

# National University of Computer & Emerging Sciences

CS 3001 - COMPUTER NETWORKS

## Lecture 04 Chapter 1


05<sup>th</sup> September, 2023

Nauman Moazzam Hayat  
[nauman.moazzam@lhr.nu.edu.pk](mailto:nauman.moazzam@lhr.nu.edu.pk)

Office Hours: 01:00 pm till 06:00 pm (Every Tuesday & Thursday)

# Internet structure: a “network of networks”


- hosts connect to Internet via **access** Internet Service Providers (ISPs)
- access ISPs in turn must be interconnected
  - so that *any* two hosts (*anywhere!*) can send packets to each other
- resulting network of networks is very complex
  - evolution driven by **economics**, **national policies**



*Let's take a stepwise approach to describe current Internet structure*


# Internet structure: a “network of networks”

*Question:* given *millions* of access ISPs, how to connect them together?




# Internet structure: a “network of networks”


*Question:* given *millions* of access ISPs, how to connect them together?



# Network Structure (Example: Telephone Network)



Fully-Connected Mesh  
# of FDX links =  $N(N-1)/2$   
e.g.,  $N=6$ ;  $6(5)/2=15$  links  
Total # ports =  $N(N-1)$   
e.g.,  $N=6$ ;  $6(5)=30$  ports




With Central Office  
# of FDX links =  $N$   
e.g.,  $N=6$ ; 6 links  
Total # of ports =  $N$   
e.g.  $N=6$ , 6 ports

# Internet structure: a “network of networks”


*Option: connect each access ISP to one global transit ISP?*

*Customer and provider ISPs have economic agreement.*




# Internet structure: a “network of networks”

But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors ....



# Internet structure: a “network of networks”


But if one global ISP is viable business, there will be competitors .... who will want to be connected






# Internet structure: a “network of networks”

... and regional networks may arise to connect access nets to ISPs




# Internet structure: a “network of networks”

... and content provider networks (e.g., Google, Microsoft, Akamai) may run their own network, to bring services, content close to end users



# Internet structure: a “network of networks”



At “center”: small # of well-connected large networks

- **“tier-1” commercial ISPs** (e.g., Level 3, Sprint, AT&T, NTT), national & international coverage
- **content provider networks** (e.g., Google, Facebook): private network that connects its data centers to Internet, often bypassing tier-1, regional ISPs

# Chapter 1: roadmap

- What *is* the Internet?
- What *is* a protocol?
- Network edge: hosts, access network, physical media
- Network core: packet/circuit switching, internet structure
- **Performance: loss, delay, throughput**
- Security
- Protocol layers, service models
- History




**How do we evaluate a network?**

# Performance Metrics

- Delay
- Loss
- Throughput

# How do packet delay and loss occur?

- packets *queue* in router buffers, waiting for turn for transmission
  - queue length grows when arrival rate to link (temporarily) exceeds output link capacity
- packet *loss* occurs when memory to hold queued packets fills up



# Delay


- ▶ How long does it take to send a packet from its source to destination?



# Delay

- Consists of four components
    - *queuing delay*
    - *processing delay*
    - *transmission delay*
    - *propagation delay*
- due to traffic mix and Switch / router internals*
- due to link properties*

# Packet delay: four sources



$$d_{\text{nodal}} = d_{\text{proc}} + d_{\text{queue}} + d_{\text{trans}} + d_{\text{prop}}$$


**$d_{\text{proc}}$ : nodal processing**

- check bit errors
- determine output link
- typically < microsecs

**$d_{\text{queue}}$ : queueing delay**

- time waiting at output link for transmission
- depends on congestion level of router

# Packet delay: four sources



$$d_{\text{nodal}} = d_{\text{proc}} + d_{\text{queue}} + d_{\text{trans}} + d_{\text{prop}}$$

$d_{\text{trans}}$ : transmission delay:

- $L$ : packet length (bits)
- $R$ : link transmission rate (bps)

$$d_{\text{trans}} = L/R$$


$d_{\text{trans}}$  and  $d_{\text{prop}}$   
very different

$d_{\text{prop}}$ : propagation delay:

- $d$ : length of physical link
- $s$ : propagation speed ( $\sim 2 \times 10^8$  m/sec)


$$d_{\text{prop}} = d/s$$

# Caravan analogy



- car  $\sim$  bit; caravan  $\sim$  packet; toll service  $\sim$  link transmission
- toll booth takes 12 sec to service car (bit transmission time)
- “propagate” at 100 km/hr
- **Q: How long until caravan is lined up before 2nd toll booth?**
- time to “push” entire caravan through toll booth onto highway =  $12 \times 10 = 120$  sec
- time for last car to propagate from 1st to 2nd toll booth:  $100\text{km}/(100\text{km/hr}) = 1$  hr
- **A: 62 minutes**

# Caravan analogy





- suppose cars now “propagate” at 1000 km/hr
- and suppose toll booth now takes one min to service a car
- **Q: Will cars arrive to 2nd booth before all cars serviced at first booth?**  
**A: Yes!** after 7 min, first car arrives at second booth; three cars still at first booth

# Packet queueing delay (revisited)

- $a$ : average packet arrival rate
- $L$ : packet length (bits)
- $R$ : link bandwidth (bit transmission rate)


$$\frac{L \cdot a}{R} : \frac{\text{arrival rate of bits}}{\text{service rate of bits}} \quad \text{“traffic intensity”}$$

- $La/R \sim 0$ : avg. queueing delay small
- $La/R \rightarrow 1$ : avg. queueing delay large
- $La/R > 1$ : more “work” arriving is more than can be serviced - average delay infinite!



# “Real” Internet delays and routes

- what do “real” Internet delay & loss look like?
- **tracert** (**tracert** in windows) program: provides delay measurement from source to router along end-end Internet path towards destination. For all  $i$ :
  - sends three packets that will reach router  $i$  on path towards destination (with time-to-live field value of  $i$ )
  - router  $i$  will return packets to sender
  - sender measures time interval between transmission and reply



# Real Internet delays and routes

traceroute: gaia.cs.umass.edu to www.eurecom.fr

3 delay measurements from  
gaia.cs.umass.edu to cs-gw.cs.umass.edu

3 delay measurements  
to border1-rt-fa5-1-0.gw.umass.edu

trans-oceanic link

looks like delays  
decrease! Why?

\* means no response (probe lost, router not replying)


```
1 cs-gw (128.119.240.254) 1 ms 1 ms 2 ms
2 border1-rt-fa5-1-0.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.145) 1 ms 1 ms 2 ms
3 cht-vbns.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.130) 6 ms 5 ms 5 ms
4 jn1-at1-0-0-19.wor.vbns.net (204.147.132.129) 16 ms 11 ms 13 ms
5 jn1-so7-0-0-0.wae.vbns.net (204.147.136.136) 21 ms 18 ms 18 ms
6 abilene-vbns.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.11.9) 22 ms 18 ms 22 ms
7 nycm-wash.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.8.46) 22 ms 22 ms 22 ms
8 62.40.103.253 (62.40.103.253) 104 ms 109 ms 106 ms
9 de2-1.de1.de.geant.net (62.40.96.129) 109 ms 102 ms 104 ms
10 de.fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.96.50) 113 ms 121 ms 114 ms
11 renater-gw.fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.103.54) 112 ms 114 ms 112 ms
12 nio-n2.cssi.renater.fr (193.51.206.13) 111 ms 114 ms 116 ms
13 nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.102) 123 ms 125 ms 124 ms
14 r3t2-nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.110) 126 ms 126 ms 124 ms
15 eurecom-valbonne.r3t2.ft.net (193.48.50.54) 135 ms 128 ms 133 ms
16 194.214.211.25 (194.214.211.25) 126 ms 128 ms 126 ms
17 * * *
18 * * *
19 fantasia.eurecom.fr (193.55.113.142) 132 ms 128 ms 136 ms
```

\* Do some traceroutes from exotic countries at [www.traceroute.org](http://www.traceroute.org)



# Packet loss

- queue (aka buffer) preceding link in buffer has finite capacity
- packet arriving to full queue dropped (aka lost)
- lost packet may be retransmitted by previous node, by source end system, or not at all




\* Check out the Java applet for an interactive animation (on publisher's website) of queuing and loss

# Throughput

- ▶ At what rate is the destination receiving data from the source?


# Throughput

- *throughput*: rate (bits/time unit) at which bits are being sent from sender to receiver
  - *instantaneous*: rate at given point in time
  - *average*: rate over longer period of time




# Throughput

$R_s < R_c$  What is average end-end throughput?




$R_s > R_c$  What is average end-end throughput?



*bottleneck link*

link on end-end path that constrains end-end throughput

# Throughput: network scenario



10 connections (fairly) share  
backbone bottleneck link  $R$  bits/sec

- per-connection end-end throughput:  
 $\min(R_c, R_s, R/10)$
- in practice:  $R_c$  or  $R_s$  is often bottleneck

\* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: [http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose\\_ross/](http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/)

# Bandwidth Delay Product

- The bandwidth-delay product is the product of a link's capacity (in bits per second) and its round-trip delay time (in seconds)
- The result, an amount of data measured in bits (or bytes), is equivalent to the maximum amount of data on the network circuit at any given time
- i.e., data that has been transmitted but not yet acknowledged. (Maximum number of bits that can be inserted into the pipe (link) in a given interval of time.)
- The bandwidth-delay product was originally proposed as a rule of thumb for sizing router buffers in conjunction with congestion avoidance algorithm Random Early Detection (RED).

## Examples

- Moderate speed satellite network: 512 kbit/s, 900 ms round-trip time (RTT)  
 $B \times D = (512 \times 10^3 \text{ bits/s}) \times (900 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}) = 460,800 \text{ bits} = 460.8 \text{ kbit} = 57.6 \text{ kB}$
- Residential DSL: 2 Mbit/s, 50 ms round-trip time (RTT)  
 $B \times D = (2 \times 10^6 \text{ bits/s}) \times (50 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}) = 100 \times 10^3 \text{ bits} = 100 \text{ kbit} = 12.5 \text{ kB}$

# Chapter 1: roadmap

- What *is* the Internet?
- What *is* a protocol?
- Network edge: hosts, access network, physical media
- Network core: packet/circuit switching, internet structure
- Performance: loss, delay, throughput
- Security
- Protocol layers, service models
- History



# Protocol “layers” and reference models

Networks are complex,  
with many “pieces”:


- hosts
- routers
- links of various media
- applications
- protocols
- hardware, software

*Question:* is there any  
hope of *organizing*  
structure of network?

- and/or our *discussion*  
of networks?



# Example: organization of air travel



————— *end-to-end transfer of person plus baggage* —————→

ticket (purchase)

baggage (check)

gates (load)

runway takeoff

airplane routing

ticket (complain)

baggage (claim)

gates (unload)

runway landing

airplane routing

airplane routing

How would you *define/discuss* the *system* of airline travel?

- a series of steps, involving many services

# Example: organization of air travel



*layers:* each layer implements a service

- via its own internal-layer actions
- relying on services provided by layer below


# Why layering?

Approach to designing/discussing complex systems:


- explicit structure allows identification, relationship of system's pieces
  - layered *reference model* for discussion
- modularization eases maintenance, updating of system
  - change in layer's service *implementation*: transparent to rest of system
  - e.g., change in gate procedure doesn't affect rest of system

# Layered Internet protocol stack


- *application*: supporting network applications
  - HTTP, IMAP, SMTP, DNS
- *transport*: process-process data transfer
  - TCP, UDP
- *network*: routing of datagrams from source to destination
  - IP, routing protocols
- *link*: data transfer between neighboring network elements
  - Ethernet, 802.11 (WiFi), PPP
- *physical*: bits “on the wire”




# Services, Layering and Encapsulation



# Services, Layering and Encapsulation




# Services, Layering and Encapsulation



# Encapsulation


*Matryoshka dolls (stacking dolls / babushka dolls / Russian dolls)*



Credit: <https://dribbble.com/shots/7182188-Babushka-Boi>




# Services, Layering and Encapsulation




# Logical Communication

- ❖ Layers interacts with peer's corresponding layer




# Physical Communication

- ❖ Communication goes down to physical network
- ❖ Then up to relevant layer




# Protocols at different layers



There is just one network-layer protocol!

# Encapsulation: an end-end view



# Chapter 1: summary

*We've covered a "ton" of material!*

- Internet overview
- what's a protocol?
- network edge, access network, core
  - packet-switching versus circuit-switching
  - Internet structure
- performance: loss, delay, throughput
- layering, service models
- security
- history

*You now have:*

- context, overview, vocabulary, "feel" of networking
- more depth, detail, *and fun* to follow!

# Assignment # 1 (Chapter - 1)

- *1<sup>st</sup> Assignment will be uploaded on Google Classroom after the lecture in the Stream Section, on 7<sup>th</sup> September, 2023*
- *Due Date: Tuesday, 12<sup>th</sup> September, 2023 (During the lecture)*
- *Hard copy of the handwritten assignment to be submitted directly to the Instructor during the lecture.*
- *Please read all the instructions carefully in the uploaded Assignment document, follow & submit accordingly*

# Quiz # 1 (Chapter - 1)

- *Quiz # 1 for Chapter 1 to be taken in the class on Thursday, 14th September, 2023 during the lecture time*
- *Quiz to be take for own section only*

**No Retake**

***Be on time***




# Additional Chapter 1 slides

# ISO/OSI reference model


Two layers not found in Internet protocol stack!

- *presentation*: allow applications to interpret meaning of data, e.g., encryption, compression, machine-specific conventions
- *session*: synchronization, checkpointing, recovery of data exchange
- Internet stack “missing” these layers!
  - these services, *if needed*, must be implemented in application
  - needed?



The seven layer OSI/ISO reference model

# Services, Layering and Encapsulation



# Wireshark

