

## Assignment - 2

### 1. Address translation in modern systems

- Each process generates logical (virtual) address.
- MMU (Memory Management Unit) translates these into physical address.
- Translation steps :-

  - a) CPU generates logical address.
  - b) MMU checks page table for corresponding frame number.
  - c) Concatenates frame no + offset  $\rightarrow$  physical address.

### 2. Memory layout

$\rightarrow$  Eg. layout

Process A (100 Kb of 120 Kb block) | Free 30 Kb | Process B (200 Kb)

- Internal fragmentation = 20 Kb wasted inside A's block.
- External fragmentation = 30 Kb free, but too small for 40 Kb request.

$\rightarrow$  Mitigation techniques:

- Paging (eliminates external, but many cause small internal)
- Segmentation with paging Hybrid
- Buddy system allocation
- Slab allocators. (in Linux).

### 3. Paging-based allocation model for a hypothetical OS

- Memory divided into fixed-frame.

- Trade-offs:

\* Overhead : Pages tables consume memory.

\* Speed

\* Fragmentation

#### 4. OS hardware interaction in virtual memory

- Page-table in memory
- MMU translates virtual
- TLB caches recent translations.
- Protection bits

#### 5. 16-bit virtual address, 1KB page size

- Virtual address = 16 bits = page no + offset
- Page size = 1 KB =  $2^{10}$  bytes → offset = 10 bits
- Page no =  $16 - 10 = 6$  bits
- No. of virtual pages =  $2^6 = 64$
- \* Page table size = 64 entries  $\times$  2 bytes = 128 bytes

#### 6. Process size (KB)

P<sub>1</sub> 212

P<sub>2</sub> 417

P<sub>3</sub> 112

P<sub>4</sub> 426

First-fit	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
	0 212	629	741	1167

Unused memory = 259 KB.

Best-fit	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>
	212	417	112	

P<sub>4</sub> still can't fit      Unused = 259 KB

#### • Worst-fit

P<sub>1</sub> (212) into 1000 → 788 left

P<sub>2</sub> (417) into 788 → 371 left

P<sub>3</sub> (112) into 371 → 259 left

P<sub>4</sub> (426) can't fit

7. Page  
7, 0,

a) FIFO  
Optima  
- LRU

c) Best :  
anom

8. Disk  
Memory  
Priority  
a) Over

b) Optim  
pre-

9. a) Work  
• OS t  
• For d  
• For u  
avail

b) Mem  
• Use  
• Real

Unused = 259 KB.

All three give same unused memory, but Worst-Fit may delay fragmentation buildup.

7. Page replacement reference string :

7, 0, 1, 2, 0, 3, 0, 4, 2, 3, 0, 3, 2, 3

a) FIFO : 9 page faults

Optimal : 7 page faults

- LRU : 10 page faults

c) Best : Optimal (minimum). FIFO worse due to Belady's anomaly.

8. Disk write = 10 ms

Memory write = 100 ms

Dirty pages = 30% of 1000 = 300.

a) Overhead =  $300 \times 10 \text{ ms}$

$$= 3000 \text{ ms} = 3 \text{ seconds}$$

b) Optimization : Write-back caching with dirty bit tracking or pre-cleaning (background flush) reduces blocking time.

9. a) Working set model + replacement policy

- OS tracks recent active pages per task.

- For object detection : Allocate stable working set

- For infotainment : Allows flexible replacement so it adapts to available memory.

b) Memory allocation strategy

- Use priority-based dynamic allocation.

- Real-time responsiveness ensured by working set + real time schedule