**References/Citations**

* Overall quite good reference list, in particular, however, some claims might need more references, such as plan-driven facilitates knowledge sharing mainly through documentation, however, this might only be the case between process steps, and within process steps it might be very different (e.g. requirements engineers might talk or share knowledge while creating the document to be handed over to design)
* Along the same lines, agile methods also have documentation, such as user stories, test cases should be coded, and so forth.

**Reference has been added for this statement (1st paragraph of 3rd page). Other activities rather than documentation also added.**

**The Documentation related activities of agile method added in 4th line of 2nd page.**

**Background and Justification**

* Several articles are mentioned that are related to the topic investigated, however, the research gap can be deduced, but is not yet explicitly stated.
* Comment from Samireh: motivate your research better!

**More motivation added at the last paragraph of Background.**

**Research methodology**

* Given that the research questions are all "What" a mapping study would be more suitable than a systematic review, as no evidence is integrated. It is not stated how useful certain practices are in a specific context. This could also be used to justify the choice of method (i.e. the type of questions to be answered)

**A systematic mapping study has been suggested and motivated. You will find details at 1st paragraph of Research Methodology Section in Thesis proposal V 6.**

**Motivations/Alternatives**

* The proposal could be further improved by explicitly stating why a certain research method is useful, and another is not (e.g. sysrev not suitable for "What" question, as we are building inventories, while a "How useful" question would be more suitable for a sysrev)

**Usefulness of both Systematic mapping study and survey are mentioned at 1st and 2nd paragraphs of Research section. Moreover, rational has been given for NOT considering other methodologies like SLR for Literature Review and the observation or experimental methods instead of Survey.**