OETCS/WP2/D01

openETCS

ITEA2 Project
2012 – 2015

Work-Package 2: “Requirements”

SRS subset for modelling tool benchmarking

David Mentré, Stanislas Pinte, Guillaume Pottier and WP2 participants

November 2012



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

SRS subset for modelling tool benchmarking

David Mentré

Mitsubishi Electric R&D Centre Europe

Stanislas Pinte

ERTMS Solution

Guillaume Pottier

SNCF

WP2 participants

OpenETCS

Requirements

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

Prepared for ITEA2 openETCS consortium
Europa

Abstract: This document defines the subset of SRS SUBSET-026 that should be used to evaluate modelling tools.

Disclaimer: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 – (cc by-sa 3.0)

THE WORK IS PROVIDED UNDER THE TERMS OF THIS CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL" OR "LICENSE"). THE WORK IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT AND/OR OTHER APPLICABLE LAW. ANY USE OF THE WORK OTHER THAN AS AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS LICENSE OR COPYRIGHT LAW IS PROHIBITED.

BY EXERCISING ANY RIGHTS TO THE WORK PROVIDED HERE, YOU ACCEPT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THIS LICENSE. TO THE EXTENT THIS LICENSE MAY BE CONSIDERED TO BE A CONTRACT, THE LICENSOR GRANTS YOU THE RIGHTS CONTAINED HERE IN CONSIDERATION OF YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/

Table of Contents

1 Introduction 4
2 SRS Subset definition 4

 CC BY-SA

1 Introduction

One goal of openETCS is to make a model of the ERTMS/ETCS System Requirement Specification (SRS). Several tools are possible to make this model. In order to evaluate them, we need to define a subset of the SRS that would be modelled by each tool, therefore allowing to compare the tools on the same basis.

This document defines this subset of SRS.

2 SRS Subset definition

The following paragraphs of UNISIG SUBSET-026 v3.3.0 should be used in the benchmarking model in order to evaluate a tool:

§3.5.3 Establishing a communication session Rationale: Sample of the communication part.

§3.6.3.2 Location, Continuous Profile Data and Non-Continuous Profile Data Rationale: example of complex generic data structure.

§3.8.3 Structure of Movement Authority and §3.8.5 Update of Movement Authority Rationale: example of complex procedure, with complex data.

§3.11.3 Static Speed Profile and §3.11.12 Gradients Rationale: example of data structure, referring to §3.6.3.2 and used by §3.13.4.

FIXME **§3.13 ?? FIXME: We should find a representative subset of §3.13. Guillaume proposes §3.13.4 (Acceleration / Deceleration due to gradients). Stanislas and David think this is not enough.**

§4.6.2 (Transitions Table) and §4.6.3 (Transitions Condition Table) Only transitions:

1. from SB to SH
2. from SB to FS
3. from SB to IS

Rationale: Having transitions at different priority level is important to look at priority issues and exclusion issues at the same priority level.

§4.8.3.2 From National System X (through STM interface) Rationale: Model a small table.

FIXME **§5.9 Procedure On-Sight FIXME: §5.6 also proposed by Guillaume**

Rationale: Procedure sample.

FIXME **§8.7.2 Movement Authority message** This includes reference to Packet 15 (§7.4.2.4). **FIXME: Maybe reference one optional packet**

Rationale: That would be a perfect use case for tools able to model things down to bit level.

 CC BY-SA