

ADB Project Planning

Project Requirement

- The database must have an indexing system that retrieve the top_k most similar rows to the given input
- The indexing system must be able to handle large datasets (up to 20 million).
- The system must response in a reasonable time

Evaluation Criteria

1. **Accuracy** (Recall): The indexing system must accurately retrieve the top 'k' results for a given query.
2. **Efficiency**: The indexing system must efficiently retrieve the results, with a reasonable query time and memory usage.
3. **Scalability**: The indexing system must be able to handle large datasets and scale appropriately.
4. **Documentation**: The design document must be well-written and provide sufficient detail for someone to understand the indexing system.

Deliverables

- ☐ The index files for four databases
 - ☐ 20M
 - ☐ 15M
 - ☐ 10M
 - ☐ 1M

Timeline

- Week 11: Submit final deliverables
 - ☐ final version of the design document
 - ☐ Implementation

Resources May Help

- [Github Repo CMP 25](#)
- [IVF-PQ algorithm in details \(very useful\)](#)
- [IVF FLAT](#)
- [IVF-PQ another article](#)
- [IVF PQ implementation details](#)

Tasks

- ☐ **ProductQuantizer** Class → **2 persons**

- Implement subvector splitting (divide 70-dim vectors into subvectors)
- K-means clustering for each subspace to learn codebooks
- Encoding: map vectors to PQ codes
- Decoding: reconstruct approximate vectors from codes
- Asymmetric distance computation (query vs PQ codes)
- ☐ **InvertedIndex** Class → **2 persons**
 - K-means clustering for coarse quantization (create partitions)
 - Build inverted lists (map cluster_id → list of vector_ids)
 - Implement partition assignment for new vectors
 - Handle index persistence (save/load inverted lists structure)
- ☐ Index Integration & Search → **2 persons**
 - ☐ Integrate PQ and IVF modules into **_build_index()**
 - ☐ Implement non-exhaustive search (probe n_probe nearest clusters)
 - ☐ Optimize the **retrieve()** method to use the index
 - ☐ Handle edge cases (empty clusters, tie-breaking)
- ☐ Index Persistence & Updates → **1 person**
 - Serialize/deserialize index to **index.dat**
 - Implement index loading in **__init__()**
 - Handle **insert_records()** - decide rebuild vs incremental update strategy
 - Memory-map index structures if needed for large datasets
- ☐ Testing & Benchmarking → **1 person**
 - Create test datasets with known nearest neighbors
 - Measure recall@k compared to brute force
 - Benchmark query latency and index build time
 - Test edge cases (small DB, duplicate vectors, etc.)

Task Distribution

Member			
Samy	ProductQuantizer	build_Index()	Insert Records
Abdullah	ProductQuantizer	retrieve()	Testing
Khiat	InvertedIndex	build_Index()	Testing
Sayed	InvertedIndex	retrieve()	Insert Records

Things we have to decide on

- ☐ IVF n_clusters:
 - **Trade-off:** Fewer clusters = more vectors per cluster = slower search. More clusters = risk of missing true neighbors if query's cluster assignment is wrong.

- **Theoretical optimum:** Balances partition search cost vs number of partitions to probe

☐ Number of PQ Subvectors (M)

- **Constraint:** Must divide dimension evenly (your $D=70$)
- **Trade-off:** More subvectors = better approximation BUT more memory and computation
- **Typical values:** $M = 8, 16, 32, 64$
- **Subvector dimension:** $d_{\text{sub}} = D/M$ should be ≥ 4 (otherwise too little information per subvector)

☐ PQ Codebook Size (K per subspace)

Scientific Basis:

- Standard: **$K=256$ (8 bits)** per subvector
- **Why 256?:**
 - 1 byte per subvector = memory efficient
 - 256 centroids provide good granularity for most data
 - Hardware-friendly (byte-aligned)

☐ Number of Probes (n_{probe})

Scientific Basis:

- **Trade-off:** Search speed vs recall
- More probes = check more clusters = find more true neighbors BUT slower

Practical Guidelines:

Based on research (Jégou et al., "Product Quantization for Nearest Neighbor Search"):

☐ K-means Parameters