

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Report

Date	03 may 2024
Team ID	738323
Project Title	SmartLender - Applicant Credibility Prediction for Loan Approval
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The Model Optimization and Tuning Phase involves refining machine learning models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final model selection for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (6 Marks):

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters	Optimal Values
Decision Tree	<pre>#Define the hyperparameters and thier possible values for tuning param_grid={ 'criterion':['gini','entropy'], 'splitter':['best','random'], 'max_depth':[None,10,20,30,40,50], 'min_samples_split':[2,5,10], 'min_samples_leaf':[1,2,4], }</pre>	<pre>#evaluate the performance of the tuned model accuracy=accuracy_score(y_test,dt_pred) print(f'optimal parameters:{best_params}') print(f'accuracy on test set:{accuracy}')</pre> <p>· optimal parameters: {'criterion': 'entropy', 'max_depth': 10, 'min_samples_leaf': 1, accuracy on test set:0.7928571428571428</p>
Random Forest	<pre>rf_model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, random_state=42) #define the hyperparametrns and thier possible values for tuning param_grid={ 'n_estimators':[50,100,200], 'criterion':['gini','entropy'], 'max_depth':[None,10,20,30], 'min_samples_split':[2,5,10], 'min_samples_leaf':[1,2,4], }</pre>	<pre>[192] #evaluate the performance of the tuned model accuracy=accuracy_score(y_test,rf_pred) print(f'optimal parameters:{best_params}') print(f'accuracy on test set:{accuracy}')</pre> <p>→ optimal parameters: {'learning_rate': 0.2, 'max_depth': 5, 'min_samples_leaf': 1, 'accuracy on test set:0.85</p>

KNN	<pre>[164] knn_model = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=5)</pre> <pre>[165] param_grid={ 'n_neighbors':[3,5,7,9], 'weights':['uniform','distance'], 'p':[1,2] }</pre>	<pre>#evaluate the performance of the tuned model accuracy=accuracy_score(y_test,knn_pred) print(f'optimal parameters:{best_params}') print(f'accuracy on test set:{accuracy}')</pre> <p>optimal parameters: {'n_neighbors': 9, 'p': 1, 'weights': 'distance'} accuracy on test set:0.8142857142857143</p>
Gradient Boosting	<pre>174] xgb=XGBClassifier()</pre> <pre>175] #define hyperparameters and thier possible values for tuning param_grid={ 'n_estimators':[50,100,200], 'learning_rate':[0.01,0.1,0.2], 'max_depth':[2,5,10], 'min_samples_leaf':[1,2,4], 'subsample':[0.8,1.0] }</pre>	<pre>#evaluate the performance of the tuned model accuracy=accuracy_score(y_test,xgb_pred) print(f'optimal parameters:{best_params}') print(f'accuracy on test set:{accuracy}')</pre> <p>optimal parameters: {'learning_rate': 0.2, 'max_depth': 5, 'min_samples_leaf': 1} accuracy on test set:0.8428571428571429</p>

Performance Metrics Comparison Report (2 Marks):

Model	Optimized Metric																														
Decision Tree	<div><div><div><div><div></div><div>print(confusion_matrix(y_test,</div><div>Loading...</div></div><div><div></div><div>print(classification_report(y_test,dt_pred))</div></div></div></div></div> <div><div><div></div><div>[[54 16]</div><div>[13 57]]</div></div><table><thead><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>0</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.77</td><td>0.79</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0.78</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.80</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.79</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>0.79</td><td>0.79</td><td>0.79</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>0.79</td><td>0.79</td><td>0.79</td><td>140</td></tr></tbody></table></div>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	0.81	0.77	0.79	70	1	0.78	0.81	0.80	70	accuracy			0.79	140	macro avg	0.79	0.79	0.79	140	weighted avg	0.79	0.79	0.79	140
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																											
0	0.81	0.77	0.79	70																											
1	0.78	0.81	0.80	70																											
accuracy			0.79	140																											
macro avg	0.79	0.79	0.79	140																											
weighted avg	0.79	0.79	0.79	140																											

Random Forest	<pre>print(confusion_matrix(y_test,rf_pred)) print(classification_report(y_test,rf_pred))</pre> <div>[[58 12] [9 61]]</div> <table><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0.87</td><td>0.83</td><td>0.85</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0.84</td><td>0.87</td><td>0.85</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.85</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>0.85</td><td>0.85</td><td>0.85</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>0.85</td><td>0.85</td><td>0.85</td><td>140</td></tr></table>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	0.87	0.83	0.85	70	1	0.84	0.87	0.85	70	accuracy			0.85	140	macro avg	0.85	0.85	0.85	140	weighted avg	0.85	0.85	0.85	140
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																											
0	0.87	0.83	0.85	70																											
1	0.84	0.87	0.85	70																											
accuracy			0.85	140																											
macro avg	0.85	0.85	0.85	140																											
weighted avg	0.85	0.85	0.85	140																											
KNN	<pre>173] print(confusion_matrix(y_test,knn_pred)) print(classification_report(y_test,knn_pred))</pre> <div>[[57 13] [13 57]]</div> <table><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.81</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.81</td><td>140</td></tr></table>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	0.81	0.81	0.81	70	1	0.81	0.81	0.81	70	accuracy			0.81	140	macro avg	0.81	0.81	0.81	140	weighted avg	0.81	0.81	0.81	140
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																											
0	0.81	0.81	0.81	70																											
1	0.81	0.81	0.81	70																											
accuracy			0.81	140																											
macro avg	0.81	0.81	0.81	140																											
weighted avg	0.81	0.81	0.81	140																											
Gradient Boosting	<pre>print(confusion_matrix(y_test,xgb_pred)) print(classification_report(y_test,xgb_pred))</pre> <div>[[57 13] [9 61]]</div> <table><tr><th></th><th>precision</th><th>recall</th><th>f1-score</th><th>support</th></tr><tr><td>0</td><td>0.86</td><td>0.81</td><td>0.84</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>0.82</td><td>0.87</td><td>0.85</td><td>70</td></tr><tr><td>accuracy</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.84</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>macro avg</td><td>0.84</td><td>0.84</td><td>0.84</td><td>140</td></tr><tr><td>weighted avg</td><td>0.84</td><td>0.84</td><td>0.84</td><td>140</td></tr></table>		precision	recall	f1-score	support	0	0.86	0.81	0.84	70	1	0.82	0.87	0.85	70	accuracy			0.84	140	macro avg	0.84	0.84	0.84	140	weighted avg	0.84	0.84	0.84	140
	precision	recall	f1-score	support																											
0	0.86	0.81	0.84	70																											
1	0.82	0.87	0.85	70																											
accuracy			0.84	140																											
macro avg	0.84	0.84	0.84	140																											
weighted avg	0.84	0.84	0.84	140																											

Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

Final Model	Reasoning
Random Forest	The Random Forest model was selected for its superior performance, exhibiting high accuracy. Its ability to handle complex relationships, minimize overfitting, and optimize predictive accuracy aligns with project objectives, justifying its selection as the final model.