

Data Analytics Stiftung Universität Hildesheim Marienburger Platz 22 31141 Hildesheim Prof. Dr. Dr. Lars Schmidt-Thieme

Thesis Unsupervised Real-Time Time-Series Anomaly Detection

Abdul Rehman Liaqat 271336, Liaqat@uni-hidesheim.de

Abstract

Anomaly detection is a crucial task for machine learning due to wide-spread usage and type. In particular, it is worth noting that most data arising in industrial setups are of a streaming nature, thus restricting the range of standard anomaly detection tools. This thesis will identify the potential approaches to learn the identification of abnormal behavior from large-scale streaming data. An empirical comparison of state-of-the-art methods will to be extended by a novel technical contribution. In this thesis, the focus is particularly on streaming time-series Anomaly Detection which changes in nature with time and novel contribution will especially try to target this dynamic nature of time-series.

Contents

| 1 | 1 Introduction | Introduction | | | | | | | | 4 |
|----|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|----|----|-----|
| | 1.1 Motivation | | | | | | | | | . 5 |
| | 1.2 Objective | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| 2 | Related Work and State of the art | | | | | | | | 7 | |
| 3 | Benchmarks | | | | | | | 8 | | |
| | 3.1 Autoencoder based models | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| | 3.1.1 Fully connected layers | | | | | | | | | 9 |
| | 3.1.2 Fully convolution layers | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.1.3 LSTM based | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 Prediction based models | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.1 Fully connected layers | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.2 Fully convolution layers | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2.3 LSTM based | | | | | | | | | 10 |
| 4 | Unsupervised Anomaly detection with recency 1 | | | | | | | | 11 | |
| 5 | Usage of RBF loss function | | | | | | | 12 | | |
| 6 | 6 Experiments | | | | | | | | | 13 |
| | 6.1 Data | | | | | | | | 14 | |
| | 6.1.1 Numenta Anomaly Benchmark (NAb) |) | | | | | | | | 14 |
| 7 | 7 Execution and Results | | | | | | | | | 15 |
| 8 | 8 Discussion | | | | | | | | | 16 |
| 9 | 9 Experiment Infrastructure | | | | | | | | | 17 |
| | 9.1 Experiment Management using MLflow | | | | | | | | | 18 |
| | 9.2 Parallel execution using Docker | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 10 Best practices | | | | | | | | | 20 |
| 11 | 11 Reference Usage | | | | | | | | | 21 |
| 19 | 12 References | | | | | | | | | 22 |

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

1.2 Objective

2 Related Work and State of the art

3 Benchmarks

3.1 Autoencoder based models

- 3.1.1 Fully connected layers
- 3.1.2 Fully convolution layers
- 3.1.3 LSTM based

- 3.2 Prediction based models
- 3.2.1 Fully connected layers
- 3.2.2 Fully convolution layers
- 3.2.3 LSTM based

4 Unsupervised Anomaly detection with recency

5 Usage of RBF loss function

6 Experiments

- 6.1 Data
- 6.1.1 Numenta Anomaly Benchmark (NAb)

7 Execution and Results

8 Discussion

9 Experiment Infrastructure

9.1 Experiment Management using MLflow

9.2 Parallel execution using Docker

10 Best practices

Following steps were taken to maximize the efficiency and speed of research:

- 1. Use version control to track the code and share between different devices.
- 2. Separate code from data. This will keep the code base small and easy to debug.
- 3. Separate input data, working data and output data.
 - Input Data: Input data-set that never change. For my case it is NAB and other external datasets.
 - Working Data: nothing for now.
 - Output Data: Results and threshold profiles in my case.
- 4. Separate options from parameter. This is important:
 - Options specify how your algorithm should run. For example data path, working directory and result directory path, epochs, learning rate and so on.
 - parameters are the result of training data. it includes the score and hyper-parameters.

11 Reference Usage

12 References