

# P2P bitcoins trading system

Gzmask

November 16, 2011

## **Abstract**

This is a paper of a P2P system that let users trade digital works online, kind of like freelancing. There is a basic peer-review processes that guarantee the works are being accepted fairly, and there is a work quality/honest rating system to keep bad workers out.

## **1 Introduction**

Online trading using credit cards or paypal-like system is popular. A famous exampe is Ebay.com. All these systems are centralize, thus the trades are slow and controlled by some private parties in some degree. As bitcoins emerged, pure P2P system is getting recognized. Here I proposed a very basic and simple design for a P2P trading system.

## **2 Important constants**

N: Total user amount (updates weekly)

K: Total voter amount (updates three days)

## 3 Models

### 3.1 Node table

This model stores in all (N) nodes

Properties

- IP\_address
- Email\_address
- is\_voter

### 3.2 Reputation block chain

This model stores in all (N) nodes

Properties

- Email\_address
- work\_quality
- honest\_rating
- Email\_address
- dispute\_history
- solution\_accepted\_history

This two values has similar structure as the bitcoins block chain

work quality/honest rating: if ratings less than 10, shows actually numbers of ratings. i.e. 5:1:0 where 5 rates excellent, 1 rates good and 0 rates bad; if ratings more than 10, only shows percentages. i.e. 33%:33%:33% This prevents profile bias towards worker nodes with less cases done.

### 3.3 Need

This model stores in  $\text{Log}(N)$  nodes

Properties

- time\_to\_live
- offer
- accepted\_proposal\_address
- src\_node\_address

### 3.4 Work

This model stores in posted node Properties

- time\_to\_live
- proposal
- accepted
- price
- src\_node\_address
- des\_node\_address
- solution

### 3.5 Dispute

This model stores in posted node Properties

- needer\_ip\_address
- worker\_ip\_address
- vote
- needer\_process\_fee
- worker\_process\_fee
- next\_voter\_address

## 4 Actions

### 4.1 Post a Need

1. a node  $U_1$  boardcasts a need  $N_1$  in the network to  $\text{Log}(N)$  nodes in its node listing table.
2. after some propagation, most nodes will receive the boardcast of  $N_1$  and store it locally
3. after the TTL expired, each node removes  $N_1$  permanently.

### 4.2 Propose a work

1. any node can propose a work for a need.
2. let  $U_2$  be a node proposing a work  $W_1$ .  $U_2$  send an Email to  $U_1$ , telling  $U_1$  that  $W_1$  is proposed at  $U_2$ .
3.  $U_1$  gets notified that  $W_1$  is proposed. There can be multiple works that are proposed by other nodes.
4.  $U_1$  can accept one of the proposed work, or wait. If  $N_1$  expires, all proposed works expire at the same time and the case is over.

### 4.3 Accept a work proposal

1. if  $U_1$  accepts  $W_1$  from  $U_2$ ,  $U_1$  needs to send bitcoins to  $U_2$ 's bitcoin address, at the same time sends an network packet to  $U_2$ , notifieds that  $W_1$  is accepted and  $U_2$  can give a solution to  $W_1$ , or the solution can be a proof-of-work-done if it is not transferable online.
2. After  $U_2$  submits a solution for  $W_1$ ,  $U_1$  receives a network packet notification that he can review the solution from  $U_2$ . Now  $U_1$  can either choose to accept or reject the solution.
3. when  $U_1$  logs off, it will not longer be able to accept work solutions.  $U_1$  is supposed to be online until a solution is accepted.

#### 4.4 Accept a work solution

1. If the solution is accepted,  $U_1$  will offered a rating chance to rate  $U_2$ 's work quality as excellent or good, and this rating is boardcasted.
2. If the solution is rejected, then  $U_1$  now needs to submit a dispute  $D_1$ . The dispute will decide if  $U_2$ 's work quality is good or bad. If the dispute result is good,  $U_1$ 's honest rating will be deducted.

#### 4.5 Resolve a dispute

1. nodes can choose to peer-review dispute cases to earn honest rating. A dispute is resolved only after reviewed by  $\log(K)$  randomly chosen nodes.
2. when  $U_1$  files  $D_1$ , it searches for other voter Nodes and pick one randomly, say  $U_3$ .
3.  $U_1$  sets  $D_1$ 's next\_voter\_address to  $U_3$ , and  $U_3$  dupes  $D_1$  locally.
4.  $U_3$  reviews the case, vote, and picks another voter node randomly, until reaches  $U_{\log(K)}$ .
5.  $U_{\log(K)}$  boardcasts the result.

### 5 Super nodes: needer nodes or voter nodes

Since needers have to be staying online to wait for solutions, thus they are most likely potential voter nodes. These nodes can be seen as super nodes that can be used to handle extra services.

## 6 Security

It's easy to see that the system is prone to fraud client attacks. A fraud node can boardcast fake honest rating results.

## References