


National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences, Lahore Campus				
	Course Name:	Professional Practices in IT	NAME:	
	Quiz	1	ROLL NO:	
	Total Marks	12	SECTION:	

Question1: TechCo, a software development company, introduces a new policy where employee computer activity, including email and browser history, is monitored. The policy aims to increase productivity and protect intellectual property but raises privacy concerns among employees. [2]

Question:

"Given the scenario at TechCo, what approach should the company take to ensure ethical and legal compliance in workplace monitoring?"

a. Monitor all employee activities without informing them, as company policy overrides individual privacy concerns.

b. Implement monitoring but limit it to work-related activities, ensure transparency about the monitoring practices, and clarify how the collected data will be used.

c. Only monitor employees who consent to it, and avoid monitoring those who object to the policy.

d. Use the collected data for employee evaluations without informing them, as the primary goal is to increase productivity.

Question2: Do you think that law enforcement agencies should be able to use advanced surveillance technology, such as surveillance cameras combined with facial recognition software? [2+2]

Why? Yes, For law and order purpose.

Why not? Possible abuse and accuracy.

Question3: Scenario:

A user posts controversial political opinions on a forum under a pseudonym. The forum receives pressure to reveal the user's identity due to the polarizing nature of the posts. [2]

Question:

"How does the right to speak anonymously, as protected under the First Amendment, apply in this scenario?"

a. The forum must reveal the user's identity, as the First Amendment does not protect anonymous online speech.

b. The forum is legally obligated to protect the user's anonymity unless the posts are proven to be defamatory or incite crime.

c. The user's right to anonymity is waived when their speech becomes highly unpopular.

d. Anonymity is only protected if the user's speech aligns with the majority's views.

Question 4: Do you think that a corporation should be allowed to use a subpoena to identify a John Doe before proving that the person has defamed the company? [2+2]

Why? Yes if the intent is not bad, evidence is strong and there is no other way to get further relevant information.

Why not? Could be used for victimization.