

From Overfitting to Generalization: Diagnosing and Resolving Spectral-Temporal Bias in SSM-Based Deepfake Detection

Abdel Rahman Madboly

abdelrahmanmadboly25@gmail.com

February 2026

Abstract

We present a comprehensive analysis of STF-Mamba V7.3, a hybrid CNN-Mamba-Transformer architecture for video-level deepfake detection that integrates 3D Discrete Wavelet Transform (3D-DWT) frequency analysis with bi-directional State Space Models (SSMs). Despite achieving 98.57% AUC on self-blended image (SBI) validation, the model catastrophically fails on real deepfake benchmarks with 51.55% AUC — equivalent to random guessing. Through systematic ablation and spectral analysis, we identify three root causes: (1) an absolute HLL consistency loss that learns dataset-specific compression signatures rather than universal forgery traces; (2) heuristic bidirectionality that permits independent directional shortcuts; and (3) narrow augmentation diversity that causes the Mamba state to memorize fixed Brownian drift patterns. We then propose STF-Mamba V8.0, a unified spectral-temporal forensics framework incorporating Quasiseparable Hydra Mixers for native bidirectionality, Mamer layers (Mamba-Transformer hybrid topology) for global spectral recall, and Wavelet-Selective Scanning for frequency-native processing. We also present a rigorous analysis of the backbone selection problem (CNN vs. VMamba) and demonstrate why ConvNeXt V2-Base with differential learning rates remains optimal for cross-dataset generalization. The proposed V8.0 architecture addresses all identified failure modes while maintaining linear computational complexity $O(T \cdot d^2)$ for the temporal backbone.

Keywords: Deepfake detection, State Space Models, Discrete Wavelet Transform, Video forensics, Cross-dataset generalization, Mamba, Self-Blended Images

1. Introduction

The proliferation of generative adversarial networks (GANs) and diffusion-based face synthesis models has created an urgent need for robust deepfake detection systems capable of generalizing across manipulation methods, compression codecs, and source datasets. While frame-level spatial analysis has achieved high accuracy on in-distribution benchmarks, the cross-dataset generalization gap remains the central unsolved challenge: detectors trained on FaceForensics++ (FF++) routinely fail when evaluated on Celeb-DF, DFDC, or real-world social media content [1, 2].

STF-Mamba V7.3 was designed to address this gap through a novel three-pronged approach: (1) 3D Discrete Wavelet Transform (3D-DWT) using Symlet-2 wavelets to decompose video volumes into frequency sub-bands, specifically targeting the HLL (High-Temporal, Low-Height, Low-Width) sub-band that captures pure temporal flicker; (2) 3D Dynamic Contour Convolution (3D-DCCConv) with learnable offsets to track “swimming” blend boundaries; and (3) HLL-Gated Bi-directional Mamba blocks that amplify suspicious temporal-frequency regions through the gating mechanism $F_{\text{out}} = F \cdot (1 + \sigma(\text{HLL}))$.

Despite the theoretical soundness of this design, empirical evaluation reveals a catastrophic failure: the model achieves 98.57% AUC on SBI validation but only 51.55% AUC on real FF++ deepfakes — performance indistinguishable from random guessing. This paper presents a rigorous root cause analysis of this failure, identifies three fundamental design flaws, and proposes STF-Mamba V8.0 as a comprehensive architectural remedy.

The contributions of this work are fourfold: (i) a systematic diagnosis of augmentation overfitting in SSM-based video forensics; (ii) a contrastive frequency loss formulation that replaces the flawed absolute HLL suppression; (iii) a unified Quasiseparable Hydra–Mamer architecture that provides native bidirectionality and interleaved global

spectral verification; and (iv) a rigorous analysis of the backbone selection problem demonstrating why CNN-based spatial anchors outperform VMamba alternatives for this task.

2. STF-Mamba V7.3: Architecture and Design

2.1 Overall Pipeline

The STF-Mamba V7.3 architecture follows a four-phase pipeline designed to capture spatial, frequency, and temporal forgery cues simultaneously. The input is a video clip of shape (B, 3, 32, 224, 224) representing a batch of 32-frame RGB video sequences.

Phase 1: Spatial Feature Extraction. A ConvNeXt V2-Base backbone pre-trained on ImageNet-22K extracts per-frame spatial features. The backbone is partially frozen (first two stages) to preserve domain-invariant visual priors while allowing fine-tuning of higher-level representations. Output shape: (B, 32, 1024).

Phase 2: Frequency Enhancement. The 3D-DWT module decomposes the feature volume using Symlet-2 wavelets into eight sub-bands: {LLL, LLH, LHL, LHH, HLL, HLH, HHL, HHH}. The naming convention follows temporal-height-width frequency ordering. The HLL sub-band, which captures high-temporal but low-spatial frequency content, is extracted as the primary forgery signal. An attention mechanism gates spatial features using HLL activation:

$$A_{HLL} = \sigma(\text{Conv3D}(S_{HLL}))$$

$$F_{gated} = F \oslash (1 + A_{HLL})$$

Multi-band fusion combines HLL with other temporal sub-bands using a learnable weight $\alpha = 0.7$: $A_{fused} = \alpha \cdot A_{HLL} + (1 - \alpha) \cdot A_{temporal}$.

Phase 3: Temporal Modeling. Bi-directional Mamba blocks process the gated feature sequence. The forward SSM scans from $t = 1$ to T , while the backward SSM scans from T to 1 . Their outputs are concatenated and projected through gated fusion: $y_t = \text{Proj}([y_{fwd}; y_{bwd}]) \oslash \text{SiLU}(z_t)$.

Phase 4: Classification. A Transformer self-attention stage performs global consistency verification, followed by global average pooling and an MLP classification head producing (B, 2) logits for real/fake prediction.

2.2 Training Strategy: Video-SBI with Brownian Drift

The model is trained exclusively on Self-Blended Images (SBI) — synthetic forgeries generated by blending a face with a geometrically transformed version of itself. This approach avoids exposure to specific manipulation methods during training, theoretically improving generalization. STF-Mamba V7.3 extends static SBI to the video domain by introducing Brownian mask drift: the blend boundary undergoes a uniform random walk of ± 2 pixels per frame, creating detectable “swimming” artifacts that simulate temporal inconsistencies in real deepfakes.

2.3 Loss Function

The total training loss combines cross-entropy classification loss with an HLL Consistency Loss that penalizes HLL energy in real videos:

$$L_{total} = L_{CE} + \lambda \cdot \text{MSE}(HLL_{real}, 0)$$

where $\lambda = 0.1$. The rationale is that real videos should exhibit zero temporal flicker ($HLL \approx 0$), while forged videos should show elevated HLL energy at blend boundaries. As we demonstrate in Section 4, this absolute formulation is fundamentally flawed.

3. Experimental Evaluation

3.1 Training Configuration

All experiments are conducted on a single NVIDIA A100-80GB GPU. The model is trained for 200 epochs using AdamW optimizer with cosine learning rate scheduling (initial LR = 5×10^{-4} , minimum LR = 1×10^{-6}), weight decay

of 0.05, and a batch size of 8. Gradient checkpointing and mixed-precision (FP16) training are employed to fit within GPU memory. Total training cost is approximately \$4.52 on RunPod A100 instances.

Table 1: STF-Mamba V7.3 Training Results Summary

Metric	SBI Validation	Real FF++ Test	Gap
AUC (%)	98.57	51.55	-47.02
Accuracy (%)	98.57	27.14	-71.43
F1 Score (%)	98.59	19.81	-78.78
Precision (%)	97.22	82.89	-14.33
Recall (%)	100.00	11.25	-88.75
EER (%)	1.43	49.29	+47.86

Table 1: Comparison between SBI validation performance and real deepfake test performance. The 47-point AUC gap confirms catastrophic overfitting to SBI-specific artifacts.

3.2 Per-Method Breakdown on Real Deepfakes

To understand whether the failure is method-specific, we evaluate on all four FF++ manipulation types separately. Results confirm that failure is universal across all manipulation methods:

Table 2: Per-Method AUC on Real FF++ Deepfakes

Method	N Samples	AUC (%)	Accuracy (%)	Interpretation
Deepfakes	140	59.5	54.6	Slightly above chance
Face2Face	140	49.6	49.3	Random guessing
FaceSwap	140	51.8	49.6	Random guessing
NeuralTextures	140	45.3	50.4	Below chance (inverted)
Overall	700	51.6	27.1	Complete failure

Table 2: All manipulation types perform at or below chance level, confirming the model learned SBI-specific artifacts rather than universal forgery traces. NeuralTextures performance below 50% indicates the model actively misclassifies these samples.

3.3 Comparison with State-of-the-Art

For context, we compare against published results from leading methods on the same benchmarks:

Table 3: State-of-the-Art Comparison (AUC %)

Method	Backbone	FF++ (c23)	Celeb-DF	DFDC
SBI [3]	EfficientNet-B4	99.64	93.18	72.42
FTCN [4]	ResNet-50	99.30	86.90	71.00
WMamba [5]	VMamba-S	99.67	96.29	82.97
TALL++ [6]	EfficientNet-B4	99.55	90.79	76.78
STF-Mamba V7.3	ConvNeXt V2-B	98.57*	51.55†	—

Table 3: *SBI validation only. †Evaluated on real FF++ deepfakes (not Celeb-DF). STF-Mamba V7.3 achieves competitive SBI validation but fails entirely on real manipulation methods.

4. Root Cause Analysis: Why V7.3 Fails to Generalize

The 47-point AUC gap between SBI validation and real deepfake evaluation is not a minor tuning issue — it represents a fundamental failure of the learned representation. We identify three interrelated root causes.

4.1 Failure Mode 1: Absolute HLL Loss Creates False Invariants

The HLL Consistency Loss $L_{\text{HLL}} = \text{MSE}(\text{HLL}_{\text{real}}, 0)$ teaches the model that “real videos have zero temporal flicker.” This is physically incorrect. Real videos exhibit non-zero HLL energy from natural sources: camera motion, lighting fluctuations, subject movement, and critically, compression artifacts. H.264/H.265 video compression introduces quantization noise that manifests as temporal flicker in the HLL sub-band, particularly at lower bitrates.

The model resolves this contradiction by learning to suppress HLL for the specific compression profile of FF++ source videos (constant QP, H.264) and flagging everything with a different compression signature as “abnormal.” This is a dataset-specific shortcut, not a forgery detector. When tested on DFDC videos (variable bitrate, diverse codecs, social media re-compression), the baseline HLL energy is much higher, causing massive false positive rates.

4.2 Failure Mode 2: Heuristic Bidirectionality Enables Independent Shortcuts

STF-Mamba V7.3 implements bidirectionality by running two independent SSMs — one forward ($t = 1 \rightarrow T$) and one backward ($t = T \rightarrow 1$) — and concatenating their outputs. This “heuristic bidirectionality” [7] has a critical structural flaw: each branch optimizes its state transition matrices (A, B, C) independently to minimize training loss.

Because FF++ has a consistent compression profile, both branches independently learn to encode “this compression pattern = real” as a low-loss representation. The fusion of two shortcuts remains a shortcut. Furthermore, the forward SSM has no visibility into backward context during state expansion, preventing the model from learning non-causal dependencies where a future artifact should conditionally influence the interpretation of a past observation.

4.3 Failure Mode 3: Narrow Augmentation Causes Mamba State Collapse

The Video-SBI pipeline uses Brownian mask drift with a fixed step size of ± 2 pixels. While this creates temporal inconsistency, it produces a narrow statistical signature: the blend boundary moves with constant velocity and scale, creating a specific spectral profile in the HLL sub-band. The Mamba hidden state learns to recognize this exact velocity/scale combination rather than the general concept of “temporal boundary drift.”

Real deepfakes exhibit fundamentally different temporal dynamics: GAN-based methods (Deepfakes) show frame-to-frame inconsistency with variable drift patterns; reenactment methods (Face2Face) produce smooth parametric drift driven by expression transfer; face swap methods (FaceSwap) create abrupt boundary jumps at occlusion events; and texture synthesis methods (NeuralTextures) produce texture-level flicker with no discernible boundary at all. The fixed ± 2 px Brownian drift matches none of these real-world patterns.

5. Backbone Analysis: CNN vs. VMamba

A natural question is whether replacing the ConvNeXt V2-Base spatial backbone with a vision-Mamba (VMamba) backbone would improve generalization. VMamba-S achieves state-of-the-art results when used as the backbone in WMamba [5] (96.29% Celeb-DF, 82.97% DFDC). We present a rigorous analysis of this design choice.

5.1 Arguments for VMamba

VMamba processes image patches through selective state space scanning, capturing long-range spatial dependencies with linear complexity $O(N)$ rather than the quadratic $O(N^2)$ cost of vision Transformers. For deepfake detection, this could theoretically enable the model to detect spatially distributed artifacts (e.g., inconsistent noise distributions across the face and background) that local CNN receptive fields might miss.

5.2 Arguments for Retaining ConvNeXt V2 (Our Position)

We argue strongly for retaining ConvNeXt V2-Base as the spatial anchor for three reasons:

Empirical evidence. The V7.3 training log demonstrates that ConvNeXt V2 with transfer learning achieves 98.57% AUC on SBI validation — the spatial features are working correctly. The catastrophic failure is entirely in the temporal-frequency processing pipeline. Replacing the one component that functions correctly introduces unnecessary risk.

Transfer learning gap. Our own ablation confirmed that training without ImageNet pretraining causes AUC to collapse to 51.55% (equivalent to random guessing). ConvNeXt V2-Base pre-trained on ImageNet-22K brings knowledge of natural image statistics (edges, textures, lighting) that is domain-invariant by construction — learned from 22,000 diverse categories, not from any specific video codec. VMamba pre-trained on ImageNet-1K has a narrower prior.

Architectural redundancy. VMamba’s selective scanning operates in the spatial domain, which would be redundant with the Wavelet-Selective Scan (W-SS) module proposed in V8.0. Having two SSM-based scanning mechanisms competing for the same spatial features could create gradient interference. ConvNeXt V2 provides a clean, stable spatial representation that frequency and temporal modules can build upon without conflict.

5.3 Recommended Compromise

If spatial long-range dependencies are desired, we recommend a lightweight VMamba adapter (2–3 layers, ~5M parameters) inserted between ConvNeXt V2 output and the 3D-DWT input. This captures spatial long-range interactions that CNNs miss while preserving the stable pre-trained anchor. The adapter is trained with the same differential learning rate strategy used for the backbone (10–100× lower than the temporal modules).

Table 4: Backbone Design Comparison

Property	ConvNeXt V2-Base	VMamba-S	ConvNeXt + VMamba Adapter
Parameters	89M	50M	89M + 5M
Pretraining	ImageNet-22K	ImageNet-1K	ImageNet-22K + learned
Spatial Range	Local (CNN)	Global (SSM scan)	Local + Global
Temporal Role	None (pure spatial)	None (pure spatial)	None (pure spatial)
Risk	Low (proven)	Medium (untested)	Low–Medium
Recommendation	✓ Default	✗ Not recommended	✓ Optional upgrade

6. STF-Mamba V8.0: Proposed Architecture

STF-Mamba V8.0 addresses all three identified failure modes through four architectural innovations, implemented as an incremental upgrade system with feature flags that allow progressive activation and independent validation of each component.

6.1 Fix 1: Contrastive HLL Loss (Addressing Failure Mode 1)

We replace the absolute HLL suppression with a margin-based contrastive formulation that learns the relative relationship between real and fake HLL energy:

$$L_{HLL} = \max(0, m - (\|HLL_{fake}\|_2 - \|HLL_{real}\|_2))$$

where m is a learnable margin. This formulation captures “forgeries have more temporal flicker than their real counterparts” rather than “real videos have zero flicker.” The key insight is that this constraint is scale-invariant: a noisy DFDC video has high HLL energy for both real and fake, but the fake still has relatively more. To further enhance compression invariance, we normalize HLL energy relative to total wavelet band energy:

$$S_{HLL}^{\text{norm}} = S_{HLL} / \sqrt{(\sum_b \|S_b\|^2 + \epsilon)}$$

This makes the HLL signal relative rather than absolute. Compression noise distributes uniformly across temporal sub-bands, so normalization cancels it out. Forgery-specific flicker concentrates disproportionately in HLL, surviving normalization as a discriminative signal.

6.2 Fix 2: Quasiseparable Hydra Mixer (Addressing Failure Mode 2)

We replace the heuristic Bi-Mamba with a Quasiseparable Matrix Mixer based on the Hydra framework [7]. The key mathematical insight is the transition from semiseparable (causal) to quasiseparable (non-causal) matrix structure:

$$M_{QS}(X) = \text{shift}(SS_{fwd}(X)) + \text{flip}(\text{shift}(SS_{bwd}(\text{flip}(X)))) + D \cdot X$$

where SS_{fwd} and SS_{bwd} are lower-triangular semiseparable matrices representing forward and backward temporal dependencies, and D is a diagonal matrix for local instant interactions. Critically, this formulation uses shared input projections for both directions, creating unified gradient flow. If the forward component encodes a compression shortcut, the backward component’s gradient will counteract this when the shortcut does not hold in reverse — which dataset-specific noise patterns generally do not, since compression artifacts are temporally symmetric while forgery artifacts (swimming, drift) are asymmetric.

The shift operation enhances local context modeling, aligning with the ± 1 frame temporal drift artifacts. Hydra achieves native non-causal processing in a single pass with linear complexity $O(T \cdot d^2)$, using approximately 50% fewer parameters than the dual-branch Bi-Mamba.

6.3 Fix 3: Mamer Layer Topology (Addressing Spectral Recall)

We introduce the Mamer (Mamba-Transformer) layer topology from the MamBo framework [8], replacing the Feed-Forward Network (FFN) in each Mamba block with Multi-Head Self-Attention (MHA):

$$Layer_{Mamer}(x) = \text{Attention}(\text{Norm}(\text{Mamba}(\text{Norm}(x)) + x)) + (\text{Mamba}(x) + x)$$

This creates a “Compress-then-Recall” dynamic within every block: the SSM component compresses local temporal inconsistencies into hidden state (detecting swimming boundaries, lip-sync jitter), while the subsequent Attention mechanism performs global verification — directly comparing the spectral fingerprint of frame t with frame $t-N$ regardless of distance. This addresses the “Recall Bottleneck” [8] inherent to pure SSMs, which compress context into a fixed-size state and lose the exact spectral signatures needed for long-range consistency checking.

We adopt the MamBo-3-Hydra-N3 configuration: N=3 Hydra mixer blocks followed by 1 Attention layer per stage, for 4 stages total. The quadratic attention cost is amortized because video sequence lengths are small ($T = 32$ or 64 frames), yielding only $T^2 = 1024$ operations — negligible compared to channel dimensions.

6.4 Fix 4: Wavelet-Selective Scan (W-SS)

Previous wavelet-based methods, including V7.3, flatten DWT sub-bands into spatial patches, diluting their frequency-domain semantics. V8.0 introduces Frequency-Native Scanning via two specialized streams:

Stream A (Temporal-Flicker): Processes the raw HLL sub-band coefficients S_{HLL} through spatial pooling followed by a 1D Hydra scan along the temporal axis only. Because HLL inherently represents temporal change, spatial scanning is redundant. This stream answers: “Is the flicker random (noise) or does it follow a drifting path (manipulation)?”

Stream B (Spatial-Texture): Processes high-frequency spatial sub-bands (HHH, HLH) through a Channel-Selective Scan that dynamically selects which frequency channels to prioritize based on the input’s compression profile. Compression attacks different sub-bands differently (H.264 at QP=40 destroys HHH but preserves HLH), and this scanner learns to ignore destroyed bands.

Gated Cross-Merge: The streams are fused via HLL-gated modulation: $F_{\text{fused}} = F_{\text{spatial}} \cdot \sigma(F_{\text{temporal}})$. The model only attends to high-frequency spatial noise if concurrent temporal flicker is present at that location, eliminating false positives from complex background textures (leaves, water, hair) that have high spatial frequency but natural temporal consistency.

Table 5: Architectural Comparison — V7.3 vs. V8.0

Component	V7.3 (Baseline)	V8.0 (Proposed)	Impact on Generalization
Temporal Mixer	Bi-Mamba (concat fwd + bwd)	Quasiseparable Hydra (single-pass native)	Prevents directional shortcuts
Global Context	Late Transformer (end of network)	Interleaved Mamer (within every block)	Catches global spectral drift before info loss
Channel Mixing	Implicit (linear projections)	Explicit PN paths (temporal + channel)	Models cross-band correlations explicitly
Frequency Scan	Spatial patch flattening	W-SS dual stream (frequency-native)	Adapts to compression profile per-input
HLL Loss	MSE(HLL, 0) (absolute)	Contrastive margin (relative)	Scale-invariant across codecs and bitrates
State Dimension	Fixed S=16	Dynamic S via HLL-gated MoE	More capacity for hard/compressed samples
Complexity	$2 \times O(T)$	$O(T \cdot d^2) + \text{amort.}$ $O(T^2)$	$\sim 1.4\times$ slower, $\sim 50\%$ fewer SSM params

7. Augmentation Pipeline Upgrades

Beyond architectural changes, V8.0 introduces critical augmentation improvements to prevent the narrow statistical signature that caused Mamba state collapse in V7.3.

7.1 Multi-Scale Brownian Drift

The fixed ± 2 pixel step size is replaced with a log-normal distribution sampling step sizes from 0.5 to 5.0 pixels. Additionally, drift velocity is varied between correlated (smooth boundary motion) and uncorrelated (jittery boundary) modes across spatial dimensions.

7.2 Compression Augmentation

Before computing 3D-DWT features, each training video is randomly re-compressed with H.264 at a quantization parameter (QP) sampled uniformly from [23, 40]. This forces the model to learn HLL patterns that survive re-compression at varying quality levels, directly addressing the codec diversity present in DFDC and social media content.

7.3 Non-Linear Mask Deformation

Translational Brownian drift is supplemented with thin-plate spline (TPS) deformation: control points on the blend boundary undergo independent random walks, and the deformation field is interpolated via TPS. This creates locally varying, non-rigid boundary motion that more closely matches real face-swap artifacts where the blend boundary follows facial contours rather than translating rigidly.

8. Implementation Strategy and Expected Performance

8.1 Phased Training Protocol

To maximize budget efficiency and enable independent validation, we implement V8.0 as a two-phase training protocol on RTX 4090 hardware (\$0.39/hour):

Phase A (Budget: \$2.50, ~70 epochs): V7.3 architecture with three critical fixes: contrastive HLL loss, compression augmentation, and multi-scale Brownian drift. Expected outcome: break the 51% barrier, targeting 75–85% AUC on real deepfakes.

Phase B (Budget: \$2.50, ~50 epochs): Enable Hydra mixer, Mamer attention, and W-SS module. Fine-tune from Phase A checkpoint with flexible key loading. Expected outcome: 85–95% AUC on cross-dataset evaluation.

8.2 Performance Projections

Based on published benchmarks from Hydra [7], Fake-Mamba [9], and MamBo [8], we project the following performance targets:

Table 6: Expected Performance Targets (AUC %)

Configuration	FF++ (c23)	Celeb-DF	DFDC
V7.3 Baseline	98.57*	~51†	—
Phase A (V7.3 + Fixes)	~99.0	~80–85	~70–75
Phase B (Full V8.0)	~99.5	~90–95	~82–88
SOTA: WMamba [5]	99.67	96.29	82.97

Table 6: *SBI validation only. †Tested on real FF++ deepfakes. Phase A fixes are expected to provide the majority of the improvement by correcting the loss function and augmentation diversity. Phase B architectural upgrades provide additional gains through improved temporal modeling.

8.3 Computational Requirements

The V8.0 model with all features enabled is estimated at approximately 25M trainable parameters (compared to ~20M for V7.3). Inference speed is projected at 1.4× slower than V7.3 due to the attention layers and quasiseparable matrix computation. For 100 total epochs (Phase A + B) on RTX 4090, total training cost is estimated at \$4.18 — within a \$5.00 budget.

9. Conclusion

This paper has presented a systematic analysis of why STF-Mamba V7.3 achieves near-perfect validation accuracy while completely failing on cross-dataset evaluation. The root causes are not in the spatial backbone (which works correctly with transfer learning) but in three interrelated design flaws: an absolute frequency loss that encodes codec-specific signatures, heuristic bidirectionality that permits independent directional shortcuts, and narrow augmentation that collapses the SSM hidden state onto a fixed statistical pattern.

STF-Mamba V8.0 addresses all three failure modes through principled architectural innovations: contrastive HLL loss for scale-invariant frequency regularization, Quasiseparable Hydra Mixers for native bidirectionality, Mamer layers for interleaved global spectral verification, and Wavelet-Selective Scanning for frequency-native processing. The modular design with feature flags enables incremental validation and budget-efficient training.

Future work will focus on multi-dataset training strategies (combining FF++, Celeb-DF, and DFDC during training), adversarial robustness evaluation, and extension to diffusion-based deepfakes which present qualitatively different temporal artifacts than GAN-based methods.

. References

- [1] Rössler, A., et al. “FaceForensics++: Learning to Detect Manipulated Facial Images.” ICCV, 2019.
- [2] Li, Y., et al. “Celeb-DF: A Large-scale Challenging Dataset for DeepFake Forensics.” CVPR, 2020.
- [3] Shiohara, K., Yamasaki, T. “Detecting Deepfakes with Self-Blended Images.” CVPR, 2022.
- [4] Zheng, Y., et al. “Exploring Temporal Coherence for More General Video Face Forgery Detection.” ICCV, 2021.
- [5] WMamba: Wavelet-based Mamba for Face Forgery Detection. arXiv:2501.09617, 2025.
- [6] Xu, Z., et al. “TALL++: Thumbnail Layout for Deepfake Video Detection.” ICCV, 2023.
- [7] Hydra: Bidirectional State Space Models Through Generalized Matrix Mixers. arXiv:2407.09941, 2024.
- [8] XLSR-MamBo: Scaling the Hybrid Mamba-Attention Backbone for Audio Deepfake Detection. arXiv:2601.02944, 2026.
- [9] Fake-Mamba: Real-Time Speech Deepfake Detection Using Bidirectional Mamba. arXiv:2508.09294, 2025.
- [10] Woo, S., et al. “ConvNeXt V2: Co-designing and Scaling ConvNets with Masked Autoencoders.” CVPR, 2023.