Phase 4 Grading Sheet for CSE535, Scott Stoller, Stony Brook Univ., 2014-11-12		
TA:		
Team:		
Programming Language:	POSSIBLE	ACTUAL
	POINTS	POINTS
SERVER		
handle duplicate request for transfer (retransmit same reply)	5	
handle InsufficientFunds for transfer (create account if new, update history at all servers, send reply from tail)	5	
successful transfer in absence of failures, with both banks receiving pseudo-random deposits and withdrawals from other clients while transfer is in progress.	20	
successful transfer when head of bank2 fails immediately after receiving the transfer request. to complete the transfer, a bank1 server needs to re-send the transfer request to the new head of bank2.	20	
successful transfer when the bank1 server s1 that sends the transfer request to head of bank2 fails immediately after sending it, and head of bank2 fails immediately after receiving it. to complete the transfer, another bank1 server s1' needs to re-send the transfer request to the new head of bank2. (in the suggested design for the transfer operation, s1 is the original tail of bank1, and s1' is the predecessor of s1.)	25	
LOGS		
readable and detailed logs as specified in project.txt for:		
client	3	
server	4	
master	3	
TESTING		
submission contains config files, logs, and descriptions for at least the testcases described above and a testcase involving 3 banks and 6 clients/bank	5	
CODE QUALITY		
meaningful names, sufficient comments, good use of language features, modularity	10	
TOTAL	100	

All expected activity must be shown clearly in readable and detailed logs, otherwise points will be deducted for inadequate logs and for functionality that cannot be adequately evaluated due to inadequate logs. Only partial credit will be given for functionality not demonstrated during the allocated demo timeslot, so you must run and explain your testcases efficiently.