<u>מעבדה 2 חלק א</u> <u>סאמר חרעובה – 209050202</u> עבד אלרחמן אבו חוסין – 208517631

implemented in fitness selector

```
class fitness_selector:
    def __init__(self):...

    def distance_fittness(self, object, target, target_size):...

    def bul_pgia(self, object, target, target_size):...

# fitness for NQueens:
    def n_queens_conflict(self, object, target, target_size):...

# def n_queens_conf_based_on_place(self, object, row, col):...

def bins_fitness(self, object, target, target_size):...

def levinshtine_distance(self, a, b, target_size=0):...

def kendallTauDistance(self, values1, values2):...
```

Implementation

self.gene_dist is the distance function that we get from fitness type class , so that we can use it in the algorithm as we please

2 סעיף

previously the ranking system was done in each selection function, now we changed it to be done on the population and just send a probabilities array to the selection function

selection pressure:

• in case of RWS and SUS and Tournment: we use the probability of selection that exists in fitness array(it's a probability array of each individual) to find the probability of selecting best individual and the average individual

Diversity:

- to calculate diversity we calculate the distance of each individual with the full population , then average it out and store it
- then add all individual diversities and average them out to indicate the diversity of the whole population

```
def calc_diversity(self):
    self.pop_diversity = 0
    for i in range(self.pop_size):
            if strings in self.Distance_hash.keys():
                self.Distance_hash[strings] = self.gene_dist(self.population[i].object, self.population[j].object)
    old_pop_diversity = self.pop_diversity
   self.trigger_mutation = True if old_pop_diversity < self.pop_diversity else False</pre>
```

:3 סעיף

תוצאות:

population size	exploration to exploitaion	Hyper triggered	mutation parameter=0.25
100	generation:33 ,runtime: 31	generations:28 runtime:32,	generation:35 ,runtime: 35.7
300	generation:21 ,runtime: 209	generations:19 ,runtime:182	generation:20 ,runtime: 195

As we have seen in previous lab ,our perfect mutation rate was 0.25 so we used it , as we have tried lower or higher , and it wasn't optimal

Results:

- when the population is small both Hyper triggered and linear exploration to exploitation both work better than a fixed mutation rate.
- When the population is bigger:
 - 1. we can see that the linear exploration to exploitation is worst than both, but this result does not reflect the full truth, as in bigger populations our linear function should be adjusted to the appropriate ratio between population size and the linear value that we add to the original mating probability
 - 2. Hyper triggered is faster than a fixed mutation rate. And gets out of local optima much faster

: exploitation ל exploration מעבר הדרגתי מ

Population size=100

Population size=300

Hyper triggered:

Population size=100:

Population size=300

```
Selection pressure: 2.5162857142857147 Diversity: 5.7921777777773

Best:e,e,l,h,o, ,w,r,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 90 Mean: 227.8 ,Variance: 137.8 Time: 102.6090923 ticks: 102.6088194847107

selection pressure: 2.201538461538461 Diversity: 5.4702060666667

Best:e,e,l,h,o, ,w,r,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 90 Mean: 204.8 ,Variance: 114.80000000000001 Time: 112.1589257 ticks: 112.15904355049133

selection pressure: 3.1049180327868857 Diversity: 4.94111111111112

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,r,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 188.4 ,Variance: 128.4 Time: 121.142664 ticks: 121.1427993774414

selection pressure: 2.8950819672131143 Diversity: 4.5377777777777777

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,r,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 175.6 ,Variance: 115.6 Time: 130.1224863 ticks: 130.12253212928772

selection pressure: 5.31290322580645 Diversity: 4.07191111111111

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 163.7 ,Variance: 133.7 Time: 138.9716439 ticks: 138.97207188600262

selection pressure: 4.874193548387097 Diversity: 3.6676222222222234

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 150.1 ,Variance: 120.1 Time: 147.8177689 ticks: 147.81776213645935

selection pressure: 4.470967741935484 Diversity: 3.506288888888946

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 137.6 ,Variance: 107.6 Time: 156.6445039999998 ticks: 156.64502954483032

selection pressure: 4.319354838709675 Diversity: 3.453555555555559

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 132.9 ,Variance: 102.9 Time: 165.3450614 ticks: 165.345540908307

selection pressure: 4.2548387096774185 Diversity: 3.4222222222222233

Best:h,e,l,h,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 130.9 ,Variance: 100.9 Time: 173.7330652 ticks: 173.73295617103577

selection pressure: 122.609999999999 Diversity: 3.096844444444444

Best:h,e,l,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 121.5 ,Variance: 121.5 Time: 182.0372577 ticks: 182.0370054244995 number of generations: 19.03730550000002
```

Fixed mutation parameter:

Mutation rate=0.25:

Population size=100:

```
selection pressure: 4.667741935483871 Diversity: 3.1178
Best:h,e,l,r,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 143.7 ,Variance: 113.699999999999 Time: 30.77609330000014 ticks: 30.775875329971313

selection pressure: 4.570967741935484 Diversity: 3.232599999999999
Best:h,e,l,r,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 140.7 ,Variance: 110.6999999999999 Time: 31.78216610000004 ticks: 31.782013177871704

selection pressure: 4.3 Diversity: 3.152200000000001
Best:h,e,l,r,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 132.3 ,Variance: 102.3000000000001 Time: 32.81892090000002 ticks: 32.81911778450012

selection pressure: 4.203225806451615 Diversity: 3.03419999999999
Best:h,e,l,r,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 129.3 ,Variance: 99.3000000000001 Time: 33.77767640000002 ticks: 33.777637243270874

selection pressure: 3.9225806451612897 Diversity: 2.91939999999996
Best:h,e,l,r,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 120.6 ,Variance: 90.6 Time: 34.7762720000000006 ticks: 34.7761652469635

selection pressure: 123.399999999999 Diversity: 2.7816000000000014
Best:h,e,l,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 122.4 ,Variance: 122.4 Time: 35.72535670000002 ticks: 35.72544026374817
number of generations: 35
Overall runtime: 35.72540329999998
```

Population size=300:

```
selection pressure: 2.32967032967033 Diversity: 6.0029111111111107
Best:h,e,!,l,o, ,e,o,r,!,d,!, ,fittness: 90 Mean: 211.0 ,Variance: 121.0 Time : 123.51850289999999 ticks: 123.51901435852051

selection pressure: 2.1736263736263743 Diversity: 5.602000000000001
Best:h,e,!,l,o, ,e,o,r,!,d,!, ,fittness: 90 Mean: 196.8 ,Variance: 106.8000000000001 Time : 132.83431650000006 ticks: 132.83461451530457

selection pressure: 3.055737704918032 Diversity: 5.32291111111114
Best:h,e,l,l,o,o,w,o,r,l,d,w, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 185.4 ,Variance: 125.4 Time : 142.10310280000004 ticks: 142.1035717052893

selection pressure: 5.425806451612904 Diversity: 5.858044444444447
Best:h,e,l,l,o,!,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 167.2 ,Variance: 137.2 Time : 151.4614337 ticks: 151.46148252487183

selection pressure: 4.8096774193548395 Diversity: 4.355244444444448
Best:h,e,l,l,o,!,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 148.1 ,Variance: 118.1 Time : 160.70845049999997 ticks: 160.70830154418945

selection pressure: 4.4161290322580635 Diversity: 3.932133333333293
Best:h,e,l,l,o,!,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 135.9 ,Variance: 105.9 Time : 169.5769366 ticks: 169.57731676101685

selection pressure: 4.106451612903224 Diversity: 3.5228222222222222

Best:h,e,l,l,o,!,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 126.3 ,Variance: 96.3 Time : 178.40248300000002 ticks: 178.40223503112793

selection pressure: 4.019354838709478 Diversity: 3.3190666666667
Best:h,e,l,l,o,!,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 123.6 ,Variance: 93.6 Time : 187.12032330000005 ticks: 187.12085580825806

selection pressure: 122.5999999999998 Diversity: 3.011155555555555

Best:h,e,l,l,o,,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 121.6 ,Variance: 121.6 Time : 195.63413510000004 ticks: 195.6339144706726

number of generations : 20

Overall runtime : 195.6342027999997
```

<u>:4 סעיף</u>

Implementation of GA with speciation:

Explanation of each parameter:

- 1. Groups is an array that has k clusters where k is defined from either threshold speciation or k-means clustering.
- 2. distance_factor : is a minimal distance metric between two identical genes that one of them was mutated.
- 3. Speciation: a function that returns clusters in an array.
- 4. SpeciationType: dictates which algorithm to use for speciation, i.e. threshold speciation or k-means clustering
- 5. Self.threshold: is used either as k or as the current threshold based on the speciationType

Explanation of each function:

- 1. how many of each speicy(self, size)
 - specifies the number and positions of the elite member in each cluster/specie
- 2. def mate(self, gen):
 - treats each specie as a singl population and mates only the ones that are in the cluster/specie
 - after going over all cluster, this function puts all genes of all kind of species in the buffer as separate genes. (not as clusters)

```
def mate(self, gen):
    esize = self.serviving_genes()
    # cross function for intial GA algo
    elite_individuals = self.how_many_of_each_speicy(esize)
    for index, group in enumerate(self.groups):
        temp_buffer = self.mate_for_spiecies.mate_pop(group, gen, elite_individuals[index])
        self.buffer[esize:esize + len(group) - elite_individuals[index]] = temp_buffer[:]
        esize += len(group) - elite_individuals[index]
```

3. def init population(self)

 this function initiates the population as it was done in previous implementations and calculates the distance_factor or k so that we can use those parameters in the speciation itself

4. def algo(self, i):

- This is the algorithm itself:
 - o First calculate diversity for all population
 - o Sort them by fitness
 - o Change current threshold based on previous iteration
 - o Rank population for selection methods
 - o $\,$ Use the new mate function to mate the population

Implementation of speciation types:

```
def spicieation_threshold(most_recent_thresh, minimal_distance, numOfspiecies, spiecies_count):...

def Minimal_distance(gene, distance_function, prob_spec):...

class SpeciationType:
    def __init__(self):
        self.type = {1: self.threshhold_speciation, 2: self.optimal_k_clustering}}

# threshold functions:

def threshhold_speciation(self, hash, thresh, pop):...

# k means functions
# given centroids and population, hash of distances create the clusters
def k_means_clustering(self, hash, k, pop):...

def optimal_k_clustering(self, hash, k, pop):...

def sub_k_means(self, hash, pop, centroids, clusters, clusters_means, distances):...

# finds the closest distance from the centroid
def find_closest_val(self, array, value):...

def silhouette_score(self, clusters, hash):...
```

1. def spicieation threshold

returns the new threshold

2. def Minimal distance (gene, distance function, prob spec)

· returns the minimal distance to reduce or add to threshold

3. def threshhold speciation(self, hash, thresh, pop):

- retuns the new clusters
- basically starts with the first gene checks if the threshold condition applies then adds member to a cluster
- after insertion of first 2 genes in a specific cluster, the distance check is used on all members of the specific cluster
- continues to add clusters and members until it finishes going over the full population

4. def optimal k clustering(self, hash, k, pop):

- starts with k=3 up to maximum k
- uses k-means clustering until silhouette_score retuns True , i.e until k is optimal

5. def k means clustering(self, hash, k, pop):

Creates iterations of sub_k_means until population converges ,i.e.
 until centroids do not change from previous iteration

6. def sub_k_means(self, hash, pop, centroids, clusters, clusters means, distances):

- Appoints genes to centroids based on minimal distance from centroid
- Returns new clusters with mean of distances in each cluster

Comparison between Threshold Speciation and k-means Clustering Speciation :

Runs on strings matching (bul pgiaa):

		K-means clustering		
population size	5 % of poulation size	15% of population	30	
100	generation:30 runtime: 34.5	generations:34 runtime:30	generation:90 runtime: 90	generation:28 runtime: 25
200	generation:30 runtime: 118	generations:29 runtime:129	generation:33 runtime: 143.5	generation:27 runtime: 111
512	generation:17 runtime: 502	generations:23 runtime:637	generation:17 runtime: 492	generation:11 runtime: 340

There are 2 main metrics to consider when comparing the two algorithms

- 1. Time to get to the solution
- 2. The quality of the solution

The results above are quite clear:

- 1. The time to get to the solution in k means is a lot faster than threshold speciation ,although in small populations it doesn't amount to much difference but in larger populations we can see a significant time reduction /
- 2. The quality of the solution on both algorithms is outstanding ,as we see in the mock trials below of the code running :
 - On both we can see that the initial mating of the species produces better solutions, we can see that in the fitness value and mean of the fitness value in both algorithms
 - But we can see that k means clustering handles local minima much better than threshold speciation as it takes 3 to 4 iterations on average for threshold speciation to get out of local minima, yet K-means hardly stumbles on local minima

Threshold=5, population size=100

```
set population size:
if you want to use cx make sure that the string doesn't have 2 matching letters !
type string:
choose fitness function : 0:Distance 1:Bul Pgia
13.740600000000006
number of groups
selection pressure: 1.1301886792452833  Diversity: 8.403400000000001
Best:c,r,d,o,J,c,k,d,d,w,C,W, ,fittness: 900 Mean: 1017.3 ,Variance: 117.299999999995 Time : 2.9457986 ticks: 2.9452688694000244
number of groups
number of groups
selection pressure: 5.509677419354837 Diversity: 3.654599999999985
number of groups
selection pressure: 5.074193548387097 Diversity: 3.51519999999999
number of groups
```

Threshold=10, population size=200

Threshold=25, population size=512

```
set population size: ...
chose algorithem : 1:6A 2:PSO 3:Minimal conflicts 4:first fit
choose problem to solve : 1:BUl Pgia 2:N Queens 3:Bin Packing Prob
choose surviving strategy : Elite: 1, Age: 2
if you want to use cx make sure that the string doesn't have 2 matching letters!
type string: Mathematical Choose cross string: Mathematical Choose cross function: One Cross: 1 Two Cross: 2 Uniform: 3 PMX: 4 CX: 5 choose selection function: RAND: 0 SUS: 1 RMS: 2 tournement: 3 choose fitness function: 0:Distance 1:Bul Pgia choose mutation scheme: random mutation: 1,swap_mutate: 2,insertion_mutate: 3 for hyper press 1 for normal press 0 chose speciation type: 1: threshold speciation 2:k-means clustering 3: none 13.832321160992188
```

Threshold=30, population size=100

Threshold=30, population size=200

```
election pressure: 9.075806451612902 Diversity: 7.1239500000000024

Best:h,e,w,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 280.35 ,Variance: 250.350000000002 Time: 128.4891239 ticks: 128.48921370500287 .79850000000002

umber of groups
32

election pressure: 9.066129032258065 Diversity: 6.9502999999999999

Best:h,e,w,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 280.05 ,Variance: 250.05 Time: 132.2722001 ticks: 132.2726731300354 .79865000000002

umber of groups
31

election pressure: 9.15322580645101 Diversity: 6.97040000000001

Best:h,e,w,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 282.75 ,Variance: 252.75 Time: 136.2135990999998 ticks: 136.2136423587799 .79865000000002

umber of groups
21

election pressure: 8.645161290322582 Diversity: 6.314250000000003

Best:h,e,w,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 267.0 ,Variance: 237.0 Time: 139.9451324 ticks: 139.9453318119049 .79865000000002

umber of groups
18

election pressure: 218.799999999999 Diversity: 4.809250000000002

Best:h,e,w,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 217.8 ,Variance: 217.8 Time: 143.5349767 ticks: 143.5352373123169 number of generations: 33 verall runtime: 143.53502840000002
```

Threshold=32, population size=200

```
selection pressure: 3.8426229508196723 Diversity: 5.2272
Bestin,e,l,l,o,d, ,o,r,l,d, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 233.4 ,Variance: 173.4 Time: 27.31991759999997 ticks: 27.320194005966187
4.765999999999994

number of groups
2d
selection pressure: 3.631147540983007 Diversity: 4.8538
Bestin,e,l,l,o,d, ,o,r,l,d, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 220.5 ,Variance: 160.5 Time: 28.1871485 ticks: 28.186877250671387
4.765999999999999

number of groups
15
selection pressure: 7.638709677419354 Diversity: 5.1533999999998
Bestin,e,l,l,o,w,w,o,r,l,d, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 235.8 ,Variance: 205.8 Time: 29.024954 ticks: 29.02518343925476
6.763999999999999

number of groups
17
selection pressure: 223.9 Diversity: 4.76060000000001
Bestin,e,l,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 222.9 ,Variance: 222.9 Time: 29.91357589999998 ticks: 29.913987398147583 number of generations: 34
Overall runtime: 29.913627499999997
run again ? press y for yes n for no
```

Threshold=76, population size=512

```
election pressure: 9.239037298387098 Diversity: 7.964141845703125

Best:h,e,r,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 285.41015625 ,Variance: 255.41015625 Time : 560.9696586 ticks: 560.9696047306061

1.831573486328125

Jumber of groups

47

Election pressure: 8.01990927419355 Diversity: 6.886566162109375

Best:h,e,r,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 247.6171875 ,Variance: 217.6171875 Time : 586.5479199 ticks: 586.548680305481

1.831573486328125

Jumber of groups

71

Election pressure: 7.622983870967739 Diversity: 6.554359436035156

Best:h,e,r,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 235.3125 ,Variance: 205.3125 Time : 611.9149315 ticks: 611.9154055118561

1.831573486328125

Jumber of groups

253

Election pressure: 236.25390625000003 Diversity: 6.442481994628906

Best:h,e,l,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 235.25390625 ,Variance: 235.25390625 Time : 637.0892768 ticks: 637.0895440578461 number of generations : 23

Verall runtime : 637.0893292000001
```

K-means clustering with optimal k each iteration: Population size =200

```
number of groups

5

selection pressure: 4.090774193548387 Diversity: 3.5159

Bestih,e,l,l,o,d,w,o,r,l,d,!, fittness: 30 Mean: 144.6 ,Variance: 114.6 Time: 96.0523459000009 ticks: 96.05201053619385

number of groups

5

selection pressure: 4.551612903225807 Diversity: 3.4112000000000005

Bestih,e,l,l,o,d,w,o,r,l,d,!, fittness: 30 Mean: 140.1 ,Variance: 110.1 Time: 99.80817610000008 ticks: 99.80760431289673

number of groups

3

selection pressure: 4.4499999999999 Diversity: 3.41079999999999

Bestih,e,l,l,o,d,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 136.95 ,Variance: 106.9499999999999 Time: 103.6029112999999 ticks: 103.60225081443787

number of groups

5

selection pressure: 4.358004516129033 Diversity: 3.280499999999999

Bestih,e,l,l,o,d,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 30 Mean: 134.1 ,Variance: 104.1 Time: 107.4616039 ticks: 107.46128559112549

number of groups

3

selection pressure: 133.149999999998 Diversity: 3.121740999999996

Bestih,e,l,l,o,,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 132.15 ,Variance: 132.15 Time: 111.20828830000005 ticks: 111.2076563835144

number of generations: 27

Overall runtine: 111.20828830000005 ticks: 111.2076563835144

number of generations: 27
```

Population size=512

```
selection pressure: 1.4897169729542303 Diversity: 11.574974608058594

Best:9,!,d,r,', ,w,:,K,O,h,!, ,fittness: 720 Mean: 1073.0859375 ,Variance: 353.0859375 Time : 57.09125599999993 ticks: 57.09132647514343
selection pressure: 2.600703983516483 Diversity: 6.701873779296875

Best:h,!,r,d,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 90 Mean: 235.6640625 ,Variance: 145.6640625 Time : 227.72627820000002 ticks: 227.72590327262878
selection pressure: 3.338947233606557 Diversity: 6.051788330078125
Best:h,e,o,l,o, ,w,o,r,h,d,!, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 202.67578125 ,Variance: 142.67578125 Time : 287.3606798999997 ticks: 287.36041498184204
number of groups
selection pressure: 2.960489241803279 Diversity: 5.463844299316406

Best:h,e,o,l,o, ,w,o,r,h,d,!, ,fittness: 60 Mean: 179.58984375 ,Variance: 119.58984375 Time : 316.48416650000013 ticks: 316.4840660095215
selection pressure: 167.9921875 Diversity: 5.096824645996094

Best:h,e,l,l,o, ,w,o,r,l,d,!, ,fittness: 0 Mean: 166.9921875 ,Variance: 166.9921875 Time : 343.71980729999973 ticks: 343.71956157684326
 number of generations : 11
```

תוצאות כלליות בשביל ניתוח סופי :

population size 100	e exp O genera	exploration to exploitaion generation:33 ,runtime: 31		Hyper triggered generations:28 ,runtime:32		mutation parameter=0.25 generation:35 ,runtime: 35.7	
300) genera	generation:21 ,runtime: 209		generations:19 ,runtime:182		generation:20 ,runtime: 195	
		Threshold				K-means clustering	
population size	5 % of poulation size	15% of population		30			
100	generation:30 runtime: 34.5	generations:34 runtime:30		generation:90 runtime: 90		generation:28 runtime: 25	
200	generation:30 runtime: 118	generations:29 runtime:129		generation:33 runtime: 143.5		generation:27 runtime: 111	
300						Generations:16 runtime=163	
512	generation:17 runtime: 502	generations:23 runtime:637		generation:17 runtime: 492		generation:11 runtime: 340	

k-means-clustering + population 300:

```
selection pressure: 2.52274772527477247 Diversity: 5.39648888888884
Bestim,p,l,lo, d,o,r,l,l., fittness: 90 Mean: 228.8 ,Variance: 138.8 Time: 100.2283879 ticks: 100.22867345809937

number of groups
3

selection pressure: 2.3373626373626375 Diversity: 5.16277777777778

Bestim,p,l,lo, d,o,r,l,l,, fittness: 90 Mean: 211.7 ,Variance: 121.0999999999999 Time: 115.6401703 ticks: 115.64037680625910

number of groups
5

selection pressure: 2.1934065934065936 Diversity: 5.029406006606068

Bestim,e,l,lo, d,o,r,l,l,, fittness: 90 Mean: 198.6 ,Variance: 108.6 Time: 124.7579921999999 ticks: 124.7581045627594

number of groups
13

selection pressure: 3.0918032786885243 Diversity: 4.78671111111111
Bestim,e,l,lo, w,w,o, ,l,h,l, fittness: 00 Mean: 187.6 ,Variance: 127.0 Time: 134.5002608 ticks: 134.49991154670715

number of groups
4

selection pressure: 2.973770491803279 Diversity: 4.73642222222222
Bestim,e,l,lo, w,o, ,l,h,l, fittness: 00 Mean: 180.4 ,Variance: 120.4 Time: 143.8581280 ticks: 143.8582375049591

number of groups
4

selection pressure: 5.29677419354387 Diversity: 4.29019999999999
Bestim,e,l,lo, w,o,r,l,l,!, fittness: 30 Mean: 163.2 ,Variance: 133.2 Time: 153.763551 ticks: 153.7641942741394

number of groups
6

selection pressure: 190.6 Diversity: 3.9231333333333
Bestim,e,l,lo, w,o,r,lo,l, fittness: 0 Mean: 149.6 ,Variance: 149.6 Time: 163.5336277 ticks: 163.5342104434967

number of groups
6

selection pressure: 150.6 Diversity: 3.9231333333333
Bestim,e,l,lo, w,o,r,lo,l, fittness: 0 Mean: 149.6 ,Variance: 149.6 Time: 163.5336277 ticks: 163.5342104434967

number of groups
7

Diversity of Pressure: 150.6 Diversity: 3.92313333333333
Bestim,e,l,lo, w,o,r,lo,d,l, fittness: 0 Mean: 149.6 ,Variance: 149.6 Time: 163.5336277 ticks: 163.5342104434967

number of groups
7

Diversity of Pressure: 150.7 Over of Press
```

<u>:6+7 סעיף</u>

מכיוון שלא הצלחנו לשחזר את random immigrants שאיבדנו k- עם רוב חלק ב אזי נשווה את הפתרון האופטימלי שקיבלנו מ means clustering :

- א. שלימות: האלגוריתם עם k means תמיד מביא לפתרון סופי , כיוון שהוא תמיד יוצא מ local optima ובכל האיטירציות שהרצנו, למראת שגם כן האלגוריתם הקודם תמיד היה מוציא פתרון, אך לא היה מוגבל מבחינת ריצות ואז היה רץ עד max iterations אך בסופו של דבר שניהן מגיעות לפתרון גם אם לא היה האופטימלי.
- ב. מכיוון ש k-means יוצא מ local optima המקרים שעברנו עליהן מול האלגוריתם הישן היה תמיד מוצא את הפתרון האופטימלי אך בפחות צעדים, ספציפית עם אוכלוסייה קטנה כמו 100 האלגוריתם הישן לא היה תמיד מגיע לפתרון למראת ה k-means.
 - ג. כמו שאמרנו בסעיפים קודמים , הפתרונות הן איכותיות יותר למשל ב k-means עם אוכלוסייה קטנה size=100 , הגענו לפתרון תוך generations 28 למראת האלגוריתם הישן שהגיע תוך generations 35 שהיה עם mutation שהגיע תוך rate=0.25 . (כל התוצאות הללו נמצאות בטבלות בסעיפים הקודמים) ,גם כן נראה שבאוכלוסיות יותר גדולות לאלגוריתם החדש לוקח generations 16 למראת הישן שלוקח generation 20 .
- ד. מבחינת זמן אין הרבה מה להשוות כיוון שכל אטירציה שיש בה חישוב של diversity של כל האוכלוסייה לוקחת יותר זמן מהאלגוריתם הישן כדי למצוא תשובה . הכוונה שהאלגוריתם של המעבדה קודמת היה מסיים בפחות משניה לפעמים למרות האלגוריתם החדש שלוקח לא פחות מ 5 שניות במקרה הטוב ביותר .

שיפורים אפשריים בעתיד:

- ליניארי , או לפחות יותר טוב ממה שיש Distance function .1 לנו עכשיו , אולי שימוש ב hamming distance או ביו אופציות יותר הגיוניות . Euclidian distance
- והאלגוריתם random immigrants והאלגוריתם הממטי היה מדהים ומעניין, אך לא הצלחנו לשחזר אותו ,לכך עלינו להיות יותר זהרים בעתיד.

.3

4. למראת שכל הפונקציות כלליות עלינו לעשות אותם מודולריות בעתיד.

: חלק ב

כמו ששלחנו קודם בבקשה לאיחור ,לא הספקנו לשחזר אותו .