1 Adding error bars to the above plot

4 Other Evidence

4.0.1 References

card-2016-marine-methods.pdf

Report-46.pdf

2009/677311

0.845573

2.2.7 Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test:

Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction

2.2.6.3 Shapiro-Wilk Test - for Normality

## Loading required package: ggplot2 QQplot 1.0 season Summer Winter 0.0

theoretical

A quantile-quantile plot (Q-Q Plot) graphs the theoretical quantiles based on the normal curve against the actual quantiles. The line formed by the points is not reasonably straight, so we can't

## Shapiro-Wilk normality test ## ## data: gbr\_data\$CHL\_A[which(gbr\_data\$season == "Summer")] ## W = 0.93556, p-value = 6.448e-05 ## Shapiro-Wilk normality test ## data: gbr\_data\$CHL\_A[which(gbr\_data\$season == "Winter")] ## W = 0.96911, p-value = 0.00255 Both tests give small p-values (p-value < 0.05), so considering the previous plots, we conclude that the population might not be Normally distributed. 2.2.6.4 Levene's Test (F-Test) - for equal spread ## F test to compare two variances ## ## ## data: gbr\_data\$CHL\_A[which(gbr\_data\$season == "Summer")] and gbr\_data \$CHL\_A[which(gbr\_data\$season == "Winter")] ## F = 0.84557, num df = 105, denom df = 142, p-value = 0.3648 ## alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1 ## 95 percent confidence interval: 0.5937218 1.2160470 ## sample estimates:

The test gives large p-value (p-value > 0.05), suggesting that data is consistent with equal variance.

Since our 2 samples suggest Non-Normality, we can use Transformations or Non Parametric Tests.

## data: gbr\_data\$CHL\_A[which(gbr\_data\$season == "Summer")] and gbr\_data

2-The 2 populations have equal spread (SD) The boxplots suggest that the variation is similar between the 2 populations, as is confirmed by the F-Test. 3-The 2 populations are Normal The 2 samples suggest the 2 populations might not be Normal, from both the boxplots, the Q-Q Plot and the Shapiro-Wilk Test. Hence we are not certain that the conclusion that we derived from the 2

\$CHL\_A[which(gbr\_data\$season == "Winter")] ## W = 7999.5, p-value = 0.4547 ## alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0 The test gives large p-value (p-value > 0.05), so we retain the Null hypothesis. 2.2.8 Conclusion Statistical conclusion: As the p-value > 0.05, we retain the null hypothesis. Thus data is consistent with hypothesis that the mean concentration of chlorophyll-a is equal. Scientific conclusion: The data suggests that the change in season does not has an effect on mean concentration of chlorophyll-a. 2.2.9 Mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for every month Mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for each month 0.6

December.

0.25 -0.00 September December November February October August March April May month There is no error bar for month of July. This is because there is only one sample collected in July in dataset. Thus we cannot say that mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for July is considerably low as compared to other months. The mean for all months except July are close, but the error bars are large and overlapping hence we are not confident that mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for all months except July is close. 3 Summary We have low confidence that mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for every month are close to each other, but mean chlorophyll concentration for summer and winter season is equal in Coastal transects. The World Heritage listed reef attracts tourists throughout the year. People participate in numerous water sports. Motorised water sports lead to depletion of nutrients and concentration of chlorophyll-a. Water sports like scuba diving and snorkelling can damage corals. Marine Tourism Industries, and GBRMPA can use this data to control number of motor sport activities allowed every month in order to protect Great Barrier Reef. This way we can protect it without prohibiting all recreational marine activities.

This study reviews the impact of the major components of marine tourism on the GBR and tourism

It showcases how commitment and long term-cooperation between tourism sector, scientists, and World Heritage management authorities can benefit the overall management performance of a World Heritage site. (UNESCO, 2006). This study is consistent with our recommendation.

ggplot visualisations, http://r-statistics.co/Top50-Ggplot2-Visualizations-MasterList-R-Code.html

Harriott,2003, Rrrc.org.au, https://www.rrrc.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/Technical-

Monitoring, researchdata.ands.org, https://researchdata.ands.org.au/great-barrier-reef-1992-

Schaffelke et al., 2009, Reef Rescue Marine Monitoring Program, rrrc.org.au, http://rrrc.org.au/wp-

Reef Plan, 2016, Great Barrier Reef Report Card 2016 | Reef Water Quality Protection

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233568910\_Marine\_tourism\_impacts\_on\_the\_Great\_Barrier\_References.

Plan, Reefplan.qld.gov.au, https://www.reefplan.qld.gov.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0021/46164/report-

management, and concludes that reef tourism produces generally localized impacts and is intensively managed on the GBR relative to other reef uses (Harriott, 2001). This study agrees with our report, but it states that effect of tourism has small impact as compared to other factors.

eAtlas,2004,The Great Barrier Reef Long-Term Chlorophyll Monitoring

Research Data Australia, The Great Barrier Reef Long-term Chlorophyll

Harriott, 2001, Marine tourism impacts on the Great Barrier Reef, researchGate,

System, Eatlas.org.au, https://eatlas.org.au/gbr/ltmp-data

month

The above plot suggests that the mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for July is considerably low

Mean concentration of chlorophyll-a for each month

content/uploads/2014/05/371b-378\_AIMS\_2008-09\_Final-report.pdf UNESCO, 2006, Guide 4 - Case study: Great Barrier Reef (Australia) | UNESCO Sustainable Tourism Toolkit, Whc.unesco.org, http://whc.unesco.org/sustainabletourismtoolkit/guide-4-%E2%80%93-case-study-great-barrier-reef-australia