

MATH-F-427 students

# Coxeter groups

Course notes

May 25, 2019

ULB



---

## Contents

0.1	Geometric representation .....	2
-----	--------------------------------	---



**Warnig: hypothesis reduced in the exchange property!**

**Theorem 0.1 (Matsumoto).** *Let  $W$  be a group and  $S \subset W$  a finite subset of generators of  $W$  of order 2. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $(W, S)$  is a Coxeter system.
- (ii)  $(W, S)$  satisfies the exchange property.
- (iii)  $(W, S)$  satisfies the deletion property.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). This implication has already been shown above.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Let  $w = s_1 \dots s_k$  such that  $\ell(w) < k$ . Let  $i$  be maximal such that  $s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_k$  is not reduced (i.e.  $s_{i+1} \dots s_k$  is reduced). We have  $\ell(s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_k) \leq k - i = \ell(s_{i+1} \dots s_k)$ . Now, using exchange property, we obtain  $s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_k = s_{i+1} \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k$  for some  $i + 1 \leq j \leq k$ . Therefore,  $w = s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_i s_{i+1} \dots s_k = s_1 \dots s_{i-1} \hat{s}_i s_{i+1} \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k$  and we have the result (let us note that this implication remains true for weaker hypothesis since we did not use the fact that  $S$  is of order 2).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $w = s_1 \dots s_k$ ,  $k = \ell(w)$ ,  $s \in S$ , such that  $\ell(sw) = \ell(ss_1 \dots s_k) \leq \ell(w) = \ell(s_1 \dots s_k) = k$ . So  $ss_1 \dots s_k$  is not reduced. We can apply the deletion property. Suppose that  $sw = ss_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k$  (but  $\ell(sw) \leq k - 1 < \ell(w)$ ). So  $ssw = sss_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k$ . This leads to  $\ell(s_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k) < k$ , which is a contradiction, so this case has to be excluded. Hence, we have  $sw = \hat{s}_i s_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots s_k$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Using (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), we can assume both (ii) and (iii). Define  $m(s, s') = \text{order of } ss' \text{ in } W$ , for all  $s, s' \in S$ . Let  $(\tilde{W}, S)$  be the Coxeter group associated to  $m$ . Clearly,  $\phi : \tilde{W} \mapsto W, s \mapsto s$  is a surjective homomorphism. We need to show that  $\phi$  is also injective. Let  $s_1 \dots s_m = e$  in  $W$ . By the deletion property,  $m$  is even, say  $m = 2k$ . So we can write our relation on the form

$$s_1 \dots s_k = s'_1 \dots s'_k \quad (0.1)$$

where  $s'_1 = s_{2k}, \dots, s'_k = s_{k+1}$ . We must now prove that (0.1) is a consequence of the pairwise relations  $(ss')^{m(s, s')} = e$ . The proof is done by induction on  $k$ , the case  $k = 1$  being trivially correct.

- Case 1: Suppose  $w := s_1 \dots s_k$  is not reduced. By deletion property, there exists a position  $1 \leq i < k$  such that  $s_{i+1} s_{i+2} \dots s_k$  is reduced but  $s_i s_{i+1} s_{i+2} \dots s_k$  is not. By the exchange property, we then have that  $s_{i+1} s_{i+2} \dots s_k = s_i s_{i+1} \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k$  for some  $i < j \leq k$ . This relation is of length  $< 2k$  and hence fine. Plugging this result into (0.1) gives  $s_1 \dots s_i s_i s_{i+1} \dots \hat{s}_j \dots s_k = s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k$ . The factor  $s_i s_i$  can be deleted, leaving a relation of length  $< 2k$ . Hence the relation (0.1) is fine.
- Case 2: Suppose  $w = s_1 \dots s_k$  is reduced,  $k = \ell(w)$ . We can assume that  $s_1 \neq s'_1$  (otherwise the relation (0.1) is equivalent to a shorter relation). We have  $\ell(s'_1 s_1 s_2 \dots s_k) = \ell(s'_1 s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k) = \ell(s'_2 \dots s'_k) \leq k - 1 < \ell(s_1 \dots s_k)$ . Using exchange property, we have  $s'_1 s_1 \dots s_k = s_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots s_k$  for some  $i$ . Hence,  $s_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots s_k = s'_2 \dots s'_k$ .

If  $i < k$ , then  $s'_1 s_1 s_2 \dots s_{k-1} = s_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots s_{k-1}$ . So  $s'_1 s_1 s_2 \dots s_{k-1} s_k = s_1 \dots \hat{s}_i \dots s_{k-1} s_k$ . Hence,  $s'_1 s_1 \dots s_k = s'_2 \dots s_k$  is a consequence of Coxeter relations.

If  $i = k$ , we have to work a little bit harder. We have  $s'_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} = s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_k$ . Thus it will suffice to show that  $s_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} = s_1 s_2 \dots s_k$  is a consequence of Coxeter relations. Applying recursively the rule, we have  $s_1 s'_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-2} = s'_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-1} \Rightarrow s'_1 s_1 s'_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-3} = s_1 s'_1 s_1 \dots s_{k-2} \Rightarrow \dots$ . Thus in the end, the question will be reduced to the relation  $s_1 s'_1 s_1 s'_1 \dots = s'_1 s_1 s'_1 s_1 \dots$ , which is of course a consequence of the Coxeter relation  $(s_1 s'_1)^{m(s, s')} = e$ .

*Example 0.2.* The group  $S_n$  can be generated by transpositions, which are order 2 elements. Using the above theorem, we conclude that  $S_n$  is actually a Coxeter group.

## 0.1 Geometric representation

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system,  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ ,  $m$  the associated Coxeter matrix. We write  $m_{ij} = m(s_i, s_j)$ . Let  $V$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of dimension  $n$ , with a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . We consider the symmetric bilinear map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \mapsto \mathbb{R} \quad (0.2)$$

defined through

$$\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \begin{cases} -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right) & \text{if } m_{ij} < +\infty \\ -1 & \text{if } m_{ij} = +\infty \end{cases} \quad (0.3)$$

Not that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is not positive definite in general.

**Proposition 0.3.** *The following map extends to a homomorphism:*

$$W \mapsto GL(V), s_i \rightarrow \sigma_i \quad (0.4)$$

where  $\sigma_i : v \rightarrow v - 2\langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i$ .

*Remark 0.4.* We have  $\sigma_i(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i - 2\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i = -\alpha_i$ . Thus, if  $v \in V$  is such that  $\langle v, \alpha_i \rangle = 0$ , then  $\sigma_i(v) = v$ . Therefore, if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  was positive definite,  $\sigma_i$  would be interpreted as a reflexion through the hyperplane orthogonal to  $\alpha_i$ .

*Proof.* First, let us show that  $\sigma_i$  is invertible for all  $i$ . We have  $\sigma_i^2(v) = \sigma_i(v) - 2\langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \sigma_i(\alpha_i) = v - 2\langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i + 2\langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i = v$ .

Now, let us show that  $(\sigma_i \sigma_j)^{m_{ij}} = Id_V$ . For  $i \neq j$ , define  $V_{ij} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\})$ . Furthermore,  $V_{ij}^\perp = \{v \in V \mid \langle v, \alpha_i \rangle = 0, \langle v, \alpha_j \rangle = 0\}$ . Before proceeding, we show the following lemma:

**Lemma 0.5.**  $V = V_{ij} \oplus V_{ij}^\perp$  if  $m_{ij} < +\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$ . We want to find  $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tilde{v} = \lambda_i \alpha_i + \lambda_j \alpha_j \in V_{ij}$  and  $v - \tilde{v} \in V_{ij}^\perp$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{v}, \alpha_i \rangle &= \lambda_i \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle + \lambda_j \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle \\ &= \lambda_i + C \lambda_j \end{aligned} \quad (0.5)$$

where  $C = \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = -\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right)$ . Furthermore,

$$\langle \tilde{v}, \alpha_j \rangle = \lambda_i C + \lambda_j \quad (0.6)$$

Since

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C \\ C & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 - C^2 = 1 - \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{m_{ij}}\right) \neq 0, \quad (0.7)$$

if  $m_{ij} < +\infty$ . Therefore, we can find unique  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  such that

$$\langle \tilde{v}, \alpha_i \rangle = \langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tilde{v}, \alpha_j \rangle = \langle v, \alpha_j \rangle \quad (0.8)$$

Now let us come back to the proof of the proposition. Using the lemma, we have  $v = \tilde{v} + (v - \tilde{v})$  such that  $\langle v - \tilde{v}, \alpha_i \rangle = 0 = \langle v - \tilde{v}, \alpha_j \rangle$ . Hence  $\sigma_i(v - \tilde{v}) = v - \tilde{v} - 2\langle v - \tilde{v}, \alpha_i \rangle \alpha_i = v - \tilde{v}$  and  $\sigma_j(v - \tilde{v}) = v - \tilde{v}$ . In the basis  $\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\}$  of  $V_{ij}$ , the matrix associated to  $\sigma_i$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.9)$$

In fact, we have  $\sigma_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i$  and  $\sigma_j(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - 2C\alpha_i$ . Similarly, the matrix associated to  $\sigma_j$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2C & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.10)$$

Therefore,

$$(\sigma_i)(\sigma_j) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2C \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -2C & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 + 4C^2 & 2C \\ -2C & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.11)$$

The characteristic polynomial  $P$  of this matrix is given by  $P(t) = t^2 - (-2 + 4C^2)t + 1$ . The roots are given by  $t_\pm = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{m_{ij}}\right) \pm i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{m_{ij}}\right)$ . This characterizes a rotation of  $2\pi/m_{ij}$ . So, the order of  $\sigma_i \sigma_j$  is given by  $m_{ij}$ .