

(A-5-4 MATH 239, Winter 2021) Let  $G$  be a graph such that the edge set does not contain three distinct vertices  $x, y, z$  such that  $xy, yz \in E(G)$  and  $xz \notin E(G)$ . Prove that there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  such that:

- $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k = V(G)$
- $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$
- For all  $i$ , we have  $xy \in E(G)$  for all distinct  $x, y \in V_i$
- For all  $i \neq j$ , we have  $xy \notin E(G)$  for all  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_j$ .

**Solution:** Note that for any given subgraph  $G'$ ,  $|V(G')| = 3$ , the corresponding edge set will have size  $|E(G')| = 3, 1, 0$ . If  $|E(G')| = 2$ , then for some  $i, j, k$   $\{v_i, v_j\}, \{v_j, v_k\} \in E(G')$  and  $v_i, v_k \notin E(G')$ , which violates properties of  $G$ . We construct sets  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  using the following algorithm, let  $|V(G)| = n$ , for each vertex  $v_i \in V(G)$ , preform  $V_j = V_j \cup (N(v_i) \cup v_i)$  for some set  $V_j$ . Repeat the algorithm for the next vertex  $v_r$ ,  $r \geq i$ , such that  $v_r \notin N(v_i)$  and for the next set  $V_{j+1}$ . This will give us  $k$  - subgraphs,  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ ,  $k \leq n$ .

Clearly  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k = V(G)$  since we consider all vertices  $v_i \in V(G)$  and their corresponding neighbours. Also, note that  $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ , if there existed some  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $v \in V_j$  and  $v \in V_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then this would imply the existence of some subgraph  $G'$  such that  $|E(G')| = 2$ , a violation of the properties of  $G$ . Observe that for all  $i$ , each distinct  $x, y \in V_i$  implies that  $xy \in E(G)$ , this follows from the fact that there  $V_i$  is the neighbourhood of some vertex  $v$ , hence  $xv, vy \in E(G)$ , however from properties of  $G$ , if  $xv, vy \in E(G)$  then  $xy \in E(G)$ . Also for each  $i \neq j$ , if  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_j$ , then  $xy \notin E(G)$ . This follows from the previous argument,  $V_i$  represents the neighbourhood of some vertex  $v$ , if  $x = v$ , then clearly  $xy \notin E(G)$ , since  $V_i \neq V_j$ . Else, if we assume that  $xy \in E(G)$ , then the triplet  $x, y, v$  would produce an edge set  $vx, xy \in E(G)$  and  $vy \notin E(G)$ , a violation of properties of  $G$ . ■