Lecture 3 – Inverse Functions Part 1

In this lesson, we will explore the notion of an invertible function. This is of particular importance since invertible functions tell us more about the domain, the co-domain and correspondences between the two. In particular, we can reconstruct the entire domain based strictly on knowledge of the co-domain.

Definition 3.1: Let A and B be sets. The **identity function** on the set A is the function defined as,

$$id_{\mathcal{A}} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$$

 $id_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = a$

This is essentially the function that takes each element in A and returns it.

The identity function is essentially the most trivial function, lets see how it works in the following example.

Example 3.1: Let $\mathcal{H} = \{4, 6, 7, 10, 12\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 6\}$ be sets. Draw the mapping diagram for the identity function on \mathcal{H} , i.e id_{\mathcal{H}}: $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{T}$. (In class)

Definition 3.2: Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be sets. Let $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be some function. We say that f is surjective if every element in \mathcal{B} is mapped to.

Example 3.2: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}$ be sets, lets define the following function,

- $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$.
- $\mathcal{R}(a) = \text{rem}(a, 2)$.

I claim that \mathcal{R} is surjective. (Explanation in Class)

Example 3.3: Notice that the function defined in Example 3.1 is **not** surjective. (Explanation in Class)

Definition 3.3: Let A and B be sets. Let $f: A \to B$ be some function. We say that f is *injective* if no two elements in A map to a single element in B.

Example 3.4: Notice that the function defined in Example 3.2 is **not** injective. (Explanation in Class)

Example 3.5: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{1, 2, 3, 25, 36\}$ be sets, lets define the following function,

- $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$.
- f(a) = a + 1.

I claim that the function f is injective . (In class explanation)

We are now ready to define invertible functions

Definition 3.4: Let A and B be sets. Let $f: A \to B$ be some function. If f is both injective and surjective, then we say f is **invertible** and an inverse function for f exists.

Example 3.6: Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 18\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{2, 4, 6, 10, 36\}$ be sets, lets define the following function,

- $\mathcal{L}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$.
- $\mathcal{L}(a) = 2a$.

I claim that \mathcal{L} is invertible. (Explanation in Class)

Example 3.7: Let $A = \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{0, 1, 4, 9, 25, 36\}$ be sets, lets define the following function,

- $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$.
- $f(a) = a^2$.

I claim that the function f is **not** invertible. (In class explanation)

Question 3.1: Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} be sets, and let $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a function. Suppose that f is surjective, then is it true that the range is equal to \mathcal{B} ? In other words, is $\mathcal{R}_f = \mathcal{B}$?

Answer 3.1: Yes! (In class)

Question 3.2: Let \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} be sets, and let $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be an **invertible** function. Then is it true that $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{B}|$? (Class Question)

Answer 3.2: Yes! (In class)