CMSI 370-01

INTERACTION DESIGN

Fall 2013

Assignment 1114 Feedback

Abdulrahman M. Alzaid

AbdulZaid

- 3a Your code shows some degree of additional knowledge on how user interfaces are constructed. The CSS tweaks are noted, but as we'll see below, the JavaScript needs more work. (1)
- 3b Your touch event handling works for box deletion, but still has significant bugs in box creation. The first issue is very easy to fix, but requires an alert eye—see the inline comment. Trickier is the need to support concurrent creation of multiple boxes. Some hints are included, plus this was also discussed in class. All told, you need to step up the box creation a bit more to raise this proficiency. (/)
- 3c Your code demonstrates good adherence to MVC. (+)
- 3d You have successfully broken down one of the assigned high-level actions, box deletion, into appropriate lower-level touch events. Box creation has the right overall structure, but as mentioned is tripped up by a couple of bugs. Because this outcome is focused in high- to low-level events, it is not as adversely affected by those bugs. Still, it will improve if the bugs are fixed. (1)
- 4a Your code is functional for box deletion, but as mentioned needs some work for box creation. It isn't a whole lot of work, but its correct execution will say a lot about your understanding of this implementation. It is proportionally more than the deletion code too, which is why the proficiency is what it is (similar to 3b). (f)
- 4b Your code is mostly separated well, including MVC. Small hiccups in proper separation include the hardcoding of 512×512 as the size of the drawing area and some degree of repetition in your created-box sizing logic (assuming you fix the current blocker bug that keeps the resize from even happening). Ideally you should read the actual drawing area bounds from the incoming jQueryElements in setDrawingArea. As for created-box sizing, I know we wrote much of what is there in class, but I do remember mentioning that some consolidation would still be called for. (1)
- 4c I don't know how you went about formatting your code but it is completely off. Some indentation choices are completely inconsistent with the structure of the code; others are way too large. Don't line up code with parentheses; this does not work in general. Beyond the indentation choices, there are minor glitches with spacing, but really the indentation takes the cake here. (–)
- 4d Your work shows some use of available resources, both in class and outside, but now that you have a lot of the base code, it's time to build on that information on your own. (|)
- 4e Your commit frequency and time spread for the commits (two person-days!) are a little on the short side for an assignment of this scale, though not horrible either. Your commit messages are sufficiently descriptive at least. (1)
- 4f—Not submitted on time; slight accommodation due to lack of Internet, but that reason isn't really huge anyway because you could have worked in the Keck lab or in many other places for that matter, whether on campus, in coffee shops with free WiFi, etc. (/)