

Student: Passang Tshering 34120824

Question	Feedback	Mark:	
Question 1: Relational algebra (20 marks)	<p>e. Select operator is Unary. Given that the select is operated on the same attribute, AND cannot be used. INTERSECT should have been employed instead.</p> <p>h. Requires use of AND.</p> <p>i. Requires use of MINUS.</p> <p>j. Incomplete.</p> <p>Should employ parentheses when making more than two joins.</p>		
		<b>Mark:</b>	10
Question 2: SQL SELECT queries (20 marks)	<p>a. This query returned 27 rows. Requires COUNT on TITLE.</p> <p>b. Should use LIKE with wildcard % as two rows should have returned.</p> <p>d. 't' was never declared (i.e. dtotohey.trans T) so the query errors.</p> <p>e. OK, though 'where datedeceased is not null' would have been better.</p> <p>h. Horiuchi with a TotalSold of 2 is a wrong result. Mark Tobey had 7.</p> <p>i. Incomplete.</p> <p>I've assumed that i statement is for question j.</p>		
		<b>Mark:</b>	12
Question 3: Further SQL (15 marks)	<p>b. A VARCHAR2 of 2000 is overkill. HomeTeamID and AwayTeamID should be FKs that reference TeamID. Missing constraint for win, lose or draw result. MatchDate should be type Date.</p> <p>c. This constraint should have been part of the create table in B. There is no constraint on scores being between 0 and 999 (inclusive).</p>		
		<b>Mark:</b>	10
Question 4: Normalisation (20 marks)	No mention of PK/FK attribute assignment in the new design to inhibit violations of referential integrity.		
		<b>Mark:</b>	14
Question 5: Conceptual Design (25 marks)	<p>Missing legend.</p> <p>All relationships have been set as mandatory one or optional many, which only applies sometimes.</p> <p>No use of FKs.</p> <p>A crew member is an employee, no relationship is portrayed.</p>		
		<b>Mark:</b>	10
		<b>Late submission deductions:</b>	15%
		<b>Total:</b>	48