

# Evaluation Criteria with Scores out of 3

Criterion	Description	Score 1	Score 2	Score 3
<b>Innovation</b>	The degree to which the proposal introduces new ideas, methods, or approaches.	Lacks novelty; relies on existing methods.	Shows some innovation; builds on existing ideas.	Highly innovative; introduces groundbreaking concepts.
<b>Feasibility</b>	The likelihood that the proposal can be successfully implemented within the given constraints.	Unrealistic; significant barriers to implementation.	Feasible with some challenges; manageable risks.	Highly feasible; clear path to implementation.
<b>Impact</b>	The potential positive effects or benefits of the proposal.	Minimal impact; limited benefits.	Moderate impact; noticeable benefits.	Significant impact; transformative benefits.
<b>Cost-effectiveness</b>	The efficiency of the proposal in terms of resource utilization.	Poor use of resources; high costs for low benefits.	Reasonable use of resources; balanced cost-benefit ratio.	Excellent use of resources; high benefits for low costs.

## Explanation of Criteria

### 1. Innovation

- Evaluates how novel or creative the proposal is.
- **Score 1:** The proposal does not introduce new ideas and relies heavily on existing methods.
- **Score 2:** The proposal shows some level of innovation by building on or improving existing ideas.
- **Score 3:** The proposal is highly innovative, offering groundbreaking or transformative concepts.

### 2. Feasibility

- Assesses the practicality of implementing the proposal within the given constraints (e.g., time, budget, resources).
- **Score 1:** The proposal is unrealistic, with significant barriers that make implementation difficult or impossible.
- **Score 2:** The proposal is feasible but may face some challenges or risks that need to be managed.
- **Score 3:** The proposal is highly feasible, with a clear and straightforward path to successful implementation.

### 3. Impact

- Measures the potential positive effects or benefits the proposal could bring.

- **Score 1:** The proposal has minimal impact, offering limited or negligible benefits.
  - **Score 2:** The proposal has moderate impact, with noticeable but not transformative benefits.
  - **Score 3:** The proposal has significant impact, with the potential to bring about transformative or far-reaching benefits.
4. **Cost-effectiveness**
- Evaluates how efficiently the proposal uses resources to achieve its objectives.
  - **Score 1:** The proposal makes poor use of resources, with high costs relative to the benefits.
  - **Score 2:** The proposal uses resources reasonably, with a balanced cost-benefit ratio.
  - **Score 3:** The proposal uses resources excellently, delivering high benefits at low costs.

## Scoring Guide

- **Score 1:** Does not meet expectations.
- **Score 2:** Meets expectations.
- **Score 3:** Exceeds expectations.

This scoring system ensures a clear and consistent evaluation process, allowing assessors to rate each criterion based on how well the proposal performs in that area.

## Additional Notes

- **Customization:** Depending on the specific context (e.g., environmental projects, technology development), additional criteria such as sustainability or ethical considerations can be added. However, for a general evaluation, these four criteria provide a solid foundation.
- **Weighting:** If certain criteria are more important than others, you can assign weights to each criterion to reflect their relative importance in the overall evaluation.
- **Clarity:** The descriptions for each score are designed to be distinct and easy to interpret, ensuring that evaluators can apply the criteria consistently across different proposals.

This evaluation framework offers a structured and transparent way to assess proposals, ensuring that key aspects such as creativity, practicality, benefits, and efficiency are thoroughly considered.