Data for Decision Makers

Conesville, Iowa
City Profile

Population profile

The 2020 population for Conesville is 352. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 18.5%. The City of Conesville is in Muscatine County. Muscatine County saw a 1.1% population increase between 2010 and 2020.

Muscatine County Cities - Total Population[1]

City	2000	2010	2020
Conesville	**424**	**432**	**352**
Male Total	237	226	184
Female Total	187	206	168
Atalissa	283	311	296
Durant[†]	1,677	1,832	1,871
Fruitland	703	977	963
Muscatine	22,697	22,886	23,797
Nichols	374	374	340
Stockton	182	197	176
Walcott[†]	1,528	1,629	1,551
West Liberty	3,332	3,736	3,858
Wilton[†]	2,829	2,802	2,924
Muscatine County Total	41,722	42,745	43,235
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

[†]*City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.*

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Columbus Junction	17.6%	-0.1%	-3.6%
Fredonia	24.9%	-2.8%	-9.0%
Letts	0.5%	-2.0%	-5.5%
Lone Tree	17.6%	12.9%	4.4%
Nichols	2.2%	0.0%	-9.1%
Conesville	26.9%	1.9%	-18.5%
Muscatine County	4.5%	2.5%	1.1%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change[1]

Legend: City, County

Regional Director
Jeffery Macomber
400 E 11th St.
DeWitt, IA 52742
jmacombe@iastate.edu
563-659-5125

County Office
Heidi Hoffman
1601 Plaza Pl.
Muscatine, IA 52761
hoffmanh@iastate.edu
563-263-5701

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY
Extension and Outreach
Community and Economic Development

Conesville's population is predominantly of Hispanic or Latino origin. The City of Conesville, compared to Muscatine County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.[3]

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin[1]

Race Groups	City 2010	City 2020	County 2010	County 2020
One race only	98.1%	89.5%	98.1%	92.1%
White	57.6%	51.7%	89.0%	80.0%
White alone, not Hispanic	36.1%	35.8%	80.8%	75.5%
Black or African American	0.7%	4.3%	1.4%	2.6%
Asian	1.6%	3.1%	0.8%	0.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	38.2%	30.4%	6.5%	8.0%
Two or more races	1.9%	10.5%	1.9%	7.9%
Hispanic origin[a]	63.0%	54.0%	15.9%	18.0%

[a] *Hispanics can be of any race.*

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type[1,3]

	City 2010	City 2020	County 2010	County 2020
Total households	132	135	16,412	16,908
Family households[a,b]	79.5%	59.4%	69.8%	67.1%
Married couple family[b]	50.0%	39.9%	53.6%	49.5%
With own children	31.1%	18.5%	21.2%	18.2%
Other family[c]	29.5%	25.9%	16.3%	17.6%
Male householder[c]	13.6%	8.9%	5.5%	6.1%
With own children	7.6%	1.5%	3.2%	3.2%
Female householder[c]	15.9%	17.0%	10.8%	11.5%
With own children	10.6%	8.1%	7.1%	6.9%
Nonfamily households[d]	20.5%	40.6%	30.2%	32.9%
Male living alone	9.1%	16.3%	11.2%	13.2%
Female living alone	6.8%	5.9%	13.3%	13.8%

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type[1,3]

|	City 2010	City 2020	County 2010	County 2020
Total households	132	135	16,412	16,908
Married couple[b]	50.0%	39.9%	53.6%	49.5%
Cohabiting couple[b,f]	NA[e]	11.9%	NA[e]	8.4%
Male householder[g]	NA[e]	25.9%	NA[e]	18.4%
Female householder[g]	NA[e]	20.7%	NA[e]	23.8%

[a]*Householder and at least one other person related to the householder.* [b]*For 2020 includes same-sex couples.* [c]*No spouse present, but at least one relative present.* [d]*In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present).* [e]*2010 not comparable with 2020.* [f]*For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner.* [g]*For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.*

Percentage of Population by Age[1]

Age Groups	City 2010	City 2020	County 2010	County 2020
17 or younger	35.9%	20.2%	26.1%	24.2%
0 - 4	7.4%	4.3%	7.2%	6.1%
5 - 9	10.2%	5.1%	7.2%	6.6%
10 - 14	11.3%	7.7%	7.3%	7.3%
15 - 17	7%	3.1%	4.4%	4.2%
18 - 24	9.3%	10.5%	8.0%	7.9%
25 - 44	30.6%	31%	24.8%	24.3%
45 - 64	16.7%	24.2%	27.4%	25.6%
65 or older	7.6%	14.2%	13.7%	17.9%
65 - 84	7.4%	11.6%	11.6%	16%
85 or older	0.2%	2.6%	2.1%	2.0%
Median[4] Age (years)	29	38.0	38.2	39.5
Age Dependency[a,5]	77.0	52.4	66.1	72.8
Old-Age	13.5	21.6	22.8	31.0
Child	63.5	30.7	43.4	41.9

[a]*Age Dependency Ratio*

Conesville, Iowa Population Pyramid,[a] 2020[1]

[a]*Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.*

The median household income in Conesville is greater than the median household income of Muscatine County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Conesville than for Muscatine County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Conesville than there is for Muscatine County. Many housing units in Conesville were built between 1960 to 1979.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	198	+/- 69	17,075	+/- 228
Less than $34,999	20.1%	+/- 4.9%	21.9%	+/- 2.2%
$35,000 To $49,999	24.7%	+/- 14.7%	13.8%	+/- 1.8%
$50,000 To $99,999	26.8%	+/- 3.9%	32.7%	+/- 2.0%
$100,000 Or More	28.3%	+/- 5.1%	31.7%	+/- 2.1%
Median[4] Household Income	$68,750	+/- $44,974	$67,427	+/- $3,296
Median Family Income	$86,071	+/- $27,854	$82,076	+/- $5,155
Per Capita Income	$30,590	+/- $7,331	$34,055	+/- $1,278

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	239	+/- 94	18,386	+/- 41
Vacant	17.2%	+/- 18.8%	7.1%	+/- 1.3%
Occupied	82.8%	+/- 18.8%	92.9%	+/- 1.3%
Occupied[a]	198	+/- 69	17,075	+/- 228
Owner	71.7%	+/- 12.9%	75.4%	+/- 2.1%
Renter	28.3%	+/- 12.9%	24.6%	+/- 2.1%
Rent[b]	$875	+/- $160	$936	+/- $41
Value[c]	$**	+/-$**	$159,000	+/-$5,347

[a]Occupied Units, [b]Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, [c]Median value of owner-occupied units

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	322	+/-110	28,939	+/-67
High School Graduate or Higher	79.2%	+/-9.6%	90.1%	+/-1.3%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	**%	+/-**%	23.2%	+/-2.1%

*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates[2] which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.
**MOE is high, use county estimate.

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022[2]

In Conesville there is a higher percentage of workers in production, transportation, and material moving occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 43.5% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	255	+/- 99	21,262	+/- 530
Management, business, science, and arts	**%	+/- **%	30.7%	+/- 2.2%
Service	**%	+/- **%	16.3%	+/- 1.7%
Sales and office	**%	+/- **%	15.7%	+/- 1.7%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	8.9%	+/- 1.4%
Production, transportation, and material moving	43.5%	+/- 15.1%	28.4%	+/- 2.0%

Conesville has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Muscatine County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Conesville tend to be higher than the median earnings for Muscatine County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ [a]	287	+/- 106	23,498	+/- 512
Median Earnings	$43,438	+/- $43	$39,595	+/- $1,782
Female	$34,583	+/- $11,746	$32,345	+/- $1,740
Female, full time[b]	$38,750	+/- $16,620	$41,895	+/- $3,060
Male	$53,750	+/- $16,475	$48,124	+/- $2,299
Male, full time[b]	$56,283	+/- $7,459	$58,265	+/- $3,032

[a] with earnings, [b] year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population[a]	467	+/-157	42,201	+/-80
With a disability[b]	**%	+/-**%	13.0%	+/-1.0%
With Health Insurance	90.1%	+/-8.6%	96.2%	+/-0.9%
With Private[c] Coverage	62.1%	+/-14.0%	73.9%	+/-2.4%
With Public[d] Coverage	37.5%	+/-12.9%	37.2%	+/-1.9%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.8%	+/-0.9%

[a]Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, [b]limitations of activities or inability for full participation, [c]provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, [d]includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates[2] which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	357	+/- 117	33,886	+/- 122
in labor force	76.2%	+/- 10.4%	65.3%	+/- 1.4%
not in labor force	23.8%	+/- 10.4%	34.7%	+/- 1.4%
Civilian labor force	272	+/- 104	22,043	+/- 518
Unemployed	6.3%	+/- 6.9%	3.5%	+/- 0.9%

**MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022[2]

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population[a]	464	+/-158	42,138	+/-99
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	11.7%	+/-1.9%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	16.0%	+/-4.2%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	10.9%	+/-1.9%
65+	**%	+/-**%	8.4%	+/-2.3%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	9.8%	+/-2.1%
with children	**%	+/-**%	16.7%	+/-4.1%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.4%	+/-2.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH[b]	**%	+/-**%	28.6%	+/-6.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	38.4%	+/-10.6%

[a] for whom poverty status is determined, [b] female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.