# Response to Request for Proposal for an ERP System

The purpose of this project is to give students an opportunity to learn about various ERP systems and to present vendor characteristics and qualifia cations in response to a Request for Proposal (RFP). The RFP has been developed to meet the needs of a mid-sized manufacturing company, Wingate Electric.

In this project, the class will be organized into teams, and each team will represent one of four or more ERP vendors who are responding to an RFP for an ERP financial module, which can be integrated with a larger ERP system over time. The case provides selection criteria to evalt uate the alternative ERP financial modules, and a brief description of the scoring method of evala uating the alternatives. Each team (representing one of the vendors) will have an opportunity to consult various resources, including the vendor web sites, on-line library resources, trade publiw cations, and marketing publications.

The case includes directions for each team, which will give a presentation to a mock panel of user managers of the company considering the ERP options. The panel of user managers can be selected from local businesses or from the unis versity community. The presentation will be reviewed by the panel of user managers using an

Evaluation Form, and the panel will make the selection decision based upon the "winning" team. The panel of user managers will provide feedback to each team with respect to the strengths and weaknesses of each of their pres sentations, and the rationale for their selection decision

The materials for the Case Study include:

- Company Background
- · The RFP
- The selection criteria to evaluate the alternative ERP modules supporting financial applications
- Scoring method, which describes the scoring method of evaluating the alternatives
- · Team directions
- Team vendor assignments and resources for vendor research
- List of user managers (e.g., job titles, background)

#### A. COMPANY BACKGROUND

Wingate Electric is a mid-sized manufacturing company that makes small electric motors for appliances, lawn mowers, and small tractors. The

company, founded by Bob Wingate, has been in business for 100 years. It is currently owned by his two sons, Wick and Steve Wingate, on a 50/50 basis. Wick, the CEO, handles the marketing and business development side of the business, and Steve, the Chief Operating Officer, is responsible for internal operations management.

The MIS systems at Wingate Electric are home-grown systems that have been patched together over the years. These systems support the major accounting and financial functions, including sales order processing, inventory coni trol, accounts payable, accounts receivable, and general ledger. These applications use multiple legacy file systems, and much of the data are redundant. Since updates must be made to multir ple files, some of the data are inconsistent. With little documentation, making queries to existing databases is difficult.

Competitors within the industry are adoptC ing ERP systems to integrate financial and mani ufacturing data, and Wingate Electric is being left behind. Competitors are adopting web-based front ends for order processing, order tracking, and order follow-up, but Wingate cannot move in this direction because its back-office systems are in disarray.

The owners of Wingate Electric have decided to issue a RFP for an ERP system which supports their accounting and financial functions and which can be extended to support their proaduction and manufacturing applications over time. The panel of user managers who will be reviewing the presentations in response to the RFP will be the following:

Wick Wingate, CEO Steve Wingate, Chief Operating Officer Robert Murdick, Chief Financial Officer Richard Hayes, Marketing Manager Kathryn Martell, Director of Accounting Operations

#### **B. REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL**

Date: February 1,2005

Wingate Electric is a mid-sized manufacturing company that makes small electric motors for appliances, lawn mowers, and small tractors. The company, founded by Bob Wingate, has been in business for 100 years. The company is currently managed by its owners, Wick and Steve Wingate. Wick Wingate, the CEO, is responsible for overD all business development and marketing. Steve Wingate, the COO, is responsible for operations management.

Wingate Electric currently does \$400 milW lion in sales. Its customers include major manul facturers of small appliances and vehicles, and they would like to have web-based order prot cessing and order tracking capability, and this is one motivation for acquiring an ERP foundation for web-based applications.

The MIS systems at Wingate Electric are home-grown applications that have become fragmented over time. They are difficult to mainf tain, and they do not use an integrated relational database. Managers have trouble gaining access to data for query and reporting purposes.

Wingate Electric is interested in acquiring an ERP system, which supports its financial and accounting functions, including accounts receiva able, accounts payable, and general ledger. The ERP financial system will be implemented first, and the company is interested in adding modules supporting production planning and manufacturing in the next phase. Ideally, the ERP system will also support Sales and Marketing, Human Resources, CRM, and eBusiness. These capabilities will be implee mented in subsequent phases, depending upon the success of the ERP modules supporting financial and accounting functions.

The budget for ERP is estimated at \$1,000,000, excluding the cost of acquiring the necessary upgrades in hardware, software, and networking facilities. In the current environn ment, the firm has 100 microcomputer workstam tions, a Windows NT network, and a Hewlettt Packard server supporting its legacy systems.

The timeline for selecting the ERP modules supporting finance and accounting is three months. The due date for the RFP response is March 1, 2005. Upon receipt of the proposals, four or more vendors will be asked to give pref sentations and to respond to questions. The selection committee will make a decision within 30 days after the presentations have been given. The selection criteria are listed below. Five of these criteria deal with supplier-related issues, and five of them deal with the evaluation of the

ERP modules supporting finance and accountEing. Proposals and presentations should address each of these selection criteria.

# C. SELECTION CRITERIA FOR ERP SUPPLIER

- **1.** Corporate History, Experience, and Corporate Profile
  - a. Overall History
  - b. Number of Years of Experience
  - c. Position in the Industry
- 2. Market Strategy and Strategic Direction
  - a. Market Share
  - b. Market Strategy
  - c. Future Market Strategy
  - d. Overall Strengths
- **3.** Product Offerings, Integration, and Scalability
  - a. Overall ERP Product Line
  - b. Module Integration Strategy
  - c. Web-based Application Strategy
  - d. New Offerings (e.g., business intellid gence, CRM)
- 4. Consulting Support
  - a. Support for Configuration of Application Modules
  - b. Technical Support for System Implementation
  - c. Cost of Consulting Support
  - d. Availability of Consulting Support
- 5. Availability of Training
  - a. Training in Configuration
  - b. Technical Training

### Selection Criteria for ERP Modules Supporting Financial and Accounting Functions:

- 6. Fit with Current Business Processes
  - a. Fit with Sales and Order Processing Processes
  - b. Fit with Financial Accounting Processes (A/R, A/P, General Ledger)
  - c. Fit with Managerial Accounting Processes
- 7. Reporting Applications
  - a. Current Reporting Capabilities
  - b. Availability of Tools for Database Query and Reporting
  - c. Web-based Reporting Capability

- 8. User Friendliness
  - a. Availability of Tutorials
  - b. Availability of Application Module Documentation
  - c. Technical Documentation
- 9. Cost
  - a. Cost of ERP Modules
  - b. Cost of Configuration and Implementation Support
  - c. Cost of Training
  - d. Cost of Maintenance Fees and Software Licenses
- Ability to Integrate Finance and Accounting Module with other ERP Modules
  - a. Integration with Production and Manufacturing Modules
  - b. Integration with Sales and Marketing Modules
  - c. Integration with Human Resources Modules
  - d. Integration with web-based Applications

#### D. SCORING METHOD

The selection committee will use the score sheet (see below) to rate each vendor on each of the selection criteria. The selection committee will rate each presentation on the basis of the select tion criteria, which include ten criteria in all. Five of these criteria pertain to ERP supplier characo teristics, and five of these criteria pertain to the characteristics of the ERP modules under conc sideration. For each criteria, the selection coms mittee will use a rating scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the most possible points that can be scored in any one category.

In addition, the selection committee will rate each presentation on several bonus/penalty items, which can add or detract from the total scores. These bonus/penalty items will have a possible value of +5 or -5 points each, as illustrated on the score sheet below. These bonus/penalty items include the effectiveness of the overall presentation, and the special, valuet added qualifications (noted below). The overall possible maximum score for each presentation is 110 points.

The selection committee will consist of individuals who will play the roles of user

management. In addition, each of the teams will rate the other team presentations using the same score sheet.

#### **E. DIRECTIONS TO TEAMS**

Each team will assume the role of an ERP venE dor responding to the RFP. Each team should have 3–6 students, with 5 students as ideal, and each team will have three weeks to prepare for the presentation to the selection committee. In preparation for the presentations, each team should do research on its ERP vendor's characs teristics in order to address each of the selection criteria. For example, to respond to the corpoc rate history, experience, and corporate profile

criteria, the team will need to use external resources for research about the vendor.

The presentations should be accomplished in 25 minutes, with each team member having 5 minutes. There will be an additional 5 minutes for questions from the Selection Committee.

The teams can augment their oral presentaT tions with audio-visual aids, including computert based Powerpoint presentations. They can bring handouts, articles in trade publications about user experiences with the ERP modules under consideration, and information about external resources (e.g., web sites, trade reviews), which the Selection Committee might want to consult. The purpose of the exercise is to make the preT sentations as real as possible.

## TABLE 1 Selection Committee Score Sheet

Team Members:

Score	Weight	Item	Criteria	Comments
	10	#1	Corporate history, experience, and profile	
	10	#2	Market strategy and strategic direction	
	10	#3	Product offerings, integration, scalability	
	10	#4	Consulting support (e.g., configuration, technical)	
	10	#5	Training availability (e.g., configuration, technical)	
	10	#6	Current business process fit	
	10	#7	Reporting applications (e.g., tools, web-based)	
	10	#8	User-friendliness (e.g., tutorials, documentation)	
	10	#9	Cost (e.g., modules, training, maintenance)	
	10	#10	Ability to integrate with other ERP modules	
	100 possible		Basic total score	
			Bonus/Penalty Items	
	+5/-5		Presentation effectiveness	
	+5/-5		Unique qualifications	
	110 possible max		Basic score +/- Bonus/penalty total	

At the end of the presentations, the selection committee will deliberate about each of the prec sentations, using the criteria that have been estabs lished on the score sheet. They will tabulate their scores and rank the presentations in sequence (e.g., first place, second place, third place). After reporting the results, the selection committee will give informal feedback to each of the teams.

# F. TEAM VENDOR ASSIGNMENTS AND RESOURCES FOR VENDOR RESEARCH

Each team will represent a specific ERP vendor (see Table 2 in this section) Each team will be responsible for developE ing their presentation in response to the RFP. In developing its proposal, each team should address each of the selection criteria as effectively as possible. To do so, it can use various resources, including vendor web sites, online library datai bases with articles in trade publications, vendor marketing materials, and trade reviews.

## G. LIST OF USER MANAGERS (JOB TITLES, BACKGROUND)

The selection committee and will consist of five members: Wick Wingate, CEO; Steve Wingate, Chief Operating Officer; Robert Murdick, Chief Financial Officer; Richard Hayes, Marketing Manager; and Kathryn Martell, Director of Accounting Operations.

Wick Wingate, the CEO, handles marketing and business development. He is a natural salesa person and is extensively involved in community activities and charitable organizations, such as the Rotary Club, American Cancer Society, and Boy Scouts. He enjoys skiing and golf, and he

Table 2 Team Vendor Assignments

Team Vendor Assignments

Team	Vendor Assignment	Financial Module
A	Great Plains	Financial
. 1		Management
В	Peoplesoft	Financial
D	•	Management
		Solutions
C	Oracle ERP	Financials
C	SAP	Financial
		Accounting (FA)

owns a golf condo in Florida. Through his cono tacts, he has been instrumental in growing the sales of the business.

Steve Wingate, the Chief Operating Officer, is responsible for internal operations managei ment. Steve is more internally focused than his brother, Wick. He earned a Bachelor's degree in engineering from Purdue University, and he has used his technical background to assume an important role in quality management. He is cautious about expenditures, and he scrutinizes the budget to avoid unnecessary expenditures and to control costs. He lives in the same middlea class neighborhood where he grew up, which speaks to his conservative lifestyle. Because of his cost-conscious mentality, Steve is hesitant to make a big investment in an ERP system.

Robert Murdick, Chief Financial Officer, came to Wingate Electric after spending ten years at Ernst and Young, a public accounting firm. He chose to join the management at Wingate Electric because the opportunity to work with a team to expand a mid-sized comw pany offered considerable challenge. In his conp sulting career, Bob traveled extensively, and he finds that his career at Wingate Electric provides greater autonomy and flexibility. Bob's accountg ing background convinces him that an investiment in an integrated financial and accounting system would be a good investment.

Richard Hayes, Marketing Manager, was one of the most productive members of Wingate's sales force before joining the manageW ment team. For seven years, Richard was the top sales performer in this industry niche in the country. Richard was able to earn this distinction through his dedicated commitment to serving the needs of his customers. Richard has a posit tive attitude toward automation. He encouraged his sales force to use laptops with computerh based sales prospecting software.

Kathryn Martell, Director of Accounting Operations, started at Wingate Electric as a clerk-typist 18 years ago. She is known for her strong work ethic and perfectionism. She is loyal to the company, and she works long hours. She organizes the company picnic and Christmas party each year. Kathryn is resistant to change, and she is skeptical about spending a lot of money on a computer-based information system. Her opinion is that most of the inefficiency can be remedied by adding staff members.