

# Formal Argumentation Notation: A Precision Layer for Essays

Abdulqasem Bakhshi

**Disclaimer and Work in Progress:** This essay presents a proposed idea and a work in progress. The method described, Formal Argumentation Notation, is exploratory, and some formulations may be imperfect or incomplete. While the author has made every effort to ensure correctness and clarity, errors or oversights may exist. Readers are encouraged to engage critically and consider the ideas as a starting point for further exploration rather than definitive conclusions.

---

Most essays rely on natural language to persuade, explain, or argue. While this allows flexibility and nuance, it also introduces ambiguity: key terms shift meaning, assumptions remain implicit, and disagreements often stem from interpretation rather than substance. Mathematical logic solves similar problems in another domain by forcing definitions, assumptions, and inferences to be explicit. This essay proposes a method called Formal Argumentation Notation (FAN): a way to write essays that pair ordinary prose with a symbolic, logic-inspired layer. The goal is not to turn essays into proofs, but to provide a precision layer that clarifies meaning, exposes assumptions, and makes reasoning transparent.

## How I Arrived at This Idea

The inspiration for this approach came while I was watching Lecture 1 of Introduction to Mathematical Thinking by Kevin Devlin. At one point, he stated:

"The truth or falsity in each case is demonstrated not by observation or measurements or experiment, as in the natural sciences, but by a proof." – Kevin Devlin

This statement struck me profoundly. In that instant, I thought: Truth = Proof. I wanted to confirm this idea and explore its implications beyond mathematics, so I began researching and discussing the concept with AI tools. These conversations led to the realization that the principle behind mathematical truth could be applied as a precision layer for essays and reasoning in non-mathematical domains.

To provide transparency about this process, I have attached static HTML files of my discussions with AI, showing step-by-step how the idea evolved from a single lecture quote into a structured method for writing and reasoning.

## Logic Notation as the Core Language

Rather than introducing a separate set of essay-specific conventions, this method treats standard logical notation as the primary language of structure. The same symbols used in logic and mathematics—quantifiers, implication, equivalence, and consequence—form the backbone of the essay's precision layer.

The role of the writer is not to invent a new logic, but to map real-world concepts into logical form.

### Core logical symbols:

$\forall$  : for all  
 $\exists$  : there exists  
 $\Rightarrow$  : implies  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  : if and only if  
 $\neg$  : not  
 $\wedge$  : and  
 $\vee$  : or  
 $\vdash$  : reasoned consequence / derivable conclusion

These symbols retain their usual meaning across all domains. Domain-specific notation is added only when necessary and is always defined explicitly. For example, politics, economics, or philosophy may introduce shorthand symbols for recurring concepts, but these remain grounded in standard logical syntax.

## Worked Example: What is Mathematics and Prime Number

### Prose Argument

Mathematics is commonly described as the study of numbers, shapes, or abstract structures, but these descriptions focus on subject matter rather than method. At its core, mathematics is defined by a rule of validation: a statement counts as mathematical knowledge if it can be justified by a valid proof. Truth in mathematics is therefore conditional on proof, not on empirical observation or authority.

Within this framework, mathematical objects are defined precisely. A prime number, for example, is not described vaguely as a number that “doesn’t divide well,” but by an exact condition that determines membership in a set. This precision illustrates how mathematics operates: definitions first, consequences second.

#### *Definition: Mathematics*

$$\text{Mathematics} := \{ S \mid \text{ValidProof}(S) \Rightarrow \text{True}(S) \}$$

This definition should be read as: mathematics consists of statements for which validity is determined by proof. The implication expresses the governing rule of the domain—if a statement has a valid proof, then it is accepted as true within mathematics.

#### *Definition: Prime Numbers*

$$\text{Primes} := \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n > 1 \wedge \forall d \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}, n \bmod d \neq 0 \}$$

This definition states that a prime number is an integer greater than one that is not divisible by any integer other than one and itself. Every condition is explicit: the domain (integers), the lower bound, and the divisibility constraint.

## **Interpretation**

These definitions demonstrate the logical structure of mathematics. Nothing is assumed implicitly: the meaning of truth, proof, and numerical properties are specified directly. Once definitions are fixed, further results follow by reasoning alone. In this sense, mathematics exemplifies the ideal case for Formal Argumentation Notation—where meaning is entirely determined by explicit logical conditions.

## **Why Using Logic Notation Directly Matters**

Using logic notation directly—rather than essay-specific conventions has important advantages:

- **Universality:** The same logical symbols apply across disciplines
- **Transferability:** Skills learned in one domain carry to others
- **Discipline:** Logical form constrains vague or shifting claims
- **Interoperability:** Arguments can be compared, combined, or challenged at the structural level

Domain-specific symbols do not replace logic; they merely name objects within it. This keeps the system minimal, flexible, and resistant to unnecessary complexity.

## **Conclusion**

Essays need not choose between rigor and readability. By pairing natural language with a lightweight formal layer, writers can preserve nuance while gaining precision. Formal Argumentation Notation offers a practical way to think more clearly, argue more honestly, and disagree more productively across disciplines such as politics, history, economics, and philosophy. The result is not mathematics applied to everything, but logical clarity applied where it matters.