

Chapter 11: The Bounded Linear Search Theorem.

In which we introduce a very useful searching algorithm.

Suppose we are given an array $f[0..N)$ of int, where $\{1 \leq N\}$, and a target value X , and we are asked to find the location of the leftmost X in f , i.e. the smallest index n where $f.n = X$. This time however, we have no guarantee that X is in f .

We begin as usual with a problem specification.

There are two possibilities, either X is there or X is absent. In the case where it is present the postcondition we want to achieve is the same as in the Linear Search

$$\text{Post1} : \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n : f.j \neq X \rangle \wedge f.n = X$$

In the case where it is absent we could phrase the postcondition as

$$\text{Post2} : \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < N : f.j \neq X \rangle$$

But instead we choose to phrase it as follows

$$\text{Post2} : \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n : f.j \neq X \rangle \wedge (n = N-1 \wedge f.n \neq X)$$

In this way both Post1 and Post2 contain exactly the same quantified expression. We can now combine them to give our overall postcondition

$$\text{Post} : \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n : f.j \neq X \rangle \wedge (f.n = X \vee (n = N-1 \wedge f.n \neq X))$$

Recalling one of our theorems from Boolean Calculus $[X \vee (\neg X \wedge Y) \equiv X \vee Y]$ we can now simplify this to get

$$\text{Post} : \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n : f.j \neq X \rangle \wedge (f.n = X \vee n = N-1)$$

Model problem domain.

$$* (0) C.n \quad \equiv \quad \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n : f.j \neq X \rangle \quad , \quad 0 \leq n \leq N$$

Consider.

$$\begin{aligned} & C.0 \\ = & \quad \{(0) \text{ in model } \} \\ & \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < 0 : f.j \neq X \rangle \\ = & \quad \{ \text{empty range } \} \\ & \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

$$- (1) C.0 \quad \equiv \quad \text{true}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & C.(n+1) \\ = & \{(0) \text{ in model } \} \\ & \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n+1 : f.j \neq X \rangle \\ = & \{ \text{split off } j = n \text{ term} \} \\ & \langle \forall j : 0 \leq j < n : f.j \neq X \rangle \wedge f.n \neq X \\ = & \{(0) \text{ in model } \} \\ & C.n \wedge f.n \neq X \end{aligned}$$

$$- (2) C.(n+1) \quad \equiv \quad C.n \wedge f.n \neq X \quad , \quad 0 \leq n < N$$

We can now rewrite our postcondition as

$$\text{Post} : C.n \wedge (f.n = X \vee n = N-1)$$

Choose Invariants.

We choose as our invariants

$$\begin{aligned} P0: & C.n \\ P1: & 0 \leq n \leq N \end{aligned}$$

Termination.

We note that

$$P0 \wedge P1 \wedge (f.n = X \vee n = N-1) \Rightarrow \text{Post}$$

Establish Invariants.

Our model (1) shows us that we can establish P0 by the assignment

$$n := 0$$

This also establishes P1.

Guard.

We choose our loop guard to be

$B : f.n \neq X \wedge n \neq N - 1$

Calculate Loop body.

Decreasing the variant by the assignment $n := n+1$ is a standard step and maintains P1. Let us see what effect it has on P0

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (n := n+1). P0 \\
 = & \quad \{\text{textual substitution}\} \\
 & C.(n+1) \\
 = & \quad \{(2) \text{ above}\} \\
 & C.n \wedge f.n \neq X \\
 = & \quad \{\text{Remember } P0 \wedge f.n \neq X \wedge n \neq N - 1 \text{ at start of loop body}\} \\
 & \text{true}
 \end{aligned}$$

Final program.

```

    n := 0
; do f.n ≠ X ∧ n ≠ N - 1 →

        n := n+1

    od
{C.n ∧ (f.n = X ∨ n = N-1)}

```

When the loop terminates we can now decide which outcome has occurred and communicate this to the user by adding a simple if..fi as follows.

```

    if f.n = X          → write('X found at position', n)
[] n = N-1 ∧ f.n ≠ X  → write('X is not in f')
    fi

```

General Solution.

This problem is just one instance of a set of problems called the Bounded Linear Searches. We will now describe this family of problems and construct the generic solution.

Suppose we are given a finite, ordered domain, $f[\alpha.. \beta)$ and a predicate Q defined on the elements of f . We are to determine whether Q holds true at at least one point in the domain. Of course there is the possibility that it may not hold anywhere.

Our postcondition is

$$\langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < i : \neg Q.(f.j) \rangle \wedge (Q.(f.i) \vee i = \beta - 1)$$

As usual we develop our model

$$* (0) C.i \equiv \langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < i : \neg Q.(f.j) \rangle, \alpha \leq i \leq \beta$$

Appealing to the empty range and associativity we get the following theorems

Consider.

$$\begin{aligned} & C. \alpha \\ = & \quad \{ (0) \text{ in model } \} \\ & \langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < \alpha : \neg Q.(f.j) \rangle \\ = & \quad \{ \text{empty range} \} \\ & \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

$$- (1) C. \alpha \equiv \text{true}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & C.(i+1) \\ = & \quad \{ (0) \text{ in model } \} \\ & \langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < i+1 : \neg Q.(f.j) \rangle \\ = & \quad \{ \text{split off } j = i \text{ term} \} \\ & \langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < i : \neg Q.(f.j) \rangle \wedge \neg Q.(f.i) \\ = & \quad \{ (0) \text{ in model } \} \\ & C.i \wedge \neg Q.(f.i) \end{aligned}$$

$$- (2) C.(i+1) \equiv C.i \wedge \neg Q.(f.i), \alpha \leq i < \beta$$

Rewrite postcondition in terms of model.

$$\text{Post} : C.i \wedge (Q.(f.i) \vee i = \beta - 1)$$

Choose Invariants.

We choose as our invariants

P0: C.i

P1: $\alpha \leq i < \beta$

Termination.

We note that

$$P0 \wedge P1 \wedge (Q.(f.i) \vee i = \beta - 1) \Rightarrow \text{Post}$$

Establish Invariants.

Our model (1) shows us that we can establish P0 by the assignment

$i := \alpha$

This also establishes P1.

Guard

We choose our loop guard to be

$$B : \neg Q.(f.i) \wedge i \neq \beta - 1$$

Calculate Loop body.

Decreasing the variant by the assignment $i := i+1$ is a standard step and maintains P1.
Let us see what effect it has on P0

$$\begin{aligned} & (i := i+1). P0 \\ = & \quad \{ \text{textual substitution} \} \\ & C.(i+1) \\ = & \quad \{ (2) \text{ above} \} \\ & C.i \wedge \neg Q.(f.i) \\ = & \quad \{ \text{Remember } P0 \wedge \neg Q.(f.i) \wedge i \neq \beta - 1 \text{ at start of loop body} \} \\ & \text{true} \end{aligned}$$

Finished Program.

So our finished program is

```
i := α
; do ¬Q.(f.i) ∧ i ≠ β - 1 →

    i := i+1

od
{C.i ∧ (Q.(f.i) ∨ i = β - 1)}
```

We can now determine whether Q holds anywhere and communicate this with the user by adding the following if..fi after the loop

```
if Q.(f.i)          → write('X found at position', i )
[] i = β - 1 ∧ ¬Q.(f.i) → write('X is not in f')
fi
```

This is called the Bounded Linear Search Theorem.

Important note.

There are 2 important variations of the Bounded Linear Search. We illustrate them below. Given a finite ordered domain $f[\alpha..\beta)$ and a predicate Q defined on the elements of f.

Does Q hold true *everywhere*

$$\langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < i : Q.(f.j) \rangle \wedge ((\neg Q.(f.i) \wedge \text{"no it doesn't"}) \vee (Q.(f.i) \wedge i = \beta - 1 \wedge \text{"yes it does"}))$$

Does Q hold true *anywhere*

$$\langle \forall j : \alpha \leq j < i : \neg Q.(f.j) \rangle \wedge ((Q.(f.i) \wedge \text{"yes it does"}) \vee (\neg Q.(f.i) \wedge i = \beta - 1 \wedge \text{"no it doesn't"}))$$