

**In evaluating the project, please consider the following grading policy stated in the syllabus:**

The quality of the final prototype and the associated system documentation (Part 1) will determine the final group grade. However, significant deficiencies in Part 2 can reduce the final grade. The prototype may be evaluated using the “Contract” (Assignment#1) as a reference. In addition to the evaluations by the instructor and the mentor, another faculty member of the LCSEE Department or an outside expert may be asked to provide an external perspective, where appropriate.

1. Grade “A” will be assigned only to projects that are functionally complete, well packaged, tested and documented. The project must be demonstrable by anybody, who is not a member of the group with the sole help of the User’s Manual. There should be no “hard coding” of any parameters user modifiable parameters.
2. Grade “B” will be assigned to projects that meet the standards of #1 above except that some minor functionality may be missing.
3. Grade “C” will be assigned to projects that are substantially complete and well documented, but may have an incomplete user interface and may not have been fully tested.
4. Grade “D” will be assigned to projects that are incomplete, but have the potential for success.
5. Grade “F” will be assigned to all projects that show little value addition beyond the base technology.

Please return this to Ramana Reddy ( [Ramana.Reddy@mail.wvu.edu](mailto:Ramana.Reddy@mail.wvu.edu) ) by April 24, 2017 (Monday).

Evaluator's Name:

Evaluator's Role (Mentor/Instructor/External):

Date:

Project Name:

Group#:

Names of Group Members:

After seeing the demonstration and examining the system manual, please complete the table below:

Evaluation Category	Complete	Substantially Complete	Minimally Complete	Incomplete/nonexistent	Comments
1. Functional Completeness					
2. Packaging and Integration					
3. User Interface					
4. Degree of Testing					
5. Documentation (System Manual)					

1. Based on your evaluation can you recommend a grade for the group?
2. Based on your observation of the group throughout the two semesters, can you recommend specific grades for individual members of the group? If yes, please list the grade for each member of the group along with an appropriate observation.
3. General comments on how this project may be improved in future semesters
4. General comments on how the Senior Design Process may be improved.