# WebHandyTool Design Document Module Guide : newAGEtech, Group H

Genevieve Okon (Okong), Abraham Omorogbe<br/>(Omorogoa), Eric Le Forte<br/>(Leforte)

December 7, 2015

## Contents

1	Revision History Introduction							
2								
3	Anticipated & Unlikely Changes  3.1 Anticipated Changes	<b>3</b> 3						
4	Module Hierarchy	3						
5	[Module Hierarchy Diagram]	4						
6	Connection Between Requirements & Design	5						
8	Module Decomposition         7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules	5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6						
	ist of Figures	Ü						
	1 Detail Design for the Development Process 2 Gantt Chart	9 10						
$\mathbf{L}$	ist of Tables							
	1 Revision History	2 6 7						

### 1 Revision History

Red, means changes made in section

Revision	Revision Date	Description of Change	Author
1	3-11-15	Initiate Design Document	Genevieve Okon
2	3-11-15	Defined anticipated and likely	Genevieve Okon
		changes	
3	3-11-15	created Tracability matrix	Abraham Omorogbe
4	3-11-15	created Module Hierarchy	Abraham Omorogbe
5	3-11-15	created pert and Gantt chart	Genevieve Okon
6	4-11-15	Proofreading and merging of	Genevieve Okon
		overall content	
7	4-11-15	Use Hierarchy Between Modules	Eric Le Fort
8	4-11-15	Connection Between Require-	Eric Le Fort
		ments and Design	
9	5-11-15	created Hardware/behaviour	Abraham Omorogbe
		Hiding Modules	
10	5-11-15	Software Decision Modules	Eric Le Fort
11	5-11-15	Table of Contents	Genevieve Okon
12	26-11-15	Revised section 4, M13	Genevieve Okon
13	26-11-15	Revised section 4, Behaviour	Genevieve Okon
		hiding module	
14	26-11-15	Revised section 5, Module Hier-	Genevieve Okon
		archy diagram	
15	26-11-15	Revised section 7.2.9, Website	Genevieve Okon
		depth modelling	
16	7-12-15	Revised module secrets	Eric Le Fort
17	7-12-15	Revised section 7.2	Genevieve Okon
18	7-12-15	Revised section 4,5,8	Genevieve Okon

Table 1: Revision History

### 2 Introduction

The following document details the Module Interface Specifications for the implemented modules in WebHandyTool. This will identify and describe the program modules that need to be built in detail so that developers and other viewers can easily understand the program. Navigation through the program will be simplified for design and maintenance purposes. Complementary documents include the System Requirement Specifications.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 3 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 7 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 4 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 5 will clarify the levels of modules present in the project. Section 6 will compare the design to the requirements provided in the SRS. Section 7 will give a detailed module-by-module breakdown. The rest of the Sections will trace anticipated changes and modules back to requirements, show the use hierarchy between the modules in the program, set timelines for the rest of the development process and will provide a Gantt and Pert chart to provide a visualization to these task breakdowns.

### 3 Anticipated & Unlikely Changes

### 3.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes in the design include the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the modules that hide the associated decision.

AC1: The specific hardware on which the webcrawler is running.

AC2: The format of the initial input data.

AC3: The format of the input parameters.

AC4: The format of the final output data.

AC5: The algorithm used for the WebHandyTool.

AC6: The implementation of the html parsers.

AC7: How the overall control of the search modules will be made.

AC8: The implementation for the visual version of the structure model

### 3.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. These are few unlikely changes.

UC1: Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen)

UC2: There will always be a source of input data external to the software.

UC3: Output data are displayed to the output device.

UC4: Goal of the system is to crawl websites download links and images.

# 4 Module Hierarchy

This section contains the module design structure of our project. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy as shown in Table 1. The modules listed below, most of which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

### Modules

```
[ M1: Web Crawler Module
M2: URL Corrector Module
M3: Parser Module
M4: Download Module
M5: Search Module
M6: Link search algo Module
M7:Errors Module
M8:HTML Corrector Help module
]
```

### Hardware hiding

N/A

### **Behaviour Hiding Modules**


• URL Corrector Module

- Parser Module
- Download Module
- Search Module
- $\bullet\,$  Link search algo Module
- Errors Module
- $\bullet\,$  HTML Corrector Help module

### Software Decision Modules

• Web Crawler Module

# 5 [Module Hierarchy Diagram]



### 6 Connection Between Requirements & Design

This design was developed using the requirements document to help guide the decomposition of the project's modules. The requirements were matched to corresponding modules which complete the various tasks. For example, Requirement #2 from the requirements document (The product shall download resources from a website) will be accomplished using module M4.

### 7 Module Decomposition

MORE DETAIL ON VARIABLES AND CONNECTION read the MIS as PDF.pdf document

### 7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules

N/A

### 7.2 Behaviour Hiding Modules

7.2.1 Download

**Secrets:** Parse through the HTML code in the link provided in order to locate all files that match the specified file type. For each file, the result is downloaded into a folder specified by the user.

Services: Writes all resources matching the given file type from the page link to the file specified by destination.

Implemented By: Python

### 7.2.2 Linksearchalgo

**Secrets:** using the BFS algorithm it finds all the links on a given website.

Services: Finds all the links on a given website

Implemented By: Python

#### **7.2.3** Search

Secrets: Knuth Morris pratt algorithm is used for string matching to get results while searching.

**Services:** Searches through a webpage according to the query the user inputs.

Implemented By: Python

#### **7.2.4** Parser

Secrets: Parser that parsers through the HTML code in the data provided

**Services:** Finds all the links  $(\langle a \rangle \langle /a \rangle)$  anchor tags on page) on a page

Implemented By: Python

#### **7.2.5** Errors

Secrets: Algorithm to check the header in all the links provided

Services: Checks the all the links and reports the error message associated with all the links inputed

Implemented By: Python

### 7.2.6 URLCorrector

**Secrets:** Tries to fix the given String in various ways in order to create a functioning link. This can include fixing the prefix (http://), adding www., or appending the link to the current page's path.

Services: Fixes the link passed in such that it becomes either a functioning link or is flagged as a broken link.

Implemented By: Python

### 7.2.7 HTML Corrector Help

Secrets: Algorithm to check for any character error in a give url.

**Services:** Helps the html corrector convert all characters to ascii and removes any links starting with invalid prefixes like hashtags ,or data.

Implemented By: Python

#### 7.3 Software Decision Modules

#### 7.3.1 WebCrawler

**Secrets:** Directly calls the methods shown in the Use Hierarchy (found in section 7 of this document) and consolidates the results.

Services: Delegates various tasks of crawling a webpage to the other methods of this program.

```
Implemented By: Python
```

# 8 Traceability Matrix

Requirements	Modules
R1	M1, M2, M6, M7, M3, M8
R2	M1, M2, M6, M4, M3, M8
R3	M6
R4	M6
R5	M1, M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, M7,
	M8
R6	M6, M2, M3, M8, M1
R7	M1, M2, M3, M5, M6, M7, M8,
	M4

Table 2: Traceback to Requirements

Requirements	Modules
AC1	N/A
AC2	R4
AC3	R3, R4
AC4	R1, R2, R3, R4, R6, R7
AC5	R3, R5, R7
AC6	R1, R2, R5
AC7	R3, R4
AC8	R6

Table 3: Traceback to Anticipated Changes

	0	Task Name	Duration	Work	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Resource Names	% Complete
1	<b></b>	start	1 day	0 hrs	9/23/2015	9/23/2015			0%
2	<b></b>	problem statement	1 day	0 hrs	9/25/2015	9/25/2015	1		0%
3	<b></b>	proof of concept plan	3 days	0 hrs	9/30/2015	10/2/2015	2		0%
4	<b></b>	requirements document	2 days	0 hrs	10/8/2015	10/9/2015	2		0%
5		test plan	7 days	0 hrs	11/10/2015	11/18/2015	4		0%
6		proof of concept demo	3 days	0 hrs	10/26/2015	10/28/2015	3		0%
7		design document	7 days	0 hrs	10/29/2015	11/6/2015	4		0%
8	<b></b>	revision demo	3 days	0 hrs	11/9/2015	11/11/2015	7		0%
9		peer evaluation	7 days	0 hrs	11/12/2015	11/20/2015	8		0%
10	<b></b>	user guide	7 days	0 hrs	11/12/2015	11/20/2015	7,8		0%
11		test report	7 days	0 hrs	11/19/2015	11/27/2015	5,7		0%
12		final demo	5 days	0 hrs	11/30/2015	12/4/2015	8,7,9,10,11		0%
13	<b></b>	implementation	2 days	0 hrs	11/18/2015	11/19/2015	12		0%
14	<b></b>	revision of srs	3 days	0 hrs	11/26/2015	11/30/2015	4		0%
15		testing	3 days	0 hrs	11/24/2015	11/26/2015	5,7,4		0%
16		usability test	3 days	0 hrs	11/24/2015	11/26/2015	5		0%
17	-	revision of design document	2 days	0 hrs	11/27/2015	11/30/2015	7		0%
18	-	revision of test plan	2 days	0 hrs	11/24/2015	11/25/2015	5		0%
19		final document	2 days	0 hrs	12/7/2015	12/8/2015	12		0%

Figure 1: Detail Design for the Development Process




Figure 2: Gantt Chart




Figure 3: Pert Chart(1)




Figure 4: Pert Chart(2)