Monte larlo R-2. Planning by D. P. can be done when MDP is known. It requires knowledge of transition probabilities P(s,a,s')But when MDP is unknown model free methods need to be used Monte carlo is a model free method that learns directly from episodes! MC learns from full episodes. It does not use bootstrapping. All epivoles must terminate MC use empirical mean return instead of expected return.

Goal: Learn Vs from episodes rof experience under policy T $V_{\pi}(s) = E_{\pi} \left[G_{\pm} \middle| S_{\pm} = S \right]$ But in monte carlo, transition probability is not available.

Hence there is no weights of probability to

Hence there is no weights of probability to multiply the rewards by.

Mence we cannot use E_{TT} (expectation of reward of policy)

In monte carle, empirical transition E is used

Value function for a policy is calculated by running the episodes and calculating neward for every state

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \bigvee_{n}^*$$

Iteratively the policy is also improved.

First Visit Monte Carlo Policy Evaluation To evaluate V of a state 5

The first time that state 5 is visited in an episode, (time ti)

Increment counter $N(s) \leftarrow N(s) + 1$ Increment total voture $S(s) \leftarrow S(s) + 9$

At end of all episodes

 $V(s) = \frac{g(s)}{\gamma(s)}$ Here G_{t} is total discounted reward obtained from time t_{i} till end of episode $G_{t_{i}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{s} *_{r_{i}} *_{r_{i}} = R_{t} *_{t} *_{$

Ignore subsequent visits to the same state

Every Visit MC

The visits are done wirt policy

Do updation every time state is visited

First Visit Mc Every Visit MC Unbiased estimate of Value function Biased because the returns for subsequent visits are not independent.

Higher Variance Jower Variance since it

Variance in Monte Carlo

In monte larly, the calculations for all the state is not done unlike D.P.

Each episode is influenced by random events stochastic environments or different consequences of actions can be random.

The total return can vary significantly from one episode to next, even if the agent visits the same state multiple times.

In such cases, this causes a lot of variance in the

Another source of Variance is that MC waits until the episode is over to update values, so later rewards. That are not directly connected to the current state are also considered.

Unusual rewards at any point in the episode can impact the value estimate for a state, resulting in higher variance.

For example episode ends with a rare large reward it can lead to a significant jump in the value update causing large swings in learning

Vn in Monte Carlo V in M.C. is always with respect to TI This is because reward of all future states is considered in Ge V is the goodness of states when agent follows TI the future states visited depends on T $V(s) \leftarrow V(s) + \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{C_t}{t} - V(s) \right)$ n, + 8 n, + 1 n, + 2 m V is M (depends on the policy takes 11. Changing 11 will charge V, since 11 determines the actions that the agent will take from state S. depends on policy TI
: V(5) also depends on TI eg agent takes first move in chess as E4 as por its poling 11 Case 1: agent is not trained properly and converges to non optimal 1 Vof En is less Caser: agent is trained properly and ear V of Eu is high The actual value of V(E) is not determined but the value furctions determined are under > neward Episodes The policy for run existedes find goodness of states w.T.t. 11 from Gt some states are W. V.t. recurding states are increased more rewarding that other of does not depart on 1 of depends on 5 & a Chousing S& a depends on T So Ge (Istal returns obtained)
depends on 1, but not o 1 Theoritically, Gull he of action wirt. reward obtained V RL m i steration charges works bx RB + Cx Fc + dx RD Much sewards will be obtained on following policy T- Sc $V_A \leftarrow V_A + \alpha \left(G_{+A} - V_A \right)$: V_A depends on (b, c, d) is . T_1 Then improve TI greedly wrt V Note - states explored BCD also depends upon TI depends upon TI anything rewards or don't depend on

Theremental MC Updates (Prediction) lepolate V(s) incrementally after each episode instead of doing at the end $N(s_{\ell}) \leftarrow N(s_{\ell}) + 1$ $V(S_t) \leftarrow V(S_t) + \frac{1}{N(S_t)} (G_t - V(S_t))$ This is exact same as the MC updates Nowever, we can now modify this equation to give importance to new episodes. $V(s_t) \leftarrow V(s_t) + d(q_t - V(s_t))$

This is done in order to forget old episodes.
Useful for modelling environments that

Useful for modelling environments that are non stationary

E- greedy Policy In E-greedy policy we make choice between exploration I exploitation While running the episode Probability of E → chose random action (explore) 1-2 → chose highest estimated (exploit) vahe

So agent will exploit with prob (1-E) & explore with probability E

Me for deterministic vs Non deterministic

Deterministic

11(s) = a

That means episodes will choose only the best so far case while exploiting

best so far case while exploiting $11(s) \leftarrow Argmax Q(S, G)$

 $Q(s,q) \leftarrow Q(s,q) + \alpha (q_{\xi} - Q(s,q))$ Stochastic policy

t1(a|s) = P(a|s)That means the agent is allowed to explore

That means the agent is allowed to explore even in the exploitation phase $\frac{Q(s,a)}{Z} = \frac{Q(s,b)}{Z}$

where I is temperature parameter that controls the level of exploration

On Policy Monte Carlo

The methods till now were on policy, that is we used T1 to generate episodes

TI -> episodes

update Policy to be optimized To generating episodes

But this is a compromise

It aims to learn action values V or Q that are dependent on subsequent optimal behaviour 11th but have to behave subsoptimally to explore all actions and find optimal cution

Learns V, P not for T1 , but for a

"near optimal" policy that still explores Ti eg epsillon greedy

Remember V&Q are always w. z.Z. 71

So we are actually finding V & not V

of poling MC is used to solve this

off Policy Monte Carlo We use 2 seperate policies $\pi d \pi_b$ $\pi \rightarrow target$ policy 11, → behavioural policy Optimize TI while generating behaviours from TI's 11, can explore 11, can ever follon some huristic Agent No feedback loop

in the Agent No feedback loop

in the mean of the seedback loop

in the seedback l But now how can we find VT, Q I f not VIIs 01/2

for this, we need importance sampling

Importance Sampling Importance sampling is used to evaluate properties of TI when samples are generated by different distribution TI's when we generate episodes from T & get return G+, we need to normalize the return. 92: Now much rewards are obtained when model follows TI, Ge : How much rewards would have had been obtained if model had followed TI Action that followed could the We need 9+ & not 9+ Gi = dikelyhood of 11 taking action × Gt dikelyhood of 11, taking action where $\frac{3}{67} = \frac{7-1}{11} \frac{11(q_e|s_e)}{11_b(q_e|s_e)}$ (Imp. V(s) -(Importance sampling) V(s) = $\int P_t \cdot \tau_- \times G_t$ t is states through time no of times s is visited in all eps. This simply is going through each episode where s is visited, then summing returns recieved after s was visited but weighting them by importance sampling vatio. finally taking the average ordinary importance Sampling Here there is a variation (weighted importance sampling) that is preferred E Pt.7-1×Gt V(s) = E Pt:7-1 The advantage is that we can learn from any historic data, given data 4 of 1/2 Now much newards are obtained on following Tb Now much rewards would have had been obtained on following 71 Upolate V update 71 Importance sampling is a variance reduction technique

Monte Carlo Control In MC control, the goal is not only to evaluate a fixed policy but also to improve it wrt actions Policy improvement is done greedly $\pi(s) = \underset{a}{\text{arg max}} q^{\pi}(s, q)$ In cases where P(s'|s, a) is known In MC prediction, goodhess of states is taken (state, value) In MC control goodness of state of actions are considered (state, action) Prediction Control Control is where the policy is not fixed and goal is to find the optima policy Policy is supplied and goal is to check how well it performs Rediction problems can be used for control when transition probabilities P(s, a, s') are known beful in training games, navigation

Estimating Action value function

Similarly to estimate action value function

$$Q^{T}(s,a)$$
 Let $V(s,a)$ be set of all time steps t

at which the state action pair (s,a) is

visited.

Visited. Then the monte carlo estimate of $9^{1}(s,c)$

$$Q^{\pi}(s,a) = \frac{1}{|D(s,a)|} \underbrace{\begin{cases} Q_{\tau} \\ t \in D(s,a) \end{cases}}$$

for Theremental Case
$$Q(s_{t}, q_{t}) \leftarrow Q(s_{t}, a_{t}) + d(q_{t} - Q(s_{t}, a_{t}))$$

$$1(s) = argmax Q(s, a)$$

11(s) = argmax 9(s, a)