National University of Computer & Emerging Sciences

CS 3001 - COMPUTER NETWORKS

Lecture 06

Chapter 2

7th September, 2023

Nauman Moazzam Hayat

nauman.moazzam@lhr.nu.edu.pk

Office Hours: 02:30 pm till 06:00 pm (Every Tuesday & Thursday)

Chapter 2
Application Layer

A note on the use of these PowerPoint slides:
We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

For a revision history, see the slide note for this page.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR



Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach
8th edition n
Jim Kurose, Keith Ross
Pearson, 2020

HTTP request message

- two types of HTTP messages: *request, response*
- HTTP request message:
  - ASCII (human-readable format)

carriage return character
line-feed character

request line (GET, POST, HEAD commands)

carriage return, line feed at start of line indicates end of header lines

* Check out the online interactive exercises for more examples: http://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/interactive/

Client-to-Server Communication

- HTTP Request Message
  - Request line: method, resource, and protocol version
  - Request headers: provide information or modify request
  - Body: optional data (*e.g.*, to "POST" data to the server)

request line

header lines

```
GET /somedir/page.html HTTP/1.1
Host: www.someschool.edu
User-agent: Mozilla/4.0
Connection: close
Accept-language: fr
(blank line)
```

carriage return line feed indicates end of message

HTTP request message: general format



Other HTTP request messages

POST method:

- web page often includes form input
- user input sent from client to server in entity body of HTTP POST request message

GET method (for sending data to server):

- include user data in URL field of HTTP GET request message (following a '?'):

`www.somesite.com/animalsearch?monkeys&banana`

HEAD method:

- requests headers (only) that would be returned *if* specified URL were requested with an HTTP GET method.

PUT method:

- uploads new file (object) to server
- completely replaces file that exists at specified URL with content in entity body of POST HTTP request message

HTTP response message

status line (protocol status code status phrase) → `HTTP/1.1 200 OK`

Server-to-Client Communication

- HTTP Response Message
  - Status line: protocol version, status code, status phrase
  - Response headers: provide information
  - Body: optional data

status line
(protocol, status code, status phrase)

header lines

data
e.g., requested HTML file

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Connection close
Date: Thu, 06 Aug 2006 12:00:15
GMT
Server: Apache/1.3.0 (Unix)
Last-Modified: Mon, 22 Jun 2006
...
Content-Length: 6821
Content-Type: text/html
(blank line)
data data data data data ...
```

HTTP response status codes

- status code appears in 1st line in server-to-client response message.
- some sample codes:

  200 OK
  - request succeeded, requested object later in this message

  301 Moved Permanently
  - requested object moved, new location specified later in this message (in Location: field)

  400 Bad Request
  - request msg not understood by server

  404 Not Found
  - requested document not found on this server

  505 HTTP Version Not Supported

Trying out HTTP (client side) for yourself

1. netcat to your favorite Web server:

% nc -c -v gaia.cs.umass.edu 80

- opens TCP connection to port 80 (default HTTP server port) at gaia.cs.umass.edu.
- anything typed in will be sent to port 80 at gaia.cs.umass.edu

2. type in a GET HTTP request:

```
GET /kurose_ross/interactive/index.php HTTP/1.1
Host: gaia.cs.umass.edu
```

- by typing this in (hit carriage return twice), you send this minimal (but complete) GET request to HTTP server

3. look at response message sent by HTTP server!

(or use Wireshark to look at captured HTTP request/response)

Question

- How does a stateless protocol keep state?

Cookies

Maintaining user/server state: cookies

Recall: HTTP GET/response interaction is *stateless*

- no notion of multi-step exchanges of HTTP messages to complete a Web "transaction"
  - no need for client/server to track "state" of multi-step exchange
  - all HTTP requests are independent of each other
  - no need for client/server to "recover" from a partially-completed-but-never-completely-completed transaction

a stateful protocol: client makes two changes to X, or none at all



Q: what happens if network connection or client crashes at *t'* ?

Maintaining user/server state: cookies

Web sites and client browser use *cookies* to maintain some state between transactions

four components:

1) cookie header line of HTTP *response* message
2) cookie header line in next HTTP *request* message
3) cookie file kept on user's host, managed by user's browser
4) back-end database at Web site

Example:

- Susan uses browser on laptop, visits specific e-commerce site for first time
- when initial HTTP requests arrives at site, site creates:
  - unique ID (aka "cookie")
  - entry in backend database for ID
- subsequent HTTP requests from Susan to this site will contain cookie ID value, allowing site to "identify" Susan

Maintaining user/server state: cookies



HTTP cookies: comments

What cookies can be used for:

- authorization
- shopping carts
- recommendations
- user session state (Web e-mail)

Challenge: How to keep state?

- *at protocol endpoints:* maintain state at sender/receiver over multiple transactions
- *in messages:* cookies in HTTP messages carry state

aside

cookies and privacy:

- cookies permit sites to *learn* a lot about you on their site.
- third party persistent cookies (tracking cookies) allow common identity (cookie value) to be tracked across multiple web sites

Example: displaying a NY Times web page

1 2 GET base html file from nytimes.com

4 5 fetch ad from AdX.com

7 display composed page



NY times page with embedded ad displayed

Cookies: tracking a user's browsing behavior



Cookies: tracking a user's browsing behavior



AdX:

- *tracks my web browsing* over sites with AdX ads
- can return targeted ads based on browsing history

Cookies: tracking a user’s browsing behavior (one day later)



Cookies: tracking a user's browsing behavior

Cookies can be used to:

- track user behavior on a given website (first party cookies)
- track user behavior across multiple websites (third party cookies) without user ever choosing to visit tracker site (!)
- tracking may be *invisible* to user:
  - rather than displayed ad triggering HTTP GET to tracker, could be an invisible link

third party tracking via cookies:

- disabled by default in Firefox, Safari browsers
- to be disabled in Chrome browser in 2023

GDPR (EU General Data Protection Regulation) and cookies

"Natural persons may be associated with online identifiers […] such as internet protocol addresses, cookie identifiers or other identifiers […].

This may leave traces which, in particular when combined with unique identifiers and other information received by the servers, may be used to create profiles of the natural persons and identify them."

GDPR, recital 30 (May 2018)

when cookies can identify an individual, cookies are considered personal data, subject to GDPR personal data regulations



User has explicit control over whether or not cookies are allowed

Web caches (Proxy Servers)

Goal: satisfy client requests without involving origin server

- user configures browser to point to a (local) *Web cache*
- browser sends all HTTP requests to cache
  - *if* object in cache: cache returns object to client
  - *else* cache requests object from origin server, caches received object, then returns object to client



Web caches (aka proxy servers)

- Web cache acts as both client and server
  - server for original requesting client
  - client to origin server
- server tells cache about object's allowable caching in response header:

```
Cache-Control: max-age=<seconds>
```

```
Cache-Control: no-cache
```

Why Web caching?

- reduce response time for client request
  - cache is closer to client
- reduce traffic on an institution's access link
- Internet is dense with caches
  - enables "poor" content providers to more effectively deliver content

Caching example

Scenario:

- access link rate: 1.54 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to server: 2 sec
- web object size: 100K bits
- average request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15 requests/sec
  - avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps





Performance:

- LAN utilization = 1.50 Mbps / 1Gbps = 0.0015 = 0.15%

(or Traffic Intensity = La / R = 100 K * 15 / 1 Gbps = 0.0015 = 0.15%)

- access link utilization = 1.50 Mbps / 1.54 Mbps = 0.97 = 97%

(or Traffic Intensity La / R = 100 K * 15 / 1.54 Mbps = 0.97 = 97%)

- end-end delay = Internet delay + access link delay + LAN delay

  = 2 sec + minutes + usecs

problem: large queueing delays at high utilization!

Option 1: buy a faster access link

Scenario:

- access link rate: ~~1.54~~ 154 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to server: 2 sec
- web object size: 100K bits
- average request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
  - avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps

Performance:

- access link utilization = ~~.97~~ → .0097
- LAN utilization: .0015
- end-end delay = Internet delay + access link delay + LAN delay

  = 2 sec + ~~minutes~~ msecs + usecs

Cost: faster access link (expensive!)



Option 2: install a web cache

Scenario:
- access link rate: 1.54 Mbps
- RTT from institutional router to server: 2 sec
- web object size: 100K bits
- average request rate from browsers to origin servers: 15/sec
  - avg data rate to browsers: 1.50 Mbps

Cost: web cache (cheap!)

Performance:
- LAN utilization: .?
- access link utilization = ?
- average end-end delay = ?

How to compute link utilization, delay?



Calculating access link utilization, end-end delay with cache:

suppose cache hit rate is 0.4:

- 40% requests served by cache, with low (msec) delay
- 60% requests satisfied at origin
  - rate to browsers over access link
    = 0.6 * 1.50 Mbps = .9 Mbps
  - access link utilization = 0.9/1.54 = .58 means low (msec) queueing delay at access link
- average end-end delay:
  = 0.6 * (delay from origin servers)
  + 0.4 * (delay when satisfied at cache)
  = 0.6 * (2 + ~msec for access link & LAN) + 0.4 (~ µsec for LAN)
  = 0.6 (2.01) + 0.4 (~ µsecs) = ~ 1.2 secs



lower average end-end delay than with 154 Mbps link (and cheaper too!)

Problem with Web Cache (Proxy Server)

- The copy of the object in the web cache may be stale!!!

Browser caching: Conditional GET

Goal: don't send object if browser has up-to-date cached version

- no object transmission delay (or use of network resources)

- *client:* specify date of browser-cached copy in HTTP request

  If-modified-since: <date>

- *server:* response contains no object if browser-cached copy is up-to-date:

  HTTP/1.0 304 Not Modified



HTTP/2

Key goal: decreased delay in multi-object HTTP requests

HTTP1.1: introduced multiple, pipelined GETs over single TCP connection

- server responds *in-order* (FCFS: first-come-first-served scheduling) to GET requests
- with FCFS, small object may have to wait for transmission (head-of-line (HOL) blocking) behind large object(s)
- loss recovery (retransmitting lost TCP segments) stalls object transmission

HTTP/2

Key goal: decreased delay in multi-object HTTP requests

HTTP/2: [RFC 7540, 2015] increased flexibility at *server* in sending objects to client:

- methods, status codes, most header fields unchanged from HTTP 1.1
- transmission order of requested objects based on client-specified object priority (not necessarily FCFS)
- *push* unrequested objects to client
- divide objects into frames, schedule frames to mitigate HOL blocking

HTTP/2: mitigating HOL blocking

HTTP 1.1: client requests 1 large object (e.g., video file) and 3 smaller objects



objects delivered in order requested: O_2, O_3, O_4 wait behind O_1

HTTP/2: mitigating HOL blocking

HTTP/2: objects divided into frames, frame transmission interleaved



O_2, O_3, O_4 delivered quickly, O_1 slightly delayed

HTTP/2 to HTTP/3

HTTP/2 over single TCP connection means:

- recovery from packet loss still stalls all object transmissions
  - as in HTTP 1.1, browsers have incentive to open multiple parallel TCP connections to reduce stalling, increase overall throughput
- no security over vanilla TCP connection
- HTTP/3: adds security, per object error- and congestion-control (more pipelining) over UDP
  - more on HTTP/3 in transport layer