

# Hash Tables

November 25, 2019 8:27 AM

## Dictionary

- Worst case complexities for Insert, Remove, Find

	Insert	Remove	Find
Unordered array	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Ordered array	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(\log n)$
Unordered list	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Ordered list	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
BST	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$

- hash table consists of an array to store data
  - o consists of complex types or pointers
  - o one attribute of object designated as table's key
- hash function maps a key to an array index in 2 steps
  - o key should be converted to integer
  - o integer mapped to an array index using some function
- **Collisions**
  - o a hash function may map two different keys to same index = collision
  - o a good hash function can reduce number of collisions
  - o have a policy to deal with any collisions
- **Hash functions**
  - o map key values to array indexes
  - o Map key value to an integer, then map integer to legal array index
  - o Properties:
    - Fast (close to  $O(1)$ )
    - Deterministic (no randomness)
    - Uniformity (look like a uniform random distribution)
- Deterministic hash functions
  - o for given input, should always return same value, otherwise will not be found in hash table
  - o Hash functions should not be determined by system time, memory location, pseudo-random numbers
- Scattering data
  - o typical hash function usually results in some collisions
  - o data should be distributed evenly over the table
  - o reduce number of collisions, each search key value maps to different index
- Uniformity
  - o generate each value in output range with same probability
  - o each legal hash table index has same chance of being generated

## Collision Handling

- 2 different keys mapped to same index, inevitable due to pigeonhole principle
- **Open Addressing**
  - o when insertion results in collision, look for empty array element
    - start at index to which hash function mapped inserted item
    - look for free space in array following particular search pattern, probing
  - o Three major open addressing schemes:
    - o **Linear probing**
      - hash table searched sequentially, starting with original hash location
      - each time table probed for free location, add 1 to index
      - search  $h(\text{search key}) + 1$ ,  $h(\text{search key}) + 2$

- if sequence of probes reaches last element, wrap around to `arr[0]`
- Primary clustering
  - table contains groups of consecutively occupied locations, larger as time goes on, reduce efficiency of hash table
- ex. Hash size 23,  $h(x) = x \bmod 23$ ,  $x$  = search key val
  - insert 81,  $h = 81 \bmod 23 = 12$ , collides with 58, so use linear probing to find free space,  $12+1=13$

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
						29			32			58	81								21	

- **Searching:** ex. Find 59,  $h = 59 \bmod 23 = 13$ , index 13 does not contain 59, use linear probing to find 59 or an empty space,  $13+1=14$ . Empty, therefore 59 not in table
- **Efficiency:** load factor  $y$  = number of items/table size
- as table fills,  $y$  increases, chance of collision increases, performance dec, as  $y$  inc
- **Quadratic probing**
  - refinement of linear probing, prevents primary clustering
  - each  $p$ , add  $p^2$  to original location index
  - 1st:  $h(x) + 1^2$ , 2nd:  $h(x) + 2^2$ , 3rd:  $h(x) + 3^2$
  - secondary clustering: same seq of probes used when 2 diff values hash to same location
  - no problem if data not skewed, hash table large enough hash func scatters data across the table
  - may fail at  $y > 1/2$
- **Double hashing**
  - linear and quadratic probing the probe seq is independent of key
  - double hashing procedures key dependent probe sequences
  - a second hash func  $h_2$  determines probe sequence
  - $h_2(\text{key}) \neq 0$ ,  $h_2 \neq h_1$   $h_2$  is  $p - (\text{key} \bmod p)$ , where  $p$  is a prime number
  - ex. hash table size 23,  $h = x \bmod 23$ ,  $h_2 = 5 - (\text{key} \bmod 5)$
  - insert 81,  $h = 81 \bmod 23 = 12$ , collides with 58, use  $h_2$  to find probe sequence value:  $h_2 = 5 - (81 \bmod 5) = 4$ , so insert at  $12 + 4 = 16$

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
						29			32			58				81					21	

- **Separate Chaining**
  - each entry in the hash table is a pointer to a linked list
  - if collision occurs, new item added to end of list at appropriate location
  - performance degrades less rapidly using separate chaining

- $h(x) = x \bmod 23$
- Insert 81,  $h(x) = 12$ , add to back (or front) of list
- Insert 35,  $h(x) = 12$
- Insert 60,  $h(x) = 14$

