

## Network Traffic Analysis Report – I used the same logfile

### Overview Statistics

- **Total Analyzed Requests:** 10,000
- **Request Method Distribution:** 9,952 GET requests (99.52%), 5 POST requests (0.05%)
- **Failure Rate:** 2.20%
- **Average Daily Traffic:** 2,500 requests/day

### Request Analysis

#### Method Distribution Analysis

The overwhelming majority of traffic consists of GET requests (99.52%), with minimal POST activity (0.05%). This pattern indicates the website primarily serves content rather than processing user submissions or data entry. The lack of interactive submissions suggests a content-focused platform.

#### IP-based Request Patterns

During the analysis period (March 17-20), several IP addresses generated abnormally high GET request volumes with no corresponding POST activity. Most notably:

- IP 66.249.73.135 generated 482 GET requests
- Multiple other addresses exceeded 100 requests each

This concentrated activity pattern may indicate:

- Automated crawling behavior
- Potential scanning activity
- Possible unauthorized access attempts

#### Status Code Distribution

- **Successful responses:** >90% (status code 200)
- **Redirects/cached content:** Small number of 301 and 304 responses
- **Client errors:** 213 instances of 404 errors (missing resources)
- **Server issues:** Minimal 500-class errors indicating server failures

- **Access restrictions:** Several 403 responses suggesting permission issues

## Temporal Analysis

### Daily Request Distribution

1. May 19, 2015: 2,896 requests
2. May 18, 2015: 2,893 requests
3. May 20, 2015: 2,579 requests
4. May 17, 2015: 1,632 requests

### Hourly Traffic Patterns

Traffic demonstrates clear diurnal patterns:

- **Peak activity:** 10:00-21:00, with maximum volume between 14:00-15:00
- **Minimal activity:** 00:00-09:00
- **Sharp decline:** After 22:00

Sample hourly counts:

107 requests at 18:00 on May 20  
110 requests at 08:00 on May 18  
110 requests at 17:00 on May 19  
111 requests at 11:00 on May 17  
111 requests at 23:00 on May 17  
111 requests at 07:00 on May 19  
112 requests at 15:00 on May 19  
112 requests at 11:00 on May 20  
112 requests at 12:00 on May 20  
113 requests at 19:00 on May 18

### Error Distribution

- **Peak error days:** May 18-19 (66 failures each)
- **Reduced errors:** May 17 (possibly due to lower overall traffic)
- **Notable error spike:** 09:00 on May 20

## **Security Assessment**

### **Anomalous Activity**

Several IP addresses exhibited request volumes significantly above the statistical norm:

- Most addresses generated fewer than 50 requests
- Select addresses exceeded 100 requests
- One address approached 500 requests (66.249.73.135, likely Googlebot)

These activity spikes occurred at various times and from different sources, suggesting potential:

- Crawler activity
- Vulnerability scanning attempts
- Resource exhaustion tactics

## **Recommendations**

### **Error Reduction Strategies**

1. Investigate peak failure periods (May 18-19) for:
  - a. Recent code deployment issues
  - b. System resource constraints
  - c. Potential security incidents
2. Address 404 errors through:
  - a. Comprehensive link validation
  - b. Implementation of proper redirects for relocated resources
  - c. Regular content auditing
3. Mitigate server errors by:
  - a. Enhancing error handling procedures
  - b. Implementing input validation
  - c. Developing resilience during peak load periods

## **Infrastructure Optimization**

1. Deploy rate limiting mechanisms to prevent resource exhaustion
2. Implement load balancing during peak hours (10:00-21:00)
3. Consider scaling adjustments based on temporal patterns
4. Optimize caching strategies to reduce backend processing requirements

## **Security Enhancements**

1. Expand logging capabilities to include:
  - a. Detailed IP information
  - b. Endpoint access patterns
  - c. User-agent analysis
2. Implement real-time alerting for:
  - a. Error rate spikes
  - b. Unusual request patterns
  - c. Authentication anomalies
3. Audit authentication mechanisms to detect potential brute-force attempts
4. Review resource access controls to address 403 responses