Multiple scattering 1/2

## i Helix equation fit

The points will lay on a helix, or (for our purposes) on a circle (we will neglect the z axis). The error  $\varepsilon_i$  of the measurement point i will be given by:

 $\varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2}\rho r_i^2 - (1 + \rho d)r_i \sin(\phi_i - \phi_0) + \frac{1}{2}\rho d^2 + d - y_i \tag{i}$ 

there  $r_i$  is the layer radius,  $\phi_0$  is the initial track's polar angle in the transverse plane,  $\phi_i$  is the polar angle of each hit,  $\rho$  is the curvature of the track ( $\rho = 1/R$ , with R radius of curvature) and d is the distance of closest approach of the track to the z axis. The curvature  $\rho$  is related to the transverse momentum according to

$$\rho(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{0.3B}{p_T} \tag{2}$$

assuming a single-charged particle, with B measured in Tesla and  $p_T$  in GeV/c. Assuming the CMS magnet field of 3.8 T, this becomes

$$\rho(\text{mm}) = \frac{1.14 \times 10^3}{p_T} \tag{3}$$

We have a set of measurement points, where the only error is baically on the y position of hit  $y_i = d \sin(\phi - \phi_0)$ . For momenta high enough, fitting the helix reduces to minimzing the  $\chi^2$  defined as follows

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_j C_{i,j}^{-1} \varepsilon_i \tag{4}$$

with  $C_{i,j}$  the correlation between the measurement points. The weight matrix W will be:

$$W_{k,l} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_k} C_{i,j}^{-1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_j}{\partial \alpha_l} \tag{5}$$

with  $\alpha_1 = \rho$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \phi$ ,  $\alpha_3 = d$ . The covariance matrix S is given by  $S = W^{-1}$ , and thus the measurement errors are:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta \rho & = & \sqrt{W_{1,1}^{-1}} \\ \Delta \phi & = & \sqrt{W_{2,2}^{-1}} \\ \Delta d & = & \sqrt{W_{3,3}^{-1}} \end{array}$$

which can be also written as

$$\sigma_{\rho}^{2} = S_{1,1}$$
 $\sigma_{\phi}^{2} = S_{2,2}$ 
 $\sigma_{d}^{2} = S_{3,3}$ 
 $\sigma_{\rho,\phi} = S_{1,2}$ 
...

to evidentiate the covariances. The derivatives of (1) are:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_1} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{1}{2} r_i^2 + d(d+y_i) \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_2} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \phi} &= -x_i (1+\rho d) \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_3} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial d} &= 1+\rho (d-y_i) \end{split}$$

Multiple scattering 2/2

If we take into account that  $d \ll r_i$ ,  $d \ll 1/\rho$ ,  $y_i \ll 1/\rho$ ,  $r_i \simeq x_i$  we can approximate the previous set of equations as

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_1} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{1}{2} r_i^2 \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_2} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \phi} &= -r_i \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_3} &= \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial d} &= 1 \end{split}$$

If we have M measurement points, the partial derivatives matrix D will be  $M \times 3$  and defined by

$$D_{i,j} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_i}{\partial \alpha_j} \tag{6}$$

and the  $3 \times 3$  weight matrix W will be (in matrix notation)  $W = D^T C^{-1} D$ .

## 2 Error estimate

## 2.1 Track parameters

Given the layer radii  $x_n = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  with scattering angles  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_3$ , then the deviation from the ideal path  $y_n$  is

$$y_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_n - x_i) \,\theta_i \tag{7}$$

The angles  $\theta_i$  are distributed as a Gaussian, with r.m.s. such that

$$\langle \theta^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{13.6 \,\text{MeV}}{p}\right)^2 \frac{x}{X_0} \left[1 + 0.038 \log\left(\frac{x}{X_0}\right)\right]^2$$
 (8)

The correlation between two deviations  $y_n, y_m$  is (we will assume without loss of generality that  $m \ge n$ )

$$a_{n,m} \langle y_n y_m \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_m - x_i) \theta_i \times \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (x_n - x_j) \theta_j \right\rangle$$
(9)

Since the angles  $\theta_i$  are uncorrelated, any term containing in  $\langle \theta_i \theta_j \rangle$  with  $i \neq j$  will be zero, thus

$$a_{n,m} = \langle y_n y_m \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (x_m - x_i) (x_n - x_j) \theta_i \theta_j \delta_{i,j} \right\rangle$$

$$a_{n,m} = \langle y_n y_m \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_m - x_i) (x_n - x_i) \left\langle \theta_i^2 \right\rangle$$
(10)

The measurement "error" depends both on the scattering of the real track with respect to the ideal case and also on the intrinsic measurement error  $\sigma_i$ , which depends approximately on the strip pitch  $p_i$  according to  $\sigma_i = p_i/\sqrt{12}$ , or  $\sigma_i^2 = p_i^2/12$ , thus the covariance matrix  $b_{n,m}$  is

$$b_{n,m} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_m - x_i) (x_n - x_i) \langle \theta_i^2 \rangle & n < m \\ p_n^2 / 12 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_n - x_i)^2 \langle \theta_i^2 \rangle & n = m \\ b_{m,n} & n > m \end{cases}$$
(II)

Let's suppose we have N hits, but in these N only M are measurement points and N-M are hits on inactive surfaces. In this matrix  $b_{n,m}$  is computed exactly in the same way, but the rows and columns corresponding to the inactive hits are removed. We thus start from a  $N \times N$  square matrix of correlations  $b_{n,m}$  and we end up with a  $M \times M$  measurement point covariance matrix  $C_{n,m}$ , or in matrix notation C.