



**MUST**  

---

**Wisdom & Virtue**

**MIRPUR UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF SOFTWARE ENGINEERING**

# Propositional logic

*(Lecture # 05)*

*Engr. Samiullah Khan*  
*(Lecturer)*

# LECTURE CONTENTS

1. Rules of Inference and Logical Deductions

2. Logical Problem



## Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

- In propositional logic and predicate logic, inference rules are used to derive conclusions from premises.
- Two important types of rules are:
  - i. Introduction Rules  $\rightarrow$  Used to introduce a logical connective (like  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ )
  - ii. Elimination Rules  $\rightarrow$  Used to eliminate a connective to extract useful information.

# Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

## Introduction

- These rules add a logical operator( $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ ) in the conclusion.

Examples:

(a)  $\wedge$ -Introduction (AND Introduction)

- If both statements are true, you can combine them with AND.
- Premise 1: It is raining (P)
- Premise 2: It is cold (Q)
- $\therefore$  It is raining and cold ( $P \wedge Q$ )

# Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

## Introduction

- These rules add a logical operator( $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ ) in the conclusion.

Examples:

(b)  $\vee$ -Introduction (OR Introduction)

- If one statement is true, you can introduce OR with any other statement.
- Premise: It is raining (P)
- $\therefore$  It is raining or sunny ( $P \vee Q$ )

# Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

## Introduction

- These rules add a logical operator( $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\neg$ ) in the conclusion.

Examples:

(c)  $\rightarrow$ -Introduction (Implication Introduction)

If assuming P allows you to derive Q, then you can conclude  $P \rightarrow Q$ ..

Assume P

... derive Q

$\therefore P \rightarrow Q$

### Example

Assume: It is raining (P)

Then: The ground gets wet (Q)

$\therefore$  If it is raining, then the ground gets wet ( $P \rightarrow Q$ )

# Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

## Elimination

### Elimination Rules ( $\wedge$ , $\vee$ , $\rightarrow$ , $\neg$ )

These rules **remove** a logical connective to simplify or extract information.

#### Examples:

#### (a) $\wedge$ -Elimination (AND Elimination)

From  $P \wedge Q$ , we can take either part.

$P \wedge Q$

$\therefore P$

or

$\therefore Q$

#### Example:

Premise: It is raining and cold ( $P \wedge Q$ )

$\therefore$  It is raining ( $P$ )



# Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

## Elimination

### Elimination Rules ( $\wedge$ , $\vee$ , $\rightarrow$ , $\neg$ )

These rules **remove** a logical connective to simplify or extract information.

#### Examples:

#### (b) $\vee$ -Elimination (OR Elimination)

If  $P \vee Q$  is true, and each leads to  $R$ , then  $R$  is true.

$P \vee Q$

$P \rightarrow R$

$Q \rightarrow R$

$\therefore R$

#### *Example:*

Either it rains or it snows ( $P \vee Q$ )

If it rains, the ground is wet ( $P \rightarrow R$ )

If it snows, the ground is wet ( $Q \rightarrow R$ )

$\therefore$  The ground is wet ( $R$ )

# Rules of Inference and Logical Deduction

## Elimination

### Elimination Rules ( $\wedge$ , $\vee$ , $\rightarrow$ , $\neg$ )

These rules **remove** a logical connective to simplify or extract information.

#### Examples:

#### (c) $\rightarrow$ -Elimination (Modus Ponens)

If  $P \rightarrow Q$  and  $P$  are true, you can conclude  $Q$ .

$P \rightarrow Q$

$P$

$\therefore Q$

#### *Example:*

If it rains, the ground gets wet ( $P \rightarrow Q$ )

It rains ( $P$ )

$\therefore$  The ground gets wet ( $Q$ )

## Does the Superman Exist?

If Superman were able and willing to prevent evil, he would do so. If Superman were unable to prevent evil, he would be incapable; if he were unwilling to prevent evil, he would be malevolent. Superman does not prevent evil. If Superman exists, he is neither incapable nor malevolent. Therefore Superman does not exist.

Superman exists	X
Superman is willing to prevent evil	W
Superman is able to prevent evil	A
Superman is malevolent	M
Superman is incapable	I
Superman prevents evil	E

Our objective is to prove the proposition:

$((W \text{ and } A) \Rightarrow E)$   
and  $((\text{not } A) \Rightarrow I)$   
and  $((\text{not } W) \Rightarrow M)$   
and  $(\text{not } E)$   
and  $(X \Rightarrow \text{not } (I \text{ or } M))$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{not } X$



# References

- [1]. Alagar, Vangalur S., and Kasilingam Periyasamy. *Specification of software systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.



Thanks