

# Thread Task

ERAASOFT

Abdulrahman Hussien Ahmed  
Presented to: Eng. Eslam khder

## 1) List vs Vector in Java:

Java provides multiple implementations of the List interface. ArrayList and Vector are two of them. Here's a breakdown of how List (specifically ArrayList) differs from Vector.

### 1. Thread Safety

- Vector is thread-safe. All its methods are synchronized.
- ArrayList is **not** thread-safe. You need to handle synchronization manually if using it in multithreaded environments.

### 2. Synchronization

- Vector synchronizes each method (like add(), remove(), get()).
- ArrayList does **not** synchronize any methods by default.
- You can make ArrayList synchronized by wrapping it:

```
List<String> syncList = Collections.synchronizedList(new ArrayList<>());
```

### 3. Performance

- ArrayList is faster in single-threaded environments because it avoids the overhead of synchronization.
- Vector is slower due to constant synchronization, even when thread safety is not needed.
- In modern Java apps, developers prefer ArrayList with external synchronization if needed.

## 2) HashSet vs LinkedHashSet in Java

Both are implementations of the Set interface. Both store unique elements. But they behave differently.

### 1. Order of Elements

- HashSet does **not** maintain insertion order

Example: Add 10, 5, 20 → You may get [20, 10, 5]

- LinkedHashSet **maintains insertion order**

Example: Add 10, 5, 20 → You always get [10, 5, 20]

### 2. Performance

- HashSet is slightly faster

It uses a simple hash table with no order tracking

Good for fast lookup, insert, delete

- LinkedHashSet is slower

It adds a linked list on top of the hash table to preserve order

Slight memory and CPU overhead

### 3. Use Cases

Use **HashSet** when:

- You don't care about order
- You want high performance
- Example: Storing unique IDs, tags, or hashed values

Use **LinkedHashSet** when:

- You care about the order of insertion
- You want predictable iteration
- Example: Caching items, preserving user input order

### 3) String vs StringBuilder vs StringBuffer in Java

#### 1. Mutability

- String is **immutable**  
You can't change its content once created  
Every change creates a new object  
Example:

```
String a = "hi";  
a = a + " there"; // creates new object
```

- StringBuilder is **mutable**  
You can modify the object directly  
Example:

```
StringBuilder sb = new StringBuilder("hi");  
sb.append(" there"); // same object
```

- StringBuffer is **also mutable**  
Same as StringBuilder but synchronized

#### 2. Thread Safety

- String is thread-safe  
It's immutable, so it's always safe to share
- StringBuilder is **not thread-safe**  
Multiple threads can break it if used without care
- StringBuffer is **thread-safe**  
Methods are synchronized, safe in multithreaded code

#### 3. Performance

- String is **slow** for heavy modification  
Each change creates a new object
- StringBuilder is **fastest**  
Best for building strings in loops or large operations
- StringBuffer is **slower** than StringBuilder  
Synchronization adds overhead

#### 4. When to Use

Use **String** when:

- You don't need to modify the text
- Example: keys in maps, constants, messages

Use **StringBuilder** when:

- You build strings often and work in a single thread
- Example: creating SQL queries, JSON strings, log messages

Use **StringBuffer** when:

- You build strings in a multithreaded context
- Example: shared log writer, multi-threaded parsers