RUTH'S CHRIS: THE HIGH STAKES OF INTERNATIONAL EXPANSION

Allen H. Kupetz and Professor Ilan Alon wrote this case solely to provide material for class discussion. The authors do not intend to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of a managerial situation. The authors may have disguised certain names and other identifying information to protect onfidentiality.

This publication may not be transmitted, photocopied, digitized or otherwise reproduced in any form or by any means without the permission of the copyright holder. Reproduction of this material is not covered under authorization by any reproduction rights organization. To order copies or request permission to reproduce materials, contact Ivey Publishing, Ivey Business School, Western University, London, Ontario, Canada, N6G 0N1; (t) 519.661.3208; (e) cases@ivey.ca; www.iveycases.com.

Copyright © 2006, Richard Ivey School of Business Foundation Version: 2017-05-18

"Well, I was so lucky that I fell into something that I really, really love. And I think that if you ever go into business, you better find something you really love, because you spend so many hours with it ... it almost becomes your life."

Ruth Fertel, 1927-2002 Founder of Ruth's Chris Steak House

In 2006, Ruth's Chris Steak House (Ruth's Chris) was fresh off a sizzling initial public offering (IPO). Dan Hannah, vice-president for business development since June 2004, was responsible for the development of a new business strategy focused on continued growth of franchise and company-operated restaurants. He also oversaw franchisee relations. Now a public company, Ruth's Chris had to meet Wall Street's expectations for revenue growth. Current stores were seeing consistent incremental revenue growth, but new restaurants were critical and Hannah knew that the international opportunities offered a tremendous upside.

With restaurants in just five countries, including the United States, the challenge for Hannah was to decide where to go to next. Ruth's Chris regularly received inquiries from would-be franchisees all over the world, but strict criteria — liquid net worth of at least US\$1 million, verifiable experience within the hospitality industry, and an ability and desire to develop multiple locations — eliminated many of the prospects. And the cost of a franchise — a US\$100,000 per restaurant franchise fee, a five per cent of gross sales royalty fee, and a two per cent of gross sales fee as a contribution to the national advertising campaign — eliminated some qualified prospects. All this was coupled with a debate within Ruth's Chris senior management team about the need and desire to grow its international business. So where was Hannah to look for new international franchisees and what countries would be best suited for the fine dining that made Ruth's Chris famous?

THE HOUSE THAT RUTH BUILT

Ruth Fertel, the founder of Ruth's Chris, was born in New Orleans in 1927. She skipped several grades in grammar school, and later entered Louisiana State University in Baton Rouge at the age of 15 to pursue degrees in chemistry and physics. After graduation, Fertel landed a job teaching at McNeese State University. The majority of her students were football players who not only towered over her, but were actually older than she was. Fertel taught for two semesters. In 1948, the former Ruth Ann Adstad

married Rodney Fertel, who lived in Baton Rouge and shared her love of horses. They had two sons, Jerry and Randy. They opened a racing stable in Baton Rouge. Ruth Fertel earned a thoroughbred trainer's license, making her the first female horse trainer in Louisiana. Ruth and Rodney Fertel divorced in 1958.

In 1965, Ruth Fertel spotted an ad in the New Orleans Times-Picayune selling a steak house. She mortgaged her home for US\$22,000 to purchase Chris Steak House, a 60-seat restaurant on the corner of Broad and Ursuline in New Orleans, near the fairgrounds racetrack. In September of 1965, the city of New Orleans was ravaged by Hurricane Betsy just a few months after Fertel purchased Chris Steak House. The restaurant was left without power, so she cooked everything she had and brought it to her brother in devastated Plaquemines Parish to aid in the relief effort.

In 1976, the thriving restaurant was destroyed in a kitchen fire. Fertel bought a new property a few blocks away on Broad Street and soon opened under a new name, "Ruth's Chris Steak House," since her original contract with former owner, Chris Matulich, precluded her from using the name Chris Steak House in a different location. After years of failed attempts, Tom Moran, a regular customer and business owner from Baton Rouge, convinced a hesitant Fertel to let him open the first Ruth's Chris franchise in 1976. It opened on Airline Highway in Baton Rouge. Fertel reluctantly began awarding more and more franchises. In the 1980s, the little corner steak house grew into a global phenomenon with restaurants opening every year in cities around the nation and the world. Fertel became something of an icon herself and was dubbed by her peers The First Lady of American Restaurants.

Ruth's Chris grew to become the largest fine dining steak house in the United States (see Exhibit 1) with its focus on an unwavering commitment to customer satisfaction and its broad selection of USDA Prime grade steaks (USDA Prime is a meat grade label that refers to evenly distributed marbling that enhances the flavor of the steak). The menu also included premium quality lamb chops, veal chops, fish, chicken and lobster. Steak and seafood combinations and a vegetable platter were also available at selected restaurants. Dinner entrees were generally priced between US\$18 to US\$38. Three company-owned restaurants were open for lunch and offered entrees generally ranging in price from US\$11 to US\$24. The Ruth's Chris core menu was similar at all of its restaurants. The company occasionally introduced new items as specials that allowed the restaurant to offer its guests additional choices, such as items inspired by Ruth's Chris New Orleans heritage.

In 2005, Ruth's Chris enjoyed a significant milestone, completing a successful IPO that raised more than US\$154 million in new equity capital. In its 2005 Annual Report, the company said it had plans "to embark on an accelerated development plan and expand our footprint through both company-owned and franchised locations." 2005 restaurant sales grew to a record US\$415.8 million from 82 locations in the United States and 10 international locations, including Canada (1995, 2003), Hong Kong (1997, 2001), Mexico (1993, 1996, 2001) and Taiwan (1993, 1996, 2001). As of December 2005, 41 of the 92 Ruth's Chris restaurants were company-owned and 51 were franchisee-owned, including all 10 of the international restaurants (see Exhibit 2).

Figure 1 **RUTH'S** CHRIS RESTAURANT GROWTH BY DECADE

<u>Decad</u> e	New Restaurants (total)	New Restaurants (company-owned)	New Restaurants (franchises)
1965-1969	1	1	0
1970-1979	4	2	2
1980-1989	19	8	11
1990-1999	44	19	25
2000-2005	25	12	13
	93 ²	42	51

Source: Ruth's Chris Steak House files.

Ruth's Chris's 51 franchisee-owned restaurants were owned by just 17 franchisees, with five new franchisees having the rights to develop a new restaurant, and the three largest franchisees owning eight, six and five restaurants, respectively. Prior to 2004, each franchisee entered into a 10-year franchise agreement with three 10-year renewal options for each restaurant. Each agreement granted the franchisee territorial protection, with the option to develop a certain number of restaurants in their territory. Ruth's Chris's franchisee agreements generally included termination clauses in the event of nonperformance by the franchisee.

A WORLD OF OPPORTUNITIES

As part of the international market selection process, Hannah considered four standard models (see Figure 2):

- 1. Product development new kinds of restaurants in existing markets
- 2. Diversification new kinds of restaurants in new markets
- 3. Penetration more of the same restaurants in the same market
- 4. Market development more of the same restaurants in new markets

Figure 2

RESTAURANT GROWTH PATHS4

Restaurant Brands

	Existing	New
	Penetration	Product development
	(more restaurants)	(new brands)
Existing	Same market,	Same market,
	same product	new product
Market		
Mantot	Market development	Diversification
	(new markets)	(new brands for new marke
New	New market,	New product,
	same product	new market

The product development model (new kinds of restaurants in existing markets) was never seriously considered by Ruth's Chris. It had built a brand based on fine dining steak houses and, with only 92 stores, the company saw little need and no value in diversifying with new kinds of restaurants.

The diversification model (new kinds of restaurants in new markets) was also never considered by Ruth's Chris. In only four international markets, Hannah knew that the current fine dining steak house model would work in new markets without the risk of brand dilution or brand confusion.

The penetration model (more of the same restaurants in the same market) was already underway in a small way with new restaurants opening up in Canada. The limiting factor was simply that fine dining establishments would never be as ubiquitous as quick-service restaurants (i.e. fast food) like McDonald's.

Even the largest cities in the world would be unlikely to host more than five to six Ruth's Chris steak houses.

The market development model (more of the same restaurants in new markets) appeared the most obvious path to increased revenue. Franchisees in the four international markets — Canada, Hong Kong, Mexico and Taiwan — were profitable and could offer testimony to would-be franchisees of the value of a Ruth's Chris franchise.

With the management team agreed on a model, the challenge shifted to market selection criteria. The key success factors were well-defined:

- Beef-eaters: Ruth's Chris was a steak house (though there were several fish items on the menu)
 and, thus, its primary customers were people who enjoy beef. According to the World Resources
 Institute, in 2002, there were 17 countries above the mean per capita of annual beef
 consumption for high-income countries (93.5 kilograms see Exhibit 3).5
- Legal to import U.S. beef: The current Ruth's Chris model used only USDA Prime beef, thus it had to be exportable to the target country. In some cases, Australian beef was able to meet the same high U.S. standard.
- Population/high urbanization rates: With the target customer being a well-to-do beef-eater, restaurants needed to be in densely populated areas to have a large enough pool. Most large centers probably met this requirement.
- High disposable income: Ruth's Chris is a fine dining experience and the average cost of a meal for a customer ordering an entrée was over US\$70 at a Ruth's Chris in the United States. While this might seem to eliminate many countries quickly, there are countries (e.g. China) that have such large populations that even a very small percentage of high disposable income people could create an appropriate pool of potential customers.
- Do people go out to eat? This was a critical factor. If well-to-do beef-eaters did not go out to eat, these countries had to be removed from the target list.
- Affinity for U.S. brands: The name "Ruth's Chris" was uniquely American as was the Ruth Fertel story. Countries that were overtly anti-United States would be eliminated from — or at least pushed down —

the target list. One measure of affinity could be the presence of existing U.S. restaurants and successful franchises.

WHAT SHOULD RUTH'S CHRIS DO NEXT?

Hannah had many years of experience in the restaurant franchising business, and thus had both personal preferences and good instincts about where Ruth's Chris should be looking for new markets. "Which markets should we enter first?" he thought to himself. Market entry was critical, but there were other issues too. Should franchising continue to be Ruth's Chris exclusive international mode of entry? Were there opportunities for joint ventures or company-owned stores in certain markets? How could he identify and evaluate new potential franchisees? Was there an opportunity to find a global partner/brand with which to partner?

Hannah gathered information from several reliable U.S. government and related websites and created the table in Exhibit 4. He noted that many of his top prospects currently did not allow the importation of U.S. beef, but he felt that this was a political (rather than a cultural) variable and thus could change quickly under the right circumstances and with what he felt was the trend toward ever more free trade. He could not find any data on how often people went out to eat or a measure of their affinity toward U.S. brands. Maybe the success of U.S. casual dining restaurants in a country might be a good indicator of how its citizens felt toward U.S. restaurants. With his spreadsheet open, he went to work on the numbers and began contemplating the future global expansion of the company.

"If you've ever had a filet this good, welcome back." Ruth Fertel, 1927-2002 Founder of Ruth's Chris Steak House

Exhibit 1

FINE DINING STEAK HOUSES BY BRAND IN THE UNITED STATES (2005)

Company <u>Name</u>	Number of Restaurants
Ruth's Chris	92
Morton's	66
Fleming's	32
Palm	28
Capital Grille	22
Shula's	16
Sullivan's	15
Smith & Wollensk	y 11
Del Frisco	6

Source: Ruth's Chris Steak House files.

Exhibit 2

RUTH'S CHRIS LOCATIONS IN THE UNITED STATES (2005)



- Company owned
- Franchiseeowned

Source: Ruth's Chris Steak House files.

Exhibit 3

MEAT CONSUMPTION PER CAPITA¹
(in kilograms)

Region/Classification	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	Growth Rate 1998-2002
World	39.7	38.8	38.6	38.0	37.7	5.31%
Asia (excluding Middle East)	27.8	26.9	26.6	25.7	25.4	9.45%
Central America/Caribbean	46.9	45.7	44.8	42.9	41.3	13.56%
Europe	74.3	72.5	70.5	70.6	73.1	1.64%
Middle East/North Africa	25.7	25.7	26.0	25.1	24.7	4.05%
North America	123.2	119.1	120.5	122.2	118.3	4.14%
South America	69.7	68.4	69.1	67.6	64.2	8.57%
Sub-Saharan Africa	13.0	12.9	13.1	12.8	12.6	3.17%
Developed Countries	80.0	78.0	77.2	77.3	77.6	3.09%
Developing Countries	28.9	28.1	28.0	27.1	26.6	8.65%
High-Income Countries	93.5	91.9	92.0	92.2	90.9	2.86%
Low-Income Countries	8.8	8.6	8.4	8.3	8.2	7.32%
Middle-Income Countries	46.1	44.6	43.9	42.7	42.3	8.98%

Exhibit 4

DATA TABLE

Country	Per Capita Beef Consumption (kg)	Population (1,000s)	Urbanization Rate (%)	Per Capita GDP (PPP in US\$)
Argentina	97.6	39,921	90%	\$13,100
Bahamas	123.6	303	89%	\$20,200
Belgium	86.1	10,379	97%	\$31,400
Brazil	82.4	188,078	83%	\$8,400
Chile	66.4	16,134	87%	\$11,300
China	52.4	1,313,973	39%	\$6,800
Costa Rica	40.4	4,075	61%	\$11,100
Czech Rep	77.3	10,235	74%	\$19,500
France	101.1	60,876	76%	\$29,900
Germany	82.1	82,422	88%	\$30,400
Greece	78.7	10,688	61%	\$22,200
Hungary	100.7	9,981	65%	\$16,300
Ireland	106.3	4,062	60%	\$41,000
Israel	97.1	6,352	92%	\$24,600
Italy	90.4	58,133	67%	\$29,200
Japan	43.9	127,463	65%	\$31,500
Kuwait	60.2	2,418	96%	\$19,200
Malaysia	50.9	24,385	64%	\$12,100
Netherlands	89.3	16,491	66%	\$30,500
Panama	54.5	3,191	57%	\$7,200
Poland	78.1	38,536	62%	\$13,300
Portugal	91.1	10,605	55%	\$19,300
Russia	51	142,893	73%	\$11,100
Singapore	71.1	4,492	100%	\$28,100
South Africa	39	44,187	57%	\$12,000
South Korea	48	48,846	80%	\$20,400
Spain	118.6	40,397	77%	\$25,500
Switzerland	72.9	7,523	68%	\$32,300
Turkey	19.3	70,413	66%	\$8,200
UAE/Dubai	74.4	2,602	85%	\$43,400
U.K.	79.6	60,609	89%	\$30,300
United States	124.8	298,444	80%	\$41,800
Vietnam	28.6	84,402	26%	\$2,800