

Physical Database Design

Chapter 16, Part A

Overview

- definition of views, we have the *conceptual* and * After ER design, schema refinement, and the external schemas for our database.
- decisions, and to refine the conceptual and external The next step is to choose indexes, make clustering schemas (if necessary) to meet performance goals.
- * We must begin by understanding the $\overline{workload}$:
- The most important queries and how often they arise.
- The most important updates and how often they arise.
- The desired performance for these queries and updates.

Understanding the Workload

* For each query in the workload:

- Which relations does it access?
- Which attributes are retrieved?
- Which attributes are involved in selection/join conditions? How selective are these conditions likely to be?

* For each update in the workload:

- Which attributes are involved in selection/join conditions? How selective are these conditions likely to be?
- The type of update (INSERT/DELETE/UPDATE), and the attributes that are affected.

Decisions to Make

- * What indexes should we create?
- Which relations should have indexes? What field(s) should be the search key? Should we build several indexes?
- See For each index, what kind of an index should it be?
- Clustered? Hash/tree? Dynamic/static? Dense/sparse?
- Should we make changes to the conceptual schema?
- there are many choices in decomposing into BCNF, etc.) Consider alternative normalized schemas? (Remember,
- Should we ``undo'' some decomposition steps and settle for a lower normal form? (Denormalization.)
- Horizontal partitioning, replication, views ...

Choice of Indexes

- One approach: consider the most important queries in indexes, and see if a better plan is possible with an turn. Consider the best plan using the current additional index. If so, create it.
- Before creating an index, must also consider the impact on updates in the workload!
- Trade-off: indexes can make queries go faster, updates slower. Require disk space, too.

Issues to Consider in Index Selection

- Attributes mentioned in a WHERE clause are candidates for index search keys.
- Exact match condition suggests hash index.
- Range query suggests tree index.
- although it can help on equality queries as well in the Clustering is especially useful for range queries, presence of duplicates.
- Try to choose indexes that benefit as many queries as possible. Since only one index can be clustered per relation, choose it based on important queries that would benefit the most from clustering.

^1

Issues in Index Selection (Contd.)

- when a WHERE clause contains several conditions. Multi-attribute search keys should be considered
- If range selections are involved, order of attributes should be carefully chosen to match the range ordering.
- Such indexes can sometimes enable index-only strategies for important queries.
- For index-only strategies, clustering is not important!
- When considering a join condition:
- Hash index on inner is very good for Index Nested Loops.
- ◆ Should be clustered if join column is not key for inner, and inner tuples need to be retrieved.
- Clustered B+ tree on join column(s) good for Sort-Merge.

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Example 1

WHERE D.dname='Toy' AND E.dno=D.dno SELECT E.ename, D.mgr FROM Emp E, Dept D

- * Hash index on *D.dname* supports 'Toy' selection.
- Given this, index on D.dno is not needed.
- Hash index on E.dno allows us to get matching (inner) Emp tuples for each selected (outer) Dept tuple.
- ❖ What if WHERE included: "... AND E.age=25"?
- with Dept tuples satisfying dname selection. Comparable to Could retrieve Emp tuples using index on *E.age*, then join strategy that used *E.dno* index.
- So, if *E.age* index is already created, this query provides much less motivation for adding an E.dno index.

AND E.hobby='Stamps' AND E.dno=D.dno Example 2 | WHERE E.Sal BETWEEN 10000 AND 20000 SELECT E.ename, D.mgr FROM Emp E, Dept D

- Clearly, Emp should be the outer relation.
- Suggests that we build a hash index on D.dno.
- What index should we build on Emp?
- could be used. Only one of these is needed, and which is B+ tree on *E.sal* could be used, OR an index on *E.hobby* better depends upon the selectivity of the conditions.
- ◆ As a rule of thumb, equality selections more selective than range selections.
- guided by the plan(s) that we expect an optimizer to consider for a query. Have to understand optimizers! As both examples indicate, our choice of indexes is

Examples of Clustering

- B+ tree index on E.age can be used to get qualifying tuples.
- How selective is the condition?

SELECT E.dno, COUNT (*)

WHERE E.age>10

FROM Emp E

GROUP BY E.dno

WHERE E.age>40

SELECT E.dno

FROM Emp E

- Is the index clustered?
- Consider the GROUP BY query.
- If many tuples have E.age > 10, using E.age index and sorting the retrieved tuples may be costly.
- Clustered E.dno index may be better!
- * Equality queries and duplicates: | SELECT E.dno Clustering on *E.hobby* helps!

SELECT E.dno
FROM Emp E
WHERE E.hobby=Stamps

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Gehrke

Clustering and Joins

WHERE D.dname='Toy' AND E.dno=D.dno SELECT E.ename, D.mgr FROM Emp E, Dept D

 Clustering is especially important when accessing inner tuples in INL.

Should make index on *E.dno* clustered.

* Suppose that the WHERE clause is instead:

WHERE E.hobby='Stamps AND E.dno=D.dno

- worth considering. A clustered index on D.dno would help. If many employees collect stamps, Sort-Merge join may be
- * Summary: Clustering is useful whenever many tuples are to be retrieved.

Multi-Attribute Index Keys

- * To retrieve Emp records with age=30 AND sal=4000, an index on <age,sal> would be better than an index on age or an index on sal.
- Such indexes also called composite or concatenated indexes.
- Choice of index key orthogonal to clustering etc.
- * If condition is: 20 < age < 30 AND 3000 < sal < 5000:
- Clustered tree index on <age,sal> or <sal,age> is best.
- \bullet If condition is: age=30 AND 3000 < sal < 5000:
- Clustered <age,sal> index much better than <sal,age> index!
- Composite indexes are larger, updated more often.

Index-Only Plans

<*E.dno>*

queries can be A number of

< E.dno, E.eid> *Tree index!*

FROM Dept D, Emp E WHERE D.dno=E.dno SELECT D.mgr

WHERE D.dno=E.dno FROM Dept D, Emp E SELECT D.mgr, E.eid

> retrieving any answered without

tuples from one

or more of the

relations

Tree index! <E.dno,E.sal>

SELECT E.dno, COUNT(*) GROUP BY E.dno FROM Emp E

<*E.dno>*

SELECT E.dno, MIN(E.sal) GROUP BY E.dno FROM Emp E

is available. $\langle E.age \rangle$ | WHERE E.age=25 AND involved if a <E. age, E.sal> | SELECT AVG(E.sal) Tree! suitable index

FROM Emp E

Database Management Systems, R. Ramakrishnan and J. Eshrke BETWEEN 3000 AND 5000 13

Summary

- requirements analysis, conceptual design, schema • Database design consists of several tasks: refinement, physical design and tuning.
- In general, have to go back and forth between these tasks to refine a database design, and decisions in one task can influence the choices in another task.
- application, and the performance goals, is essential to Understanding the nature of the workload for the developing a good design.
- What are the important queries and updates? What attributes/relations are involved?

Summary (Contd.)

- Indexes must be chosen to speed up important queries (and perhaps some updates!).
- Index maintenance overhead on updates to key fields.
- Choose indexes that can help many queries, if possible.
- Build indexes to support index-only strategies.
- Clustering is an important decision; only one index on a given relation can be clustered!
- Order of fields in composite index key can be important.
- Static indexes may have to be periodically re-built.
- * Statistics have to be periodically updated.