HW: Simulating Estimater Properties

1a) Unbias ness

Part 13 Line

We Know that

In(x) ~ N (u, ~2)

E (In (ti) = u

ITALE = I Z la(xi) 52 (In (xi)= 52

E[Une)= M

E[tz In(xi)] = t E[z In(xi)] = t & E[M

so E(Mnie) = M is un biased Pont 11: Tune

- So we know that the MLE for varionse

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 1}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\ln (x_i) - \widehat{\mu}_{nLE} \right)}_{i=1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\ln (x_i) - \widehat{\mu}_{nLE} \right)}_{$

So he expected Value

E[-12 (Y:-7)2] = E[Jacs] = 2-1 52

Bian (True) = E[True] - T2 = n-1 72 - 72 = (n-1/1-ns2

- 52(N-1-A)=- 152

So he TLE of This biored

E(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} et understimate le true varion co Slightly

heranse it experted value is not equal to the two various of the smaller -1 is

1b) E(True) is biored

To make undiased, I need to multiply n n-1

 $E\left[\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{2}{n}-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\right]=E\left[\frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{2}{n}-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{n-1}{n}\left[\frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{2}{n}-\frac{2}{n}\right)^{2}\right]$

Vulbiard = n x Trib = 4 x AT V2

= T so THLE IS unbiased!! 1d) Comparison Simulated bias of 3 MLE

Theaetial bieres

E(Ûncs)= u =0 Bies =0

E (\(\tau_{\text{nLF}} \) = \(\frac{n-1}{n} \) \(\tau^2 \) = \(\frac{1}{n} \) \(\tau^2 \) = - 1 x 1 = - 0.001

Simulated Bias

- Bias Mu MLE = -0.00214 - Bias Sigma MLE = -0.09166 - Bios Sigma Unbiased = 0.00326

so The simulated bias a closely match the Meonitical biases for the MLE stimutors.



Vax (prize) = 1 52

Var (Unce) = Var (1 & In (x;)) = 1 Var (& In (x;))

 $L(U_{nLE}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x \lceil 2t \rceil \rceil^2} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\{ \ln (x_i) - \mu \}}_{z \in z_i}$

= 1 2x; (2T5)=

19 (L(Mns) = 19 (1 = 2x: (2#5") = 1

In lex:

= (n(1)-

= 19(1) - 10 (Ex: [2852]2+ 5

 $=\frac{1}{n^2} \wedge Var \left(\ln(x_i) - \frac{1}{n} \nabla^2\right)$

Var (In 1/2)= 5

1 E In (x:) - H

EIn(X;) X

Stepl: Define Model X~ Logranaleu, 50) = In(x)~N(u, 50) 1 1 1 (Xr) Stop 2: Variance of MLE Var (\widehat{U}_{RLE}) = Var $\left(\frac{1}{n} \underset{i=1}{\cancel{\xi}} \ln (x_i)\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ Var} \left(\underset{i=1}{\cancel{\xi}} \ln (x_i)\right)$

 $=\frac{1}{n^2}$ A Voir $(\ln(x_i) = \frac{1}{n})^2$ Vax (Price) = 1 52

Step 3: CRLB f(x, m, x2) = 1 e

 $L(\mu_{i}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{\ln(x_{i}-\mu_{i})^{2}}{2\sqrt{x_{i}}}\right)^{2}}{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_{i}}}} = \frac{\left(\frac{\ln(x_{i}-\mu_{i})^{2}}{2\sqrt{x_{i}}}\right)^{2}}{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_{i}}}}$

 $ln(L(\mu, \nabla^{\nu}) = ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^{\nu}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_{i}^{i}}}$

= n ln(1) - n ln (12,52) + ln (1) - ln (17x;)-

1 Ela1

= (\frac{1}{\overline{\chi_{0.5}}} \) \frac{1}{\overline{\chi_{0.5}}} \tag{1}{\overline{\chi_{0.5}}} \tag{2} \\ \frac{1}{\overline{\chi_{0.5}}} \\ \frac{1}{\overline{\ = (\frac{1}{2052}) \frac{1}{11; \chi_{i=1} \chi_{i}} \end{array}

 $= \ln \left(\frac{1}{12\pi T^{2}}\right)^{2} + \ln \left(\frac{1}{17\pi i}\right)^{2} + \ln \left(\frac{1}{17\pi i}\right)^{2}$

 $-\frac{\left(\ln(x)-\mathcal{N}\right)^{2}}{25^{2}}$

 $= -\frac{n}{2} \ln (208^2) - 2 \ln x_i - \frac{1}{25} \leq (\ln x_i - \mu)$

| | n (L(m, e)) = - n 1n (2052) - & (n (xi) - 1 & (le (c. x))

Devikative ! d [- 1 = [(n (x:) - u) 2]. I am going to use chain rule $\frac{dl}{du} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} 2(\ln(x_i) - \mu)(-1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \ln(x_i - \mu)$ $\left(\frac{dl}{d\alpha} = \frac{1}{7^2} \leq (\ln(k_i) - \mu)\right)$

Efficiency

2.a) step!:

Since In (X;) N N (M, T2), we can use

the known Fisher In Formation For Normal
obstailable

$$T_{\Lambda}(\mu) = \frac{n}{\nabla^2} = 0 \quad CRLB = \frac{1}{I_{\Lambda}(\mu)} = \frac{\nabla^2}{\Lambda}$$

Stop 2: Variance of MLE

Var (Unce) = Var $\left(\frac{1}{n} \leq \ln(x_i)\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ Var}\left(\frac{2}{\ln(x_i)}\right)$ $= \frac{1}{n^2} A \text{ Var}\left(\ln(x_i)\right) = \frac{1}{n} \nabla^2$

Par u was approximately 0.0985 Lorent
on was surples, each size of n=10

So I unchaled that MLE () approxametely efficient.

Consisten cy

1. We know that Îlnes es un biorcol V 2. Var (Îlnes) - o as n - 000 V P(| MnLE - N | < E) →1 Ven (MALE) = $\frac{7}{2}$ OB N increase is getting close to D.

There rae by cheby shave inequality

ÛRLE is a consistent atimata For IL. 36) In 3.a) I approved that MALE is unhined Elle venience es gelling clase to 0 as n-000 acording to chety skell inequality Which means the probability that three is close to true value in inviente with larger souple size In My simulation Confirm Unis behavior become As I observe the probability Unit fallowithin 0.01 of true value in ones _ n=10 _ P= 0.0260 - 12100 - P2 0.0 910 - n: 1000 - P2 5.2480 So Finally the Simulation Agrees with the theory that MULE is consistent 1 observed that 3.d) From simulation the probability of Mrn Falling 0.01 of the true value increases which shows me a consistency. This suggest that Uppy converges too true value of u as n ->00 Altrough Man is not biased and hap highen Ushian a compare to ALE. Thereace I concluded

that they is consistent, but less efficient &

more bieved than ilput.