

Maximum Product of Three Numbers

1-Brute Force Approach

Problem Statement:

Given an integer array nums, find three numbers whose product is maximum and return the product.

Brute Force (Naive) Approach

Time Complexity: $O(n^3)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

Pseudo Code

```
FUNCTION maximumProduct(nums):  
    n = LENGTH(nums)  
  
    max_product = -INFINITY  
  
    FOR i : = 0 TO n-3:  
        FOR j : = i+1 TO n-2:  
            FOR k : = j+1 TO n-1:  
                current_product = nums[i] * nums[j] * nums[k]  
  
                IF current_product > max_product:  
                    max_product = current_product  
  
    RETURN max_product
```

Explanation

1-Input:

A list of numbers : nums

n : stores the number of elements in the list.

max_product is initialized to a very small number so that any real product will be larger.

2-Triple Nested Loop: Checks all possible triplets (i, j, k) where $i < j < k$.

3-Track Maximum Product:

These loops generate all unique combinations of three different indices in the array.

$i < j < k$ ensures that no index is repeated and that the same triplet in different orders is not considered again.

4- Result : The largest product after all iterations.

Complexity Analysis

- Time: $O(n^3)$ → Evaluates all combinations (slow for large arrays).
- Space: $O(1)$ → Uses only constant extra space.

Example:

For nums = [1, 2, 3, 4]:

- Checks (1,2,3)=6, (1,2,4)=8, (1,3,4)=12, (2,3,4)=24 → Returns 24.

2-Non-Recursive Structure:

Pseudo Code:

```
FUNCTION MaxProductOfThree(arr):
```

```
  IF length of arr < 3:
```

```
    RETURN "Not enough numbers to form a product"
```

```
  SORT arr in ascending order
```

```
  # Case 1: Largest three numbers
```

```
  max_product1 arr[0] * arr[1] * arr[-1]
```

```
  RETURN max(max_product1, max_product2)
```

Iteration Analysis:

Selection Process:

Instead of exploring all possible subsets of three elements, sorting enables direct selection of numbers that could form the maximum product. Sorting ensures that:

1. The largest three numbers are positioned at the end of the array.
2. The smallest two numbers (potentially negative) are positioned at the beginning.

Thus, only these numbers need to be examined, eliminating unnecessary comparisons.

Number of Computations:

The function avoids exponential growth seen in exhaustive subset selection.

- Sorting requires $O(N \log N)$ operations.
- Selecting elements and computing their product requires $O(1)$ operations.
- Comparing the two cases also requires $O(1)$ operations.

Thus, the total number of computations follows $O(N \log N)$ complexity.

Time Complexity:

- Sorting dominates the execution time at $O(N \log N)$.
- All further operations (selection, comparison) occur in $O(1)$.
- Overall time complexity: **$O(N \log N)$** .

Space Complexity:

- Sorting may be performed in-place, requiring $O(1)$ extra space.
- No additional memory allocation beyond basic variable storage.
- Overall space complexity: $O(1)$.

Conclusion:

Time Complexity: $O(N \log N)$

Space Complexity: $O(1)$

3-Recursive Structure:

At each recursive step, we can either include or exclude an element. So, for each element, we have two choices (to include or exclude).

The recursive process will explore all possible combinations of selecting elements, but we stop when `count == 3` (i.e., when 3 elements are selected) or when `index == n` (i.e., we've considered all elements).

Number of Recursive Calls:

The function explores all subsets of elements of size 3 from the array of size n .

This is equivalent to generating all possible combinations of 3 elements from the array, which can be done in $C(n, 3)$ ways, where:

$$C(n, 3) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$$

This results in $O(n^3)$ combinations.

Time Complexity:

Each recursive call involves a constant amount of work (basic arithmetic and comparison).

The number of recursive calls is proportional to $C(n, 3)$, which is $O(n^3)$.

Thus, the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^3)$.

Space Complexity:

The space complexity is driven by the recursive call stack. In the worst case, the depth of recursion will be n , as we could potentially visit each element once.

Therefore, the space complexity is $O(n)$ due to the call stack.

Conclusion:

Time complexity: $O(n^3)$

Space complexity: $O(n)$

Maximum Product of Three Numbers: Approach Comparison

I'll compare the three approaches for finding the maximum product of three numbers in an array, focusing on their time and space complexity.

Comparison of Approaches

Approach	Time Complexity	Space Complexity	Description
Brute Force	$O(n^3)$	$O(1)$	Checks all possible triplets with three nested loops
Sorting-based	$O(n \log n)$	$O(1)$	Sorts array and checks two potential maximum products
Recursive	$O(n^3)$	$O(n)$	Uses recursion to generate all combinations of three elements

Analysis

1. Brute Force Approach:

- Examines every possible triplet combination using three nested loops
- Very inefficient for large arrays (166M operations for 1000 elements)
- Simple implementation but poor scalability

2. Sorting-based Approach:

- Most efficient solution in terms of time complexity
- Intelligently reduces the problem by recognizing that the maximum product will be either:
 - The product of the three largest numbers, or
 - The product of the two smallest numbers (potentially large negatives) and the largest number
- Sorting dominates the time complexity

3. Recursive Approach:

- Same time complexity as brute force ($O(n^3)$)
- Uses additional space for the recursive call stack
- No advantage over the other approaches

Conclusion

The **sorting-based approach** is clearly superior with $O(n \log n)$ time complexity and $O(1)$ space complexity. It's significantly faster than both the brute force and recursive approaches for large inputs and uses minimal extra space.