EE-379 Linear Control Systems

Week No. 4 & 5: Performance Specifications

➢ Steady State Error

➢ System Sensitivity

➢ Sensitivity Functions

➢ Effect of Disturbances

➢ Effect of Measurement Noise

➢ Ziegler Nichols Compensation

EE-379 Performance Specification

Tracking Systems

- Two aspects of performance are often considered when a control system is designed

  - Transient Performance
  - Steady state performance

- Previously (Ch 1 and 2) we have concentrated on defining the differential equations, transfer function, and stability **in terms of the natural response**.

- Now we will analyze the tendency of the system to follow a desired command. Emphasis will shift to the steady state performance of a closed-loop system.

EE-379 Performance Specification

Tracking Systems - Analysis

- Why tracking systems?
- In general, the input *r(t)* can be written as a power series in terms of powers of *t*.

$$r(t) = r(a) + \frac{dr}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(t-a) + \frac{\frac{d^2r}{dt^2}\big|_{t=a}}{2!}(t-a)^2 + \frac{\frac{d^3r}{dt^3}\big|_{t=a}}{3!}(t-a)^3 + \cdots$$

$$r(t) = A_o + A_1 t + A_2 t^2 + A_3 t^3 + \cdots$$

- In this chapter we will examine how a control system responds to commands (particularly those that are powers of *t*).

- More complicated commands are expressible in powers of *t*

EE-379 Performance Specification

Tracking Systems – Analysis

- A tracking system creates an output that tracks (follows) an input with some tolerance
- The **elevation control system** for a **shipboard satellite dish antenna** may have a transfer function as;

$$T(s) = \frac{50}{s^2 + 4s + 50}$$

- **Unit step response** of this function is:

$$Y(s) = T(s)\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \frac{50}{s(s^2 + 4s + 50)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1}{s}}_{\text{forced}} + \underbrace{\frac{-s - 4}{s^2 + 4s + 50}}_{\text{natural}}$$

- This is a function of time after *t = 0.*

$$y(t) = 1 + 1.04e^{-2t}\cos(6.78t + 163.6°)$$

- Good tracking system has a rapidly decreasing **natural response** (depending on the initial conditions).
- **Forced response** component should then be able to accurately track reference inputs

EE-379 Performance Specification

Tracking Systems − Analysis

- **Analysis and design of tracking systems** can be separated into following major parts

  – Locate **characteristics roots (poles)** of the transfer function. This determines the **natural response** which should decay quickly and have well-damped oscillatory terms.

  – Tracking the reference input by the **forced response** of the system.

  – What happens to performance **if the model is inaccurate**?

  – Tracking system response due to **unwanted, inaccessible disturbance inputs**

EE-379 Performance Specification

Relative Stability

- Relative stability is the **distance** into the **left half** of the complex plane from the **imaginary axis** to the **nearest pole**.

- Pair of complex conjugate roots **s1, s2 = $-\sigma \pm jw$** gives rise to a **damped oscillatory** term in the **natural response**.

$$y_i(t) = Ae^{-at}\cos(\omega t + \theta)$$

where A and θ depends on initial conditions.

- The damping ratio of this term is $\zeta = cos(\varphi)$ where φ is the damping angle.

Relative stability = 2 units

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Initial/Final Value

- The **initial value** of a function of time *y(t)* is related to the function's Laplace transform by:

$$y(0) = \lim_{s \to \infty}[sY(s)]$$

- For example:

$$Y(s) = \frac{-4s^4 + 3s^3 + s^2 - s + 1}{3s^5 - 2s^4 + s^3 - s + 10}$$

$$y(0) = \lim_{s \to \infty}[sY(s)] = -\frac{4}{3}$$

The initial value theorem is often used to find **the initial conditions of a system**

- The **final value** of a function of time y(t) is related to the function's Laplace transform by:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \lim_{s \to 0}[sY(s)]$$

- For example:

$$Y(s) = \frac{-4s^3 - s^2 + 7s + 3}{s^3 + 9s^2 + 2s}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \lim_{s \to 0}[sY(s)] = \frac{3}{2}$$

The final value theorem is commonly used to determine the **steady-state behavior** of a system in response to a **specific input**

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error - Definition

- The steady-state error is defined as the **difference between the input and the output** for a prescribed test input as $t \rightarrow infinity$

$$E(s) = input - output$$

$$= R(s) - Y(s)$$

- **Steady-state error analysis only applicable to stable systems**, as the unstable systems represent the loss of control in steady state

EE-379 Continuous Time Response

Steady State Error – Test Inputs

- Some **common test inputs** used for **steady-state error analysis** and design are:

Input	Waveform	Physical Interpretation	Time Function	Laplace transform
Step	$f(t)$ $u(t)$ t	*Constant position*	1	$\dfrac{1}{s}$
Ramp	$f(t)$ $u(t)$ t	*Constant velocity*	t	$\dfrac{1}{s^2}$
Parabola	$f(t)$ $u(t)$ t	*Constant velocity*	$\dfrac{1}{2}t^2$	$\dfrac{1}{s^3}$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error — Graphical Representation

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Mathematical Expression

- The steady-state error is the difference between the input and output, assume a closed loop transfer function, **T(s)**. The general representation of **steady-state error for a unity feedback system** is:

$$R(s) \quad \overset{+}{\underset{-}{\bigcirc}} \quad \xrightarrow{E(s)} \boxed{G(s)} \quad Y(s)$$

$$T(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)}$$

$$E(s) = input - output$$

$$= R(s) - Y(s)$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Mathematical Expression

- The steady-state error for a **unity feedback system**:

$$T(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)}$$

$$E(s) = input - output$$

$$= R(s) - Y(s)$$

$$E(s) = R(s) - \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)} \cdot R(s)$$

$$= R(s) \left[1 - \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)} \right]$$

$$= R(s) \left[\frac{1 + G(s) - G(s)}{1 + G(s)} \right]$$

$$\boxed{E(s) = \left[\frac{1}{1 + G(s)} \right] R(s)}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Mathematical Expression

- The **steady-state error for a unity** feedback system using **final value theorem:**

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{t \to \infty} e(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s)$$

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{sR(s)}{1 + G(s)}$$

$E(s) = R(s) - \dfrac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)} \cdot R(s)$

$= R(s) \left[1 - \dfrac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)} \right]$

$= R(s) \left[\dfrac{1 + G(s) - G(s)}{1 + G(s)} \right]$

Need to analyze the steady state error for different R(s) and G(s)

$$\boxed{E(s) = \left[\frac{1}{1 + G(s)} \right] R(s)}$$

$R(s)$ $\quad$ $E(s)$ $\quad$ $G(s)$ $\quad$ $Y(s)$

13

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – System Types

- In order to **simplify** the **analysis of steady-state error**, systems can be classified by **system type.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2) \dots (s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2) \dots (s + p_n)}$$

$$m \leq n$$

- The system type can be determined by identifying the value for **N** at the denominator of the transfer function.

  - If **N = 0**, the system is of **Type 0**
  - If **N = 1**, the system is of **Type 1**
  - If **N = 2**, the system is of **Type 2**

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – System Types

Examples of System Type.

Type 0 $\rightarrow$

$$R(s) \quad \xrightarrow{+} \quad \overset{-}{\bigotimes} \quad E(s) \quad \boxed{\frac{500(s+2)(s+5)}{(s+8)(s+10)(s+12)}} \quad Y(s)$$

Type 1 $\rightarrow$

$$R(s) \quad \xrightarrow{+} \quad \overset{-}{\bigotimes} \quad E(s) \quad \boxed{\frac{500(s+2)(s+5)(s+6)}{s(s+8)(s+10)(s+12)}} \quad Y(s)$$

Type 2 $\rightarrow$

$$R(s) \quad \xrightarrow{+} \quad \overset{-}{\bigotimes} \quad E(s) \quad \boxed{\frac{500(s+2)(s+4)(s+5)(s+6)(s+7)}{s^2(s+8)(s+10)(s+12)}} \quad Y(s)$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Step Input

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{sR(s)}{1 + G(s)}$$

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s}{1 + G(s)} \cdot \frac{1}{s}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \lim_{s \to 0} G(s)}$$

$$e_{ss} = \frac{1}{1 + K_p}$$

where,

$$K_p = \lim_{s \to 0} G(s)$$

$$K_p = \text{position error constant}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Step Input

- **For system of Type 0.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$for\ N = 0$$

$$K_p = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$K_p = \frac{Kz_1.z_2\dots z_m}{p_1.p_2\dots p_n}$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{1 + K_p}}$$

- **For system of Type 1 and above.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$for\ N \geq 1$$

$$K_p = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$K_p = \infty$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{1 + K_p} = 0}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Step Input

Conclusion

Those systems from **Type 1 and above** will have **zero steady-state error for step input**.

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Ramp Input

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s}{1 + G(s)} \cdot \frac{1}{s^2}$$

$$= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s + sG(s)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lim_{s \to 0} sG(s)}$$

$$e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_v}$$

where,

$$K_v = \lim_{s \to 0} sG(s)$$

K_v=velocity error constant

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Ramp Input

- **For system of Type 0.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$for\ N = 0$$

$$K_v = \lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$K_v = 0$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_v} = \infty}$$

- **For system of Type 1.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$for\ N = 1$$

$$K_v = \lim_{s \to 0} s \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2)\dots(s + z_m)}{s^1(s + p_1)(s + p_2)\dots(s + p_n)}$$

$$K_v = \frac{K z_1 . z_2 \dots z_m}{p_1 . p_2 \dots p_n}$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_v}}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Ramp Input

- **For system of Type 2 and above.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2) \dots (s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2) \dots (s + p_n)}$$

$$for \ N \geq 2$$

$$K_v = \lim_{s \to 0} s \ \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2) \dots (s + z_m)}{s^2(s + p_1)(s + p_2) \dots (s + p_n)}$$

$$K_v = \infty$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_v} = 0}$$

Conclusion:

- Systems of **type 0** will have **infinity steady state error**.

- Systems of **type 1** will have **finite steady state error**.

- Those systems from **type 2** and above will have **zero steady state error** for **ramp input**

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Parabolic Input

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s}{1 + G(s)} \cdot \frac{1}{s^3}$$

$$= \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{1}{s^2 + s^2 G(s)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lim_{s \to 0} s^2 G(s)}$$

$$e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_a}$$

where,

$$K_a = \lim_{s \to 0} s^2 G(s)$$

K_a = acceleration error constant

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Parabolic Input

- **For system of Type 0 & 1.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s+z_1)(s+z_2)\dots(s+z_m)}{s^N(s+p_1)(s+p_2)\dots(s+p_n)}$$
$$for\ N \leq 1$$

$$K_a = \lim_{s \to 0} s^2 \frac{K(s+z_1)(s+z_2)\dots(s+z_m)}{s^N(s+p_1)(s+p_2)\dots(s+p_n)}$$

$$K_a = 0$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_a} = \infty}$$

- **For system of Type 2.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s+z_1)(s+z_2)\dots(s+z_m)}{s^N(s+p_1)(s+p_2)\dots(s+p_n)}$$
$$for\ N = 1$$

$$K_a = \lim_{s \to 0} s^2 \frac{K(s+z_1)(s+z_2)\dots(s+z_m)}{s^2(s+p_1)(s+p_2)\dots(s+p_n)}$$

$$K_a = \frac{Kz_1.z_2\dots z_m}{p_1.p_2\dots p_n}$$

$$\boxed{e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_a}}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Unit Ramp Input

- **For system of Type 3 and above.**

$$G(s) = \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2) \dots (s + z_m)}{s^N(s + p_1)(s + p_2) \dots (s + p_n)}$$

$$for\ N \geq 3$$

$$K_a = \lim_{s \to 0} s^2 \frac{K(s + z_1)(s + z_2) \dots (s + z_m)}{s^3(s + p_1)(s + p_2) \dots (s + p_n)}$$

$$K_a = \infty$$

$$e_{ss} = \frac{1}{K_a} = 0$$

Conclusion:
- Systems of **type 0** and **1** will have **infinity steady state error**

- Systems of **type 2** will have **finite steady state error**

- Those systems from **type 3 and above** will have **zero steady state error for parabolic input.**

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Summary

- The steady-state error for a system with **unity feedback** can be summarized as given below:

| Input	Steady State Error Formula	Type 0		Type 1		Type 2	
		Static Error Constant	Error	Static Error Constant	Error	Static Error Constant	Error
Step $u(t)$	$\dfrac{1}{1+K_p}$	$K_p = constant$	$\dfrac{1}{1+K_p}$	$K_p = \infty$	0	$K_p = \infty$	0
Ramp $tu(t)$	$\dfrac{1}{K_v}$	$K_v = 0$	∞	$K_v = constant$	$\dfrac{1}{K_v}$	$K_v = \infty$	0
Parabola $\dfrac{1}{2}t^2u(t)$	$\dfrac{1}{K_a}$	$K_a = 0$	∞	$K_a = 0$	∞	$K_a = constant$	$\dfrac{1}{K_a}$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Non-Unity Feedback Systems

- The steady-state error with a non-unity feedback system can be determined in two ways:

  - ✓ By solving the problem using the fundamental definition of steady-state error

  - ✓ By changing the block diagram into the equivalent unity feedback system, and the respective formula to calculate the respective steady-state errors

$$E(s) = input - output$$

$$= R(s) - Y(s)$$

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{t \to \infty} e(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} sE(s)$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Steady State Error – Example

- For the system shown, the steady-state error for a **unit step input**. (**non-unity feedback**)

- Using the equivalent unity feedback system block diagram:

$$G_e(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s) - G(s)}$$

$$= \frac{100(s+5)}{s^3 + s^2 - 50s - 400} \quad (Type - 0 \; system)$$

- The appropriate static error constant is then K_p, whose value is:

$$K_p = \lim_{s \to 0} G_e(s) = \frac{100(5)}{-400} = -\frac{5}{4}$$

- The steady-state error, $e(\infty) \; is$

$$e(\infty) = \frac{1}{1 + K_p} = \frac{1}{1 - (5/4)} = -4$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

System Sensitivity – Plant Variations

- Feedback can be used to make the **response** of a system relatively **independent** of certain type of **changes or inaccuracies**

- Suppose we have a system

- Now, suppose one of the plant parameters change or is inaccurately modelled

- For $K_1 = 1$ the plant is the same; other values of K_1 will cause perturbations from the nominal plant

$R(s)$ → [+/-] → [Amplifier 100] → [Plant $\frac{4}{s+2}$] → $Y(s)$

[Output sensor 1]

$$T(s) = \frac{400/s + 2)}{1 + 400/(s + 2)} = \frac{400}{s + 402}$$

$R(s)$ → [+/-] → [Amplifier 100] → [Plant $\frac{4}{s + 2K_1}$] → $Y(s)$

[Output sensor 1]

$$T(s) = \frac{400/(s + 2K_1)}{1 + 400/(s + 2K_1)} = \frac{400}{s + 400 + 2K_1}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

System Sensitivity – Plant Variations

- Even **50%** changes in the parameter from $K_1 = 1/2$ to $K_1 = 3/2$ results in a relatively minor change in the $T(s)$

- Even negative value $K_1 = -1$ gives the same stable overall $T(s)$

- The system steady-state error for a unit step input is

- Steady State Error is dominated by the factor of 400 and is proportional to K_1

(handwritten top)
$$E(s) = R(s) - Y(s)$$
$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} s\, E(s)$$

| $R(s) \rightarrow$ (+ -) $\rightarrow$ | Amplifier 100 | Plant $\frac{4}{s + 2K_1}$ | $\rightarrow Y(s)$ |

Output sensor 1

$$T(s) = \frac{400/(s + 2K_1)}{1 + 400/(s + 2K_1)} = \frac{400}{s + 400 + 2K_1}$$

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} s \left(\frac{1}{s}\right)[1 - T(s)] = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s + 2K_1}{s + 400 + 2K_1}$$

(handwritten: input - ouput)

$$\left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s} T(s)\right)$$

$$s \frac{1}{s}(1 - T(s))$$

$$= \frac{2K_1}{400 + 2K_1}$$

$$\boxed{E(s) = R(s)\left[1 - \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)}\right] = R(s)[1 - T(s)]}$$

29

EE-379 Performance Specification

System Sensitivity – Plant Variations

- Suppose the inaccuracy is of the form K_2

- The Transfer function becomes

- The systems **steady-state error** for a unit step input is:

  - Dominated by the factor of $400K_2$ and is inversely proportional to K_2

- Changes in amplifier gain of 400 will produce the same effect on T(s) and its step response

$G_1(s)$

$R(s)$ +   −   Amplifier 100   Plant $\dfrac{4K_2}{s+2}$ $Y(s)$

Output sensor 1

$$T(s) = \frac{400K_2/(s+2)}{1+400K_2/(s+2)} = \frac{400K_2}{s+400K_2+2}$$

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s\to 0} s\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)[1-T(s)] = \lim_{s\to 0} \frac{s+2}{s+400K_2+2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{400K_2+2}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

System Sensitivity – Sensor Variations

- Suppose the inaccuracy is in the sensor gain i.e., K_3

- The Transfer function becomes

- The system's steady-state error for a unit step input is:

- This can become quite large for comparable percentage parameter changes

- Is this result expected ??

                              Why?

- **Error** by the sensor in the perceived output is **indistinguishable** from actual output error

$R(s)$ + - | Amplifier 100 | Plant $\dfrac{4}{s+2}$ | $Y(s)$

Output sensor K_3

$$T(s) = \frac{400/(s+2)}{1+400K_3/(s+2)} = \frac{400}{s+400K_3+2}$$

$$e_{ss} = \lim_{s \to 0} s\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)[1-T(s)] = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{s+400(K_3-1)+2}{s+400K_3+2}$$

$$= \frac{400(K_3-1)+2}{400K_3+2}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Sensitivity Functions

- A process, represented by $G(s)$, is subject to a **changing environment**, **aging**, and **ignorance** of the exact values of the process parameters.

- In the **open-loop system**, all these errors and changes result in a **changing and inaccurate output**.

- In the **open-loop case**, the change in the output is:

$$R(s) \rightarrow \boxed{\Delta G(s)} \rightarrow Y(s)$$

$$\Delta Y(s) = \Delta G(s)R(s)$$

- However, a **closed-loop system** senses the changes in the output due to process changes and attempts to correct the output.

$$R(s) \rightarrow \oplus^{+}_{-} \rightarrow \boxed{G(s) + \Delta G(s)} \rightarrow Y(s)$$
$$\boxed{H(s)}$$

- Consider a change in the process as:

$$R(s) \times tf \qquad G(s) + \Delta G(s)$$

$$Y(s) + \Delta Y(s) = \frac{G(s)+\Delta G(s)}{1+\big(G(s)+\Delta G(s)\big)H(s)} R(s)$$

$$\Delta Y(s) = \frac{\Delta G(s)}{(1+G(s)H(s)+\Delta G(s)H(s))(1+G(s)H(s))} R(s)$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Sensitivity Functions

$$\Delta Y(s) = \frac{\Delta G(s)}{[1+G(s)H(s)+\Delta G(s)H(s)][1+G(s)H(s)]}R(s)$$

$$G(s)H(s) >>> \Delta G(s)H(s)$$

$$\Delta Y(s) = \frac{\Delta G(s)}{[1+G(s)H(s)]^2}R(s)$$

- The change in the output of the closed-loop system is reduced by a factor $[1+G(s)H(s)]^2$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Sensitivity Functions

- During the design process, the engineer may want to consider the **extent** to which **changes in system parameters affect the behavior of a system**.

- **The degree to which changes in system parameters affect** system transfer functions, and hence performance, is called **Sensitivity**.

- The sensitivity function of *T* with respect to changes in a parameter *a* is defined as

$$S_a^T = \lim_{\Delta a \to 0} \frac{Percentage\ change\ in\ the\ function, \boldsymbol{T}\ due\ to\ parameter\ \boldsymbol{a}}{Percentage\ change\ in\ the\ parameter, \boldsymbol{a}}$$

$$S_a^T = \lim_{\Delta a \to 0} \frac{\Delta T / T}{\Delta a / a}$$

$$S_a^T = \lim_{\Delta a \to 0} \frac{a\ \Delta T}{T\ \Delta a}$$

$$S_a^T = \frac{a\ \delta T}{T\ \delta a}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Sensitivity Functions

- Assume that the function **G** depends upon a parameter **a** then for the closed-loop system,

$$T(s) = \frac{G}{1 + GH}$$

- The sensitivity function of **T** with respect to **a** is:

$$S_a^T = \frac{\delta T}{\delta a} \times \frac{a}{T} = \frac{\delta T}{\delta G} \cdot \frac{G}{T} \times \frac{\delta G}{\delta a} \cdot \frac{a}{G} = S_G^T S_a^G$$

Where,

$$S_G^T = \frac{\delta T}{\delta G} \cdot \frac{G}{T} = \frac{1}{(1 + GH)^2} \cdot \frac{G}{G/(1 + GH)}$$

$$\delta \quad \frac{G}{1 + GH}$$

$$\delta \, \text{by}$$

$$S_G^T = \frac{\delta T}{\delta G} \cdot \frac{G}{T} = \frac{1}{(1 + GH)}$$

- As the loop gain $G(s)H(s)$ becomes large, the sensitivity of the closed-loop characteristics is reduced.

- **Result:** Sensitivity of the system may be reduced below that of the open-loop system by **increasing** $G(s)H(s)$ over the frequency range of interest.

- The sensitivity of the feedback system to changes in the feedback element $H(s)$ is:

$$S_H^T = \frac{\delta T}{\delta H} \cdot \frac{H}{T} = \left(\frac{G}{1 + GH}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{-H}{G/(1 + GH)}$$

$$S_H^T = \frac{-GH}{(1 + GH)}$$

Effect of Disturbances

- Another major advantage of a feedback system is that it can be used to reduce the effects of disturbance inputs upon system response

- In this system the disturbance signal **D(s)** affects the plant but is **not accessible to the designer**

- The **transfer function** relating **Y(s)** to **D(s)** is

- For a unit step disturbance input the **final value** of the output due to the disturbance is

$D(s)$ *inaccessible*
Disturbance inputs

$W(s)$ **Plant** $\frac{1}{s+2}$ $Y(s)$

+
+

Accessible Plant
control inputs

$$T_D(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{1}{s+2}$$

$$Y(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{s+2}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = \lim_{s \to 0} sY(s) = \frac{1}{2}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Effect of Disturbances

- If the plant is driven in the feedback arrangement as shown

- The **transfer function** relating **Y(s)** to **D(s)** is

- For a **unit step disturbance input** to the feedback system, the resulting **steady state output is**

- This error can be made arbitrarily small by making **K** sufficiently large

$$T_D(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{D(s)} <=> \frac{\dfrac{1}{s+2}}{1 - \left[-\dfrac{K}{s+2}\right]} = \frac{1}{s+2+K}$$

$$Y(s) = D(s)T_D(s) = \frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{1}{s+2+K}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \lim_{s\to 0} sY(s) = \frac{1}{2+K}$$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Effect of Disturbances

- Another type of system is shown below

- The two system transfer functions are

- Routh Array shows that the system is stable

- **Unit step disturbance** produces **zero contribution**

$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) T_D(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{10s}{s^3 + 2s^2 + 20s + 30} = 0$$

s^3	1	20
s^2	2	30
s^1	5	0
s^0	30	

$$T_R(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{R(s)} = \frac{10(s+3)/s(s^2+2s+10)}{1+10(s+3)/s(s^2+2s+10)} = \frac{10(s+3)}{s^3+2s^2+20s+30}$$

$$T_D(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{10/(s^2+2s+10)}{1+10(s+3)/s(s^2+2s+10)} = \frac{10s}{s^3+2s^2+20s+30}$$

Effect of Disturbances

- Now consider the open loop (non-feedback) system as shown

- This system has the same transfer function relating *Y(s)* and *R(s)*

- Relationship between **output Y(s)** and **disturbance D(s)** is not modified by feedback

- **Unit step disturbance produces** a **unit contribution** to the steady state output.

$$T_D(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{D(s)} = \frac{10}{s^2 + 2s + 10}$$

$$\lim_{s \to 0} s \left(\frac{1}{s}\right) T_D(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{10}{s^2 + 2s + 10} = 1$$

$D(s)$

$W(s)$ *Plant*

$R(s)$ $+$ $-$ $\dfrac{(s+3)(s^2+2s+10)}{s^3+2s^2+20s+30}$ $+$ $\dfrac{10}{s^2+2s+10}$ $Y(s)$

39

EE-379 Performance Specification

Effect of Disturbances

- Effect of $D(s)$ for an **open-loop system**.

$$Y(s) = G_c(s)G_p(s)R(s) + G_p(s)D(s)$$

- Effect of $D(s)$ for the **closed-loop system.**

$$Y(s) = \frac{G_c(s)G_p(s)}{1 + G_c(s)G_p(s)H(s)}R(s) + \frac{G_p(s)}{1 + G_c(s)G_p(s)H(s)}D(s)$$

- For large loop gain, $G_cG_pH(s)$

$$Y(s) \approx \frac{1}{H(s)}R(s) + \frac{1}{G_cH(s)}D(s)$$

High gain controllers can significantly reduce the effect of disturbance inputs while maintaining the desired $Y(s)/R(s)$ relationship.

$D(s)$ *Plant noise/disturbance*

$R(s)$ $E(s)$

$+$ $-$

$G_c(s)$

$+$ $+$

$G_p(s)$ $Y(s)$

Cascade compensator

$H(s)$

40

# EE-379 Performance Specification

Effect of Measurement Noise

- **Measurement noise** may be represented by a **signal injected in the feedback path**.

- For a closed-loop system.

$$Y(s) = \frac{G_c(s)G_p(s)}{1 + G_c(s)G_p(s)H_1H_2(s)}R(s) + \frac{G_c(s)G_p(s)H_1(s)}{1 + G_c(s)G_p(s)H_1H_2(s)}N(s)$$

- For large loop gain,

$$Y(s) \approx \frac{1}{H_1H_2(s)}R(s) + \frac{1}{H_2(s)}N(s)$$

Cascade compensator

$N(s)$
Measurement Noise

EE-379 Performance Specification

Ziegler Nichols Compensation

- A **typical process control plant** has real poles and zeros and is **type 0**.

- The compensator to the plant is generally either Proportional (P), Proportional Integral (PI) or Proportional Integral Derivative (PID) having the following form.

$$G_c(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s} + K_d s = \frac{K_d s^2 + K_p s + K_i}{s}$$

- The Ziegler Nichols Method has the following two steps:
    1. Step I: Set the true plant under proportional control, with a very small gain so that $G_c(s) = K_p$. This gain is adjusted until the system becomes marginally stable. Adjusted Gain $= K_{p_o}$
       Period of oscillation $= T_o$
    1. Step II: The compensator is defined by

$$G_c(s) = K_p\left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i s} + T_d s\right)$$

once values of T_d, $and\ T_i$ have been calculated

$$K_i = \frac{K_p}{T_i}, \qquad K_d = K_p T_d$$

Design Equations for Ziegler Nichols

	K_p	T_i	T_d
P	$K_p = 0.5K_{po}$		
PI	$K_p = 0.45K_{po}$	$T_i = 0.83T_o$	
PID	$K_p = 0.6K_{po}$	$T_i = 0.5T_o$	$T_d = 0.125T_o$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Ziegler Nichols Compensation-Example

- Consider the process control plant

$$G_p(s) = \frac{64}{s^3 + 14s^2 + 56s + 64}$$

- First step is to let $G_c(s) = K_p$ and find the value of $K_p = K_{po}$ such that $1 + G_c(s)G_p(s)$ is **marginally stable.**

$$T(s) = \frac{G_c(s)G_p(s)}{1 + G_c(s)G_p(s)} = \frac{64}{s^3 + 14s^2 + 56s + 64(1 + K_p)}$$

- The Routh Array is formed as

s^3	1	56
s^2	14	$64(1 + K_p)$
s^1	$(1/14)[784\text{-}64(1+K_p)]$	0
s^0	$64(1 + K_p)$	

- The value of K_{po} is found by setting the first term in row s^1 to 0 this gives K_{po} = 11.25

- At this value of K_{po} the complex conjugate roots are obtained from the row s^2 i.e., $14(s^2 + 56)$ which gives the roots as $\pm j7.483$

- The characteristic polynomial is then divided by $(s^2 + 56)$ which gives the remaining root -14.

- This gives $T_o = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_o} = \frac{2\pi}{7.483} = 0.84$ and the remaining values can be easily found from the design table.

	K_p	T_i	T_d
P	$K_p = 0.5K_{po}$		
PI	$K_p = 0.45K_{po}$	$T_i = 0.83T_o$	
PID	$K_p = 0.6K_{po}$	$T_i = 0.5T_o$	$T_d = 0.125T_o$

EE-379 Performance Specification

## Ziegler Nichols Compensation-Example

- The Simulink model of a simple mass damper spring (mck) system with a PID controller

EE-379 Performance Specification

Ziegler Nichols Compensation-Example

- Response of uncompensated System

Ziegler Nichols Compensation-Example

- Set up the system with only proportional control and provide a step input

- start with a small value for K_p and increase until the output gives constant amplitude oscillations

- This value of the proportional constant will be called, K_u , the ultimate gain

EE-379 Performance Specification

Ziegler Nichols Compensation-Example

- The controller equation can be written as

$$G_c(s) = K_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_i s} + T_d s \right)$$

- Select K_p, T_i and T_d according to.

	K_p	T_i	T_d
P	$K_p = 0.5 K_{po}$		
PI	$K_p = 0.45 K_{po}$	$T_i = 0.83 T_o$	
PID	$K_p = 0.6 K_{po}$	$T_i = 0.5 T_o$	$T_d = 0.125 T_o$

$K_{po} = 6000 \; N/m$

$T_o = 0.08 \; sec$

$K_p = 3600 \; N/m$

$T_i = 0.04 \; sec$

$T_d = 0.01 \; sec$

$K_p = 3600 \; N/m$

$K_i = 90{,}000 \; N/(m\,sec)$

$K_d = 36 \; N\,sec/m$

EE-379 Performance Specification

Ziegler Nichols Compensation-Example

- Response of Ziegler Nichols compensated System