

MATH-F-427 students

Coxeter groups

Course notes

June 9, 2019

ULB

Contents

Definition 0.1. Let A be an algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then, the **transcendence degree** of A over \mathbb{C} is the maximal number of algebraically independent elements of A . Recall that a subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset A$ is **algebraically independent** if and only if there exists no polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying that $P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$.

Proposition 0.2. Let $G \subset GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ then, the transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ over G is n .

Proof. First of all, let us remember that $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ is a sub algebra of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. In particular, this implies that the transcendence degree $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ over \mathbb{C} is at most n . Indeed, if $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}\} \subset \mathbb{C}[x]^G$ were algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} , this would imply that $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$ is an algebraically independent set of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ and therefore contradicts the fact that the transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is n . On the other hand, let us remark every of the x_i is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$. Indeed, it is not hard to realise that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the polynomial :

$$P_i(t) = \prod_{A \in G} (Ax_i - t) \quad (0.1)$$

is in $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$. Furthermore, since $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^n} \in G$, we know that $P_i(x_i) = 0$. In particular, this proves that the x_i are algebraic over $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$. However, since those are algebraically independent elements in $\mathbb{C}[x]$, this is only possible if there exists at least n algebraically independent elements of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. This proves that the transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is at least n and therefore, as a consequence of our previous discussion, this proves that it is exactly n .

Definition 0.3. An element $A \in GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a **pseudo-reflection** if $\dim(\text{Ker}(A) - \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) = n - 1$ and A is of finite order in G .

Definition 0.4. A finite subgroup G of $GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is a **complex reflection group** if it is generated by reflections.

Example 0.5. The dihedral group D^6 can be seen as a group generated by reflection when it is considered as a subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{C}^2)$. In this case, it is nothing more than the group of symmetries of a regular hexagon in the plane. However, when we consider D^6 as the subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$ generated by :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3}/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

can not be generated by reflections. In particular, it is therefore not a complex reflection group in $GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$. This example is of special interest since it shows that the definition depends on the dimension considered.

Now, let $\sigma \in GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be reflection and let $H_\sigma = \text{Ker}(\sigma - \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$. Then, we know that H_σ is the solution of a linear equation given by a polynomial

$L_\sigma \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Moreover, this linear polynomial is unique up to multiplication by a non-zero complex number. The following lemma gives describe an interesting property of this polynomial.

Lemma 0.6. *For every function $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and for every reflection $\sigma \in GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ we the polynomial L_σ divides the polynomial $\sigma f - f$.*

Proof. Let $v \in H_\sigma$. Then, by definition $\sigma(v) = v$. In particular, this implies for every $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ that $\sigma f(v) = f(v)$ and therefore that $(\sigma f - f)(v) = 0$ for every $v \in H_\sigma$. Furthermore, since L_σ is of degree one, it is irreducible. In particular, the Nullstellensatz theorem implies that L_σ divides $\sigma f - f$ since H_π is the ideal generated by the irreducible polynomial L_σ .

Now, let us define I_G as the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ generated by homogeneous invariant polynomial of positive degree.

Proposition 0.7. *Let G be a finite reflection group, let h_1, \dots, h_m be homogeneous polynomial of $\mathbb{C}[x]$, let $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{C}[x]^G$ be homogeneous invariant polynomials and let us suppose that :*

$$g_1 h_1 + g_2 h_2 + \dots + g_m h_m = 0 \in \mathbb{C}[x] \quad . \quad (0.2)$$

Then, either $h_1 \in I_G$ or g_1 belongs to the ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ generated by $\{g_2, \dots, g_m\}$.

Proof. The proof is done by induction on the degree of h_1 .

- When the degree of $h_1 = 0$ we make two cases. If $h_1 = 0$, we know that $h_1 \in I_G$ and the claim follows. On the other hand, when h_1 is a non zero constant, Equation 0.2 implies that g_1 is in the ideal generated by $\{g_2, \dots, g_m\}$.
- Now, let us suppose that the degree of h_1 is bigger than 1 and that the claim is true for every h'_1 less than the degree of h_1 . Now, let us suppose that g_1 is not in the ideal generated by $\{g_2, \dots, g_m\}$. Then, for every reflection σ and since $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[x]^G$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m$, we know that :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sigma(0) = \sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g_i h_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \sigma h_i. \end{aligned} \quad (0.3)$$

On the other hand, as a consequence of previous lemma, we know that for every $i = 1, \dots, m$ there exists a polynomial \tilde{h}_i such that :

$$\sigma(h_i) = h_i + L_\sigma \tilde{h}_i. \quad (0.4)$$

Further more, since h_i , σh_i and L_σ are homogeneous, this polynomial \tilde{h}_i is also homogeneous in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. Furthermore, the degree of this polynomial \tilde{h}_i

is by definition of degree of $\deg(h_i) - 1$ since L_σ is of degree 1. In particular, we obtain that :

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i(h_i + L_\sigma \tilde{h}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i h_i + L_\sigma \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \tilde{h}_i = L_\sigma \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \tilde{h}_i . \quad (0.5)$$

In particular, using the induction hypotheses, this implies that $\tilde{h}_1 \in I_G$ and therefore that $\sigma h_1 - h_1 = L_\sigma \tilde{h}_1 \in I_G$. However, we know that G is generated by reflection. In particular, this implies that for every $\pi \in G$ there exists reflections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ such that $\pi = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k$. Now, using a telescopic sum, this implies that :

$$\begin{aligned} \pi h_1 - h_1 &= \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k h_1 - h_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{i+1} h_1 - \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_i h_1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_i (\sigma_{i+1} h_1 - h_1) \in I_G . \end{aligned} \quad (0.6)$$

In particular, since :

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi \in G} (\pi h_1 - h_1) = R_G(h_1) - h_1 \quad (0.7)$$

and since $R_G(h_1) \in I_G$ this implies that $h_1 \in I_G$.

Theorem 0.8 (Shepard - Todd - Chevalley). *Let $G \subset GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a finite group. Then, $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ is generated by n algebraically independent homogeneous invariant if and only if G is a reflection group.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Using the Hilbert basis theorem, we know that I_G is finitely generated. In particular, since each of those generating polynomials is invariant, it can be generated by finitely many homogeneous invariant polynomials and we obtain that :

$$I_G = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle \quad (0.8)$$

with f_1, \dots, f_m homogeneous invariant polynomials. Let us remark that this implies that $\mathbb{C}[x]^G = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$. To understand why, let us suppose the opposite. Let $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]^G \setminus \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ be an homogeneous polynomial of minimal degree for this property. Then, $h = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i f_i$ for some homogeneous polynomials g_i . In particular, because of the G invariance of h this implies that :

$$h = R_G(h) = \sum_{i=1}^m R_G(g_i) f_i . \quad (0.9)$$

However, $R_G(g_i)$ is an homogeneous polynomial of degree smaller than h . In particular, this implies by definition of h that $R_G(g_i) \in \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$ and we conclude that $h \in \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$. This leads to some contradiction and proves that $\mathbb{C}[x]^G = \mathbb{C}[f_1, \dots, f_m]$.

Now, let m be some minimal positive integer satisfying the property that :

$$I_G = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle \quad (0.10)$$

with f_1, \dots, f_m homogeneous invariant polynomials. We want to show that $m = n$ or equivalently that $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ are algebraically independent since the transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}[x]^G$ is n . In order to prove this independence, let us reason by contradiction. Let us consider a polynomial $g(Y_1, \dots, Y_m) \in \mathbb{C}[Y_1, \dots, Y_m] \setminus \{0\}$ be such that :

$$g(f_1, \dots, f_m) = 0 \quad (0.11)$$

and assume that g has minimal degree and that every monomials of $g(f_1, \dots, f_m)$ before cancellation have the same degree.

For every $i = 1, \dots, m$ let us consider the polynomial :

$$g_i = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial Y_i} \right) (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \mathbb{C}[x]^G. \quad (0.12)$$

We know that each of the g_i is either 0 or homogeneous of degree $d - \deg(f_i)$. Since $g(Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ is not constant, there exists some index i such that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial Y_i} \neq 0$ and therefore, by minimality assumption, $g_i \neq 0$. Now, let $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_m \rangle$. Up to renaming those polynomials, we can assume that $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$, that no proper subset of $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ generates I and that k is minimal for this property. Then, for every $i = k+1, \dots, m$ there must exists homogeneous polynomials h_{ij} equal to 0 or of degree $\deg(g_i) - \deg(g_j) = \deg(f_i) - \deg(f_j)$ such that :

$$g_i = \sum_{j=1}^k g_{ij} g_j. \quad (0.13)$$

In particular, we see that :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} g(f_1, \dots, f_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_s} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m h_{ij} g_j \right) \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_s} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_s} + \sum_{j=1}^m h_{ij} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_s} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (0.14)$$

As $g_1 \notin \langle g_2, \dots, g_m \rangle$, the last proposition implies that :

$$\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_s} + \sum_{j=k+1}^m h_{ij} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \in I_G . \quad (0.15)$$

In particular, this implies that :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} &= \sum_{s=1}^n x_s \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_s} + \sum_{j=k+1}^m h_{ij} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \\ &= \deg(f_1) f_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \deg(f_i) h_j f_j \\ &\in I_G \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \subset \langle x_1 f_1, \dots, x_n f_m \rangle + \langle f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (0.16)$$

In particular, since every of the polynomial $x_1 f_1, \dots, x_n f_m$ is of degree strictly bigger than \tilde{f} , this implies that $\tilde{f} \in \langle f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle$. In particular, $f_1 \in \langle f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle$ which leads to some contradiction with the minimality of m .