MATH 235, Class 1 Practice Problems

Andrej Vukovic

September 2021

1 Problems

- 1. Which of the following are vector spaces over \mathbb{R} with the usual addition and multiplication?
- (a) The real numbers, \mathbb{R} .
- (b) The non-negative real numbers, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\}.$
- (c) The integers, \mathbb{Z} .
- 2. Can you think of an example of a vector space that wasn't in the notes or the previous question?
- **3.** Is there a vector space V, whose underlying set is \mathbb{Z} , such that V is a vector space over \mathbb{R} with the usual addition but not necessarily the usual scalar multiplication?

2 Solutions

1. (a) We check it against the definition of a vector space in the notes for Class 1. Observe that \mathbb{R} is a set equipped with addition and multiplication. If $c, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $x + y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $cx \in \mathbb{R}$, so \mathbb{R} is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, then (x+y)+z=x+(y+z) and x+y=y+x since addition in \mathbb{R} is associative and commutative. Also, if $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the usual number 0, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, x+0=x, so 0 is an additive identity. Also, if $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $-x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that x+(-x)=0. Here, -x is just the usual negative of a number.

If $c_1, c_2, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(c_1 + c_2)x = c_1x + c_2x$ and $c_1(x + y) = c_1x + c_1y$ by left- and right-distributivity of multiplication in \mathbb{R} . Also, $c_1(c_2x) = (c_1c_2)x$ by associativity of multiplication in \mathbb{R} . Finally, if $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is the usual number 1, then 1x = x for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so we have a multiplicative identity.

It follows that \mathbb{R} , equipped with the usual addition and scalar multiplication, forms a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

- (b) This is similar to the example in part (a) except that there are no additive inverses in general. For instance, $1 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ has no additive inverse in that set since $-1 \notin \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. It follows that, with the uusal addition and scalar multiplication, $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is not an \mathbb{R} -vector space. (" \mathbb{R} -vector space" is another way of saying "vector space over \mathbb{R} ".)
- (c) The example of \mathbb{Z} superficially satisfies all the axioms of a vector space. However, there is an issue. Our field of scalars is \mathbb{R} , and the definition of a vector space requires that for every scalar $c \in \mathbb{F}$ and every vector $v \in V$, cv is in V. This does not happen here. If you take $c := 0.5 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v := 3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $cv = (0.5)(3) = 1.5 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. So \mathbb{Z} does not form an \mathbb{R} -vector space with the usual addition and scalar multiplication.
- **2.** There are many examples. For an easy example, take the set $\{0\}$ with addition defined by 0+0=0 and scalar multiplication, say over \mathbb{R} , defined by $c\cdot 0=0$. Here the additive identity is 0 and the additive inverse of 0 is 0. Also, *any* element of \mathbb{R} is a multiplicative identity since for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $c\cdot 0=0$. You can check that the other properties of a vector space are also satisfied for this example. This set also forms a vector space over \mathbb{C} in the same way.

For a more interesting example, take the space C([0,1]) of continuous functions $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$. If $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar and $f,g \in C([0,1])$, then f+g is also continuous and defined on [0,1], as is cf. This set is therefore closed under addition and scalar multiplication. You can check that C([0,1]) forms a vector space over \mathbb{R} by pretty much following Example 1.4 in the notes for Class 1 and checking that every function involved is continuous and defined on [0,1]. Notice that C([0,1]) is not a \mathbb{C} -vector space. Indeed, the function defined by f(x) = x for all $x \in [0,1]$ is continuous and is a function from [0,1] to \mathbb{R} . But the function if, given by multiplying f by $i = \sqrt{-1}$, while still continuous, is no longer a function from [0,1] to \mathbb{R} . For instance, if(1) = (i)(1) = i. So C([0,1]) is closed under scalar multiplication over \mathbb{R} but not over \mathbb{C} .

3. To minimize confusion, I'm going to write e for the multiplicative identity in the field of scalars \mathbb{R} , which necessarily exists by vector space axiom 2 on the second page of the class notes, and **1** for the element of V corresponding to the number $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that the multiplicative identity e does not have to actually equal the number $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ because we could have some unusual scalar multiplication.

The answer to the question is no. Suppose we had an \mathbb{R} -vector space V whose underlying set is \mathbb{Z} equipped with the usual addition but not necessarily the usual scalar multiplication.

Since $e \in \mathbb{R}$, we can divide it by 2 to get $e/2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{1} = e \cdot \mathbf{1} = (\frac{e}{2} + \frac{e}{2}) \cdot \mathbf{1} = \frac{e}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \frac{e}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}$$

by left-distributivity (axiom 3 on page 2 of the Class 1 notes). But $\frac{e}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1} \in V$, so it has to be equal to some integer $n := \frac{e}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}$. This means there is some integer n such that

$$1 = n + n$$
.

But since we made the assumption that we're using the usual addition operation, this is impossible because there is no $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that n + n = 1. This completes the proof.