Back Optimization Graded Quiz • 5	Due Au	g 14, 11:59 PM +03
	ions! You passed! O% Latest Submission Grade 90% To pass 80% or higher	o to next item
Which notation would	you use to denote the 3rd layer's activations when the input is the 7th example from the 8th minibatch?	1 / 1 poi
$\bigcirc a^{[3]\{7\}(8)}$		
$a^{[8]\{3\}(7)}$ $a^{[3]\{8\}(7)}$		
$a^{[8]\{7\}(3)}$		
⊘ Correct		
	ents about mini-batch gradient descent do you agree with? n of mini-batch gradient descent (computing on a single mini-batch) is faster than one iteration of batch gradient	1 / 1 poi
	implement mini-batch gradient descent without an explicit for-loop over different mini-batches, so that the algorithm I mini-batches at the same time (vectorization).	
Training one batch gradie	e epoch (one pass through the training set) using mini-batch gradient descent is faster than training one epoch using ent descent.	
∠ ⁷ Expand		
⊘ Correct		
Which of the following	is true about batch gradient descent?	1 / 1 po
	e as stochastic gradient descent, but we don't use random elements. e as the mini-batch gradient descent when the mini-batch size is the same as the size of the training set.	
O It has as ma	ny mini-batches as examples in the training set.	
∠ ⁷ Expand		
Correct. When u	sing batch gradient descent there is only one mini-batch thus it is equivalent to batch gradient descent.	
While using mini-batc	n gradient descent with a batch size larger than 1 but less than m, the plot of the cost function J looks like this:	1 / 1 po
Mu		
14 July		
Š	1 MM	
	Many Many	
You notice that the val	ue of J is not always decreasing. Which of the following is the most likely reason for that?	
In mini-bato	implementing the moving averages correctly. Using moving averages will smooth the graph. h gradient descent we calculate $J(\hat{y}^{\{t\}}, y^{\{t\}})$ thus with each batch we compute over a new set of data.	
A bad imple	m is on a local minimum thus the noisy behavior. mentation of the backpropagation process, we should use gradient check to debug our implementation.	
Loading [MathJax]/jax/or	utput/CommonHTML/jax.js	
✓ Correct Yes. Since at each	h iteration we work with a different set of data or batch the loss function doesn't have to be decreasing at each iteration.	
Suppose the temperat	ture in Casablanca over the first two days of January are the same:	1/1 pc
Jan 1st: $ heta_1=10^oC$ Jan 2nd: $ heta_2=10^oC$		-1 p (
(We used Fahrenheit in Say you use an expone	the lecture, so we will use Celsius here in honor of the metric world.) entially weighted average with $eta=0.5$ to track the temperature: $v_0=0$, $v_t=eta v_{t-1}+(1-eta) heta_t$. If v_2 is the value computed	
after day 2 without bia without a calculator, b	is correction, and $v_2^{corrected}$ is the value you compute with bias correction. What are these values? (You might be able to do this ut you don't actually need one. Remember what bias correction is doing.)	
$igcup_2=10$, v_2^{cor} $igcup_2=10$, v_2^{cor}	rected = 10	
$v_2 = 7.5$		
$v^{corrected} = 7^{4}$ Expand		
⊘ Correct		
Which of the following	is true about learning rate decay?	1 / 1 pc
steps to pre	behind it is that for later epochs our parameters are closer to a minimum thus it is more convenient to take smaller vent large oscillations.	
O It helps to re	increase the size of the steps taken in each mini-batch iteration. educe the variance of a model. behind it is that for later epochs our parameters are closer to a minimum thus it is more convenient to take larger	
	elerate the convergence.	
∠ Z Expand		
You use an exponentia	In the learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. We have a substitute of the learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with time reduces the oscillation around a minimum. The learning rate with the l	1/1p
a		
tur		
era		
du		
tei		
	days	
	eta will shift the red line slightly to the right.	
✓ Correct	will shift the red line slightly to the right.	
the right.	ember that the red line corresponds to $eta=0.9$. In the lecture we had a green line $eta=0.98$ that is slightly shifted to \$\$\\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
✓ Correct True, rem	ember that the red line corresponds to \$\$\beta = 0.9\$\$. In lecture we had a yellow line \$\$\beta = 0.98\$\$ that had a	
lat of ood	$\beta = 0.9$ we had a yellow line	
∠ ⁷ Expand	$\beta = 0.98$	
Correct Great, you got a	l the right answers.	
Consider this figure:		1/1p
		-/ I P
(1)		
(3)		
These plots were gene	rated with gradient descent; with gradient descent with momentum (eta = 0.5); and gradient descent with momentum (eta = 0.9). Which which algorithm?	
(1) is gradie	Int descent with momentum (small β). (2) is gradient descent. (3) is gradient descent with momentum (large β) int descent with momentum (small β), (2) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (3) is gradient descent	
(1) is gradie(1) is gradie	Intidescent with momentum (small β), (2) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (3) is gradient descent on the descent with momentum (large β) and descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (large β) and descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (large β) and descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (large β) and descent. (3) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (3) is gradient descent with momentum (small β).	
\$\$\beta\$\$)		
Fynn		
✓ Expand ✓ Correct		
	Intidescent in a deep network is taking excessively long to find a value of the parameters that achieves a small value for the cost $[0,1], W^{[L]}, b^{[L]}$). Which of the following techniques could help find parameter values that attain a small value for $\mathcal J$? (Check all that	0 / 1 pc
Try using gr	adient descent with momentum.	
✓ Correct Yes. The t Adam.	ise of momentum can improve the speed of the training. Although other methods might give better results, such as	
	ndom initialization for the weights ne input data.	
	ata to the training set.	
Add more d		
☐ Add more d		
Expand Solution Incorrect	all the correct answers	
Expand Incorrect You didn't select Which of the following	are true about Adam?	1/1p
Expand Incorrect You didn't select Which of the following Adam can of the most in	are true about Adam? nly be used with batch gradient descent and not with mini-batch gradient descent. ${f portant}$ by a portant hyperparameter on Adam is ${f \epsilon}$ and should be carefully tuned.	1/1 pc
Expand Incorrect You didn't select Which of the following Adam can of the most in the most in the most in the following the f	are true about Adam? nly be used with batch gradient descent and not with mini-batch gradient descent.	1/1 pc
Expand Incorrect You didn't select Which of the following Adam can of the most in the most in the most in the following the f	are true about Adam? nly be used with batch gradient descent and not with mini-batch gradient descent. $lpha$ portant hyperparameter on Adam is ϵ and should be carefully tuned. $lpha$ natically tunes the hyperparameter $lpha$.	1 / 1 p