

Rogue Context Operator

Abe Pralle
August 1, 2018

Rogue Language Designers

Abe Pralle

- Rogue language designer (2015)
- Ad tech developer at AppOnboard
- Indie game developer (Runegate, Plasmaworks)

Programming Interests

- Games, languages, APIs

Contact

- abe.pralle@gmail.com

Install Rogue

- Repo with installation instructions:
github.com/AbePralle/Rogue
- Windows, macOS, Linux



Rogue Language Designers

Murphy McCauley

- Frequent collaborator & consultant
- Joined Rogue project in 2016
- Ph.D. student at Berkeley (Computer Science, 2018)
- Programmed "SENSE" packet-level network simulator in Rogue and Python



Major Contributions to Rogue

Automatic garbage collection, multithreading, two Python extension generators, tuples, method template type inference by parameter types, core Windows compatibility, the *Rose* prototype language, and the book-in-progress "Hacking with Rogue"

Call Chaining is a Total Hack

Call Chaining is Great

- Many APIs support call chaining
- Rogue has some nice convenience syntax to support call chaining ("method x()->this")
- Nice to be able to make several calls in one expression

Call Chaining is a Total Hack

- Call chaining is a hacky way to support calling multiple unrelated methods on a single object context
- Method return values should not be co-opted by a style of method invocation
- Only methods that do not otherwise need to return a value can be adapted for call chaining
- Likewise, useful optional return values are not possible if a method has been written to support call chaining
- Call chaining is a semantic fix for a syntactic problem

Analogy: Recursion in FORTRAN 77

Recursive Binary Tree Print in Rogue

```
method display( n:Node )
```

```
    if (n) display( n.left ); println n.value; display( n.right )
```

Recursive Binary Tree Print in FORTRAN 77

- A function directly calling itself generates a compiler error
- All local variables are like static variables (some compilers)
- Rogue program using F77-style recursion semantics:

```
method display_recurse( n:Node ): display( n )
method display( n:Node )
    if (not n) return
    stack.add( n ); display_recurse( n.left ); n = stack.remove_last
    println n.value; display_recurse( n.right )
```
- Question: can we say that FORTRAN 77 supports recursion?
- Or is this explicit stack-based, pointer-based mess a kludge to simulate the recursion other languages support directly?
- What could a language support directly vs kludgy call chaining?

Context Operator

Syntax

- `object.[method1, method2, property=value, ...]`
- Operator calls all methods and assigns all properties in sequence and then produces 'object' as expression result

Old Code With Call Chaining

```
local list = Int32[].add( x ).add( y ).add( z )  
println list  
list.remove_last  
println list.sort( (a,b)=>(a<b) )
```

New Code With Context Operator

```
local list = Int32[][ add(x), add(y), add(z) ]  
println list  
println list.[ remove_last, sort( (a,b)=>(a<b) ) ]
```