

PART 1: ANALYTIC EXERCISES

Exercise 1. Prove that $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ is invertible

To do so I will first prove that if a square matrix is positive definite, then it is invertible.

Let \mathbf{A} be a square matrix.

Fact (1): \mathbf{A} is non-singular iff it is invertible.

Fact (2): \mathbf{A} is non-singular iff its determinant is non-zero.

Fact (3): If \mathbf{A} is positive definite, then it has a unique decomposition $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^T$ where \mathbf{D} is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues and \mathbf{V} is an orthogonal matrix ($\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$).

Fact (4): If \mathbf{A} is positive definite, then it has positive eigenvalues.

Proof: $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \lambda\mathbf{z} \implies \mathbf{z}'\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \lambda\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z}$ and since LHS is positive and $\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z} > 0$, then $\lambda > 0$

Fact (5): If \mathbf{A} is positive definite, then it has a non-zero determinant.

Proof: By the single value decomposition, $|\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{V}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^T| = |\mathbf{V}|^2|\mathbf{D}| = |\mathbf{D}| > 0$ [by Fact (4)].

Fact (6): By all the previous, if a matrix \mathbf{A} is positive definite, then it is invertible.

So I have to show that the matrix $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ is positive definite.

We know that a matrix \mathbf{M} is positive definite if and only if $\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{M}\mathbf{z} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Let \mathbf{X} be of dimension $n \times k$. Then we have that: $\mathbf{z}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\mathbf{z} = (\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i z_i)^T (\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_i z_i) = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}$.

Note that by the L.I. property, since $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$, then $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} > 0$. Then, $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$ is positive definite and then invertible.

Exercise 2. Prove that P is the identity

Since \mathbf{X} is square and L.I., by fact in slide 33 it is non-singular, and by fact it is invertible.

Note that this makes sense since the columns of \mathbf{X} conform a basis for the whole of \mathbb{R}^n , which means that for any equation of the type $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$ there exists a unique solution and is given by $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

Then, $P = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'^{-1}\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{I}$. This makes sense by the definition of P . Recall

$$\hat{y} \equiv \arg \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|y - z\| = Py \quad (1)$$

and in this particular case, we can take that norm all the way to 0, which, by definition of metric spaces, can only happen if $\hat{y} = y \iff P = I$

Exercise 3. .

Since the basis we are working with is a singleton (vector of ones), we get $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{1}\} \equiv \{\text{all } \alpha\mathbf{1} \text{ s.t. } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Note that in this case we can rewrite the definition of the projection as the minimization of the sum of the squared residuals [due to definition of Euclidean Distance]:

$$\arg \min_{\mathbf{z} \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{1}\}} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - z)^2 \quad (2)$$

And from FOC it is direct that $z = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i y_i$

Exercise 4. .

If the matrix of regressors contains the intercept, then the sum of the residuals equals 0. The proof is direct when solving for the vector of regression coefficients. Recall

$$\hat{\beta} \equiv \arg \min_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^k} \sum_{n=1}^N [y_n - \mathbf{b}'\mathbf{x}_n]^2 \quad (3)$$

Letting $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{z}]$, the FOC yields: $\sum_{n=1}^N [y_n - c - \hat{\mathbf{b}}'\mathbf{z}_n] = \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{u}}_n = 0 \implies c = \bar{y} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}'\bar{\mathbf{z}}$

Note that if $c \neq 0$ then by omitting the constant we would have a positive sum.

Note that by theorem $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is orthogonal to S . In particular, it is orthogonal to the vector of constants. This means that the inner product between the residuals and the vector of ones is 0, and since is nothing else than the N times the mean of the residuals, we arrive to the same conclusion.

Exercise 5. .

By definition, $S \cap S^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in S \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x} \perp S\}$. In particular, for an element $\mathbf{x} \in S \cap S^\perp$ we have that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$. Since this is the square of a norm, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = 0 \implies \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ by definition of a norm.