## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Agency, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

## Population profile

The 2020 population for Agency is 620. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 2.8%. The City of Agency is in Wapello County. Wapello County saw a 0.5% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Wapello County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


wapeno County Cities - Total Population							
City	2000	2010	2020				
Agency	622	638	620				
Male Total	298	312	290				
Female Total	324	326	330				
Blakesburg	374	296	274				
Chillicothe	90	97	76				
Eddyville <sup>†</sup>	1,064	1,024	970				
Eldon	998	927	783				
Kirkville	214	167	157				
Ottumwa	24,998	25,023	25,529				
Wapello County Total	36,051	35,625	35,437				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Batavia	-3.8%	-0.2%	-13.8%
Eldon	-6.7%	-7.1%	-15.5%
Floris	-11.0%	-9.8%	-15.9%
Hedrick	3.3%	-8.7%	-4.7%
Ottumwa	2.1%	0.1%	2.0%
Agency	1.0%	2.6%	-2.8%
Wapello County	1.0%	1.2%	-0.5%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%





Regional Director Cindy Hall 2606 W. Burlington Ave. Fairfield, IA 52556 hallc@iastate.edu 641-682-5491 County Office Jessica Heisdorffer 13011 120th Ave. Ottumwa, IA 52501 jessh@iastate.edu 641-682-5491

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Agency's population is predominantly White. The City of Agency, compared to Wapello County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.1%	96.6%	98.5%	94.3%
White	97.6%	93.5%	92.1%	79.5%
White alone, not Hispanic	96.4%	92.1%	87.5%	77.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	4.1%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	1.5%
Other	0.2%	2.4%	3.7%	6.7%
Two or more races	0.9%	3.4%	1.5%	5.7%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	2.4%	5.2%	9.1%	12.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

•	•	•		
		City	Co	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	277	273	14,552	14,167
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	68.2%	65.0%	64.1%	62.7%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	54.2%	43.1%	47.8%	44.8%
With own children	17.3%	13.2%	16.9%	15.8%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	14.1%	17.6%	16.3%	18.0%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	5.4%	5.1%	5.1%	5.9%
With own children	3.2%	2.2%	3.0%	3.3%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	8.7%	12.5%	11.2%	12.1%
With own children	5.4%	5.5%	6.6%	7.0%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	31.8%	35.0%	35.9%	37.3%
Male living alone	10.8%	8.8%	13.0%	14.3%
Female living alone	17.0%	22.0%	16.9%	16.6%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	277	273	14,552	14,167
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	54.2%	43.1%	47.8%	44.8%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	9.9%	$NA^e$	7.8%
Male householder g	$NA^e$	12.1%	$NA^e$	20.0%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	32.2%	NA <sup>e</sup>	27.4%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	20.4%	20%	22.8%	24%
0 - 4	5.2%	8.1%	6.6%	6.3%
5 - 9	4.5%	5.0%	6.3%	6.4%
10 - 14	5.8%	4.7%	6.0%	7.0%
15 - 17	4.9%	2.2%	3.9%	4.3%
18 - 24	7.1%	9.3%	9.6%	8.6%
25 - 44	23%	19.1%	23.8%	24.4%
45 - 64	27.3%	26.4%	27.3%	24.5%
65 or older	22.3%	25.3%	16.4%	18.7%
65 - 84	20.6%	21.7%	13.7%	16.3%
85 or older	1.7%	3.5%	2.7%	2.4%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	44.6	47.2	39.8	39.1
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	74.4	82.7	64.5	74.3
Old-Age	38.9	46.2	27.0	32.5
Child	35.5	36.5	37.5	41.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Agency, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Agency is less than the median household income of Wapello County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is higher in Agency than for Wapello County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Agency than there is for Wapello County. Many housing units in Agency were built between 1960 to 1979. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Agency compared to Wapello County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	220	+/- 48	14,193	+/- 246
Less than \$34,999	28.7%	+/- 4.8%	29.9%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	19.1%	+/- 8.6%	13.6%	+/- 1.9%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	29.1%	+/- 3.5%	32.2%	+/- 2.1%
\$100,000 Or More	23.2%	+/- 3.8%	24.3%	+/- 2.0%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$51,250	+/- \$10,269	\$56,209	+/- \$2,477
Median Family Income	\$72,813	+/- \$22,882	\$67,016	+/- \$4,390
Per Capita Income	\$31,502	+/- \$3,738	\$30,025	+/- \$1,400

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	343	+/-76	24,087	+/-116	
High School Graduate or Higher	94.5%	+/-3.1%	87.9%	+/-1.6%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	17.5%	+/-8.3%	18.5%	+/-2.2%	


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	243	+/- 52	15,754	+/- 42
Vacant	9.5%	+/- 7.4%	9.9%	+/- 1.5%
Occupied	90.5%	+/- 7.4%	90.1%	+/- 1.5%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	220	+/- 48	14,193	+/- 246
Owner	75.5%	+/- 10.7%	66.3%	+/- 2.5%
Renter	24.5%	+/- 10.7%	33.7%	+/- 2.5%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$552	+/- \$68	\$909	+/- \$49
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$120,000	+/-\$24,166	\$105,800	+/-\$7,964

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Agency there is a higher percentage of workers in sales and office occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 16.8% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	208	+/- 64	16,468	+/- 502
Management, business, science, and arts	28.8%	+/- 10.1%	27.7%	+/- 2.5%
Service	15.4%	+/- 7.0%	15.0%	+/- 1.8%
Sales and office	34.6%	+/- 11.1%	19.2%	+/- 2.1%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	9.9%	+/- 1.7%
Production, transportation, and material moving	16.8%	+/- 8.1%	28.1%	+/- 3.0%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

Agency has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Wapello County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Agency tend to be higher than the median earnings for Wapello County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

		City	Co	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*		
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	230	+/- 72	18,207	+/- 529		
Median Earnings	\$39,861	+/- \$39	\$37,329	+/- \$2,100		
Female	\$37,578	+/- \$13,109	\$33,123	+/- \$2,278		
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$42,292	+/- \$7,398	\$43,080	+/- \$2,181		
Male	\$42,014	+/- \$5,079	\$42,555	+/- \$1,811		
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$48,750	+/- \$13,557	\$52,358	+/- \$3,294		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	463	+/-111	34,895	+/-35
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	16.0%	+/-5.7%	15.5%	+/-1.3%
With Health Insurance	95.5%	+/-2.4%	93.2%	+/-1.5%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	68.9%	+/-11.5%	65.1%	+/-2.5%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	52.5%	+/-10.6%	44.4%	+/-2.3%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	6.8%	+/-1.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	399	+/- 89	27,992	+/- 131
in labor force	53.6%	+/- 9.3%	61.6%	+/- 2.0%
not in labor force	46.4%	+/- 9.3%	38.4%	+/- 2.0%
Civilian labor force	214	+/- 66	17,223	+/- 539
Unemployed	2.8%	+/- 2.2%	4.4%	+/- 1.0%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	463	+/-111	34,402	+/-103
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	17.4%	+/-2.5%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	24.4%	+/-5.3%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	16.1%	+/-2.2%
65+	**%	+/-**%	12.6%	+/-3.2%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	14.1%	+/-2.6%
with children	**%	+/-**%	23.5%	+/-4.8%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	5.6%	+/-2.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	35.5%	+/-8.8%
with children	**%	+/-**%	39.9%	+/-10.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.