Deep Learning Course Project- Gesture Recognition

- Aayushi Mittal Group Facilitator
- Bhupender Pillay

Problem Statement

As a data scientist at a home electronics company which manufactures state of the art smart televisions. We want to develop a cool feature in the smart-TV that can recognise five different gestures performed by the user which will help users control the TV without using a remote.

• Thumbs up : Increase the volume.

• Thumbs down : Decrease the volume.

Left swipe : 'Jump' backwards 10 seconds.

• Right swipe : 'Jump' forward 10 seconds.

• Stop : Pause the movie.

Understanding the Dataset

The training data consists of a few hundred videos categorized into one of the five classes. Each video (typically 2-3 seconds long) is divided into a **sequence of 30 frames (images)**. These videos have been recorded by various people performing one of the five gestures in front of a webcam - similar to what the smart TV will use.

Objective

Our task is to train different models on the 'train' folder to predict the action performed in each sequence or video and which performs well on the 'val' folder as well. The final test folder for evaluation is withheld - final model's performance will be tested on the 'test' set.

Two types of architectures suggested for analysing videos using deep learning:

1. 3D Convolutional Neural Networks (Conv3D)

3D convolutions are a natural extension to the 2D convolutions you are already familiar with. Just like in 2D conv, you move the filter in two directions (x and y), in 3D conv, you move the filter in three directions (x, y and z). In this case, the input to a 3D conv is a video (which is a sequence of 30 RGB images). If we assume that the shape of each image is $100 \times 100 \times 3$, for example, the video becomes a 4D tensor of shape $100 \times 100 \times 3 \times 30$ which can be written as $(100 \times 100 \times 30) \times 30 \times 30 \times 30$ where 3 is the number of channels. Hence, deriving the analogy from 2D convolutions where a

2D kernel/filter (a square filter) is represented as $(f \ x \ f) \ x \ c$ where f is filter size and c is the number of channels, a 3D kernel/filter (a 'cubic' filter) is represented as $(f \ x \ f \ x \ f) \ x \ c$ (here c = 3 since the input images have three channels). This cubic filter will now '3D-convolve' on each of the three channels of the $(100 \ x \ 100 \ x \ 30)$ tensor

2. CNN + RNN architecture

The *conv2D* network will extract a feature vector for each image, and a sequence of these feature vectors is then fed to an RNN-based network. The output of the RNN is a regular softmax (for a classification problem such as this one).

Data Generator

Experiment Number	Model	Result	Decision + Explanation
1	Conv3D	Training	We can see val_loss did not
		accuracy 0.9193	improve from 0.368
		Validation	Seems overfitting
		accuracy 0.8400	
			Reduce filter size to (2,2,2)
			and image res to 120 x 120, -
			Batch Size = 30 and No. of
			Epochs = 25
2	Conv3D	Training	Early stopping at epoch
		accuracy 0.3401	11/25
			Performance drastically
		Validation	decrease.
		accuracy 0.1600	AddingAdditional layers -
			Batch Size = 20 and No. of
			Epochs = 25
3	Conv3D	Training	We get a best validation
		accuracy 0.8959	accuracy of 93 till now.
			overfitting.
		Validation	
		Accuracy:	Reducing the number of
		0.9300	parameters
4	Conv3D	Validation	val_loss did not improve
		Accuracy: 0.26	from 2.18794 an validation
			accuracy decreased.
			Reducing the number of
			parameters again
5	Conv3D	Validation	performance decreased.
		Accuracy: 0.26	
			Applying data augmentation

6	Conv3D	validation	Hence choosing this model
		accuracy so far	as final
		0.8100	