


# Data for Decision Makers



## Lohrville, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Lohrville is 381. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 3.5%. The City of Lohrville is in Calhoun County. Calhoun County saw a 2.7% population increase between 2010 and 2020.

Calhoun County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


City	2000	2010	2020
<b>Lohrville</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>368</b>	<b>381</b>
Male Total	206	185	197
Female Total	225	183	184
Farnhamville <sup>†</sup>	430	371	383
Jolley	54	41	28
Knierim	70	60	53
Lake City	1,787	1,727	1,731
Lytton <sup>†</sup>	305	315	282
Manson	1,893	1,690	1,709
Pomeroy	710	662	526
Rinard	72	52	38
Rockwell City	2,264	1,709	2,240
Somers	165	113	128
Yetter	36	34	19
Calhoun County Total	11,115	9,670	9,927
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Churdan	-1.2%	-7.7%	-5.4%
Farnhamville	3.9%	-13.7%	3.2%
Lake City	-2.9%	-3.4%	0.2%
Lanesboro	-16.5%	-20.4%	-1.7%
Rinard	1.4%	-27.8%	-26.9%
Lohrville	-4.9%	-14.6%	3.5%
Calhoun County	-3.4%	13%	2.7%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### Regional Director

Terry Janssen  
209 Centennial Dr., Ste A  
Cherokee, IA 51012  
tjans@iastate.edu  
712-225-6196

#### County Office

Caitlyn Butler  
325 Court St.  
Rockwell City, IA 50579  
cnbutler@iastate.edu  
712-297-8611

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

# Demographic Profile

# Lohrville, Iowa

Lohrville's population is predominantly White. The City of Lohrville, compared to Calhoun County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

**Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>**

Race Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	98.9%	96.9%	99.4%	97.2%
White	97.6%	94.0%	98.5%	94.3%
White alone, not Hispanic	97.3%	94.0%	97.9%	93.3%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	1.5%
Asian	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Other	0.8%	2.6%	0.2%	0.8%
Two or more races	1.1%	3.1%	0.6%	2.8%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	1.4%	2.6%	0.9%	2.4%

<sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

**Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	167	169	4,242	4,070
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	56.9%	62.1%	65.5%	63.3%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	41.9%	45.6%	54.5%	51.2%
With own children	15.0%	17.8%	16.9%	16.7%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	15.0%	16.6%	11.0%	12.2%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	6.0%	5.3%	4.0%	4.0%
With own children	4.2%	1.8%	2.6%	2.2%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	9.0%	11.2%	7.0%	8.2%
With own children	5.4%	5.3%	4.8%	4.6%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	43.1%	37.9%	34.5%	36.7%
Male living alone	19.2%	20.7%	13.7%	15.6%
Female living alone	19.2%	17.8%	17.8%	16.7%

**Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	167	169	4,242	4,070
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	41.9%	45.6%	54.5%	51.2%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	11.2%	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.7%
Male householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	23.7%	NA <sup>e</sup>	18.5%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	24.9%	NA <sup>e</sup>	23.6%


<sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

**Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>**

Age Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	24.5%	29.7%	21.2%	21.4%
0 - 4	9.2%	7.6%	5.7%	5.4%
5 - 9	5.4%	10.0%	6.0%	6.2%
10 - 14	6%	9.4%	5.7%	6.2%
15 - 17	3.9%	2.7%	3.8%	3.6%
18 - 24	7.9%	4.4%	6.3%	5.8%
25 - 44	23.1%	20.7%	19.1%	23.4%
45 - 64	26.4%	25.5%	29.7%	26.2%
65 or older	18.2%	19.7%	23.7%	23.1%
65 - 84	14.9%	17.2%	19.1%	19.6%
85 or older	3.3%	2.4%	4.6%	3.5%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	40.3	38.1	47.8	44.3
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	74.5	97.6	81.5	80.3
Old-Age	31.8	38.9	43.0	41.7
Child	42.8	58.7	38.5	38.6

<sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

**Lohrville, Iowa Population Pyramid,<sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Lohrville, Iowa

The median household income in Lohrville is less than the median household income of Calhoun County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Lohrville than for Calhoun County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Lohrville than there is for Calhoun County. Many housing units in Lohrville were built prior to 1940. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Lohrville compared to Calhoun County.

**Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	137	+/- 29	3,852	+/- 144
Less than \$34,999	31.4%	+/- 4.8%	27.4%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	22.6%	+/- 8.4%	10.6%	+/- 1.7%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	27.0%	+/- 3.7%	33.8%	+/- 2.1%
\$100,000 Or More	19.0%	+/- 3.5%	28.2%	+/- 2.2%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$46,771	+/- \$3,229	\$65,614	+/- \$2,696
Median Family Income	\$76,563	+/- \$14,285	\$79,333	+/- \$4,075
Per Capita Income	\$29,377	+/- \$5,136	\$34,490	+/- \$2,202

**Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	235	+/-47	7,078	+/-31
High School Graduate or Higher	92.3%	+/-3.6%	93.9%	+/-1.3%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	9.8%	+/-4.8%	21.4%	+/-2.3%

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.


\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	171	+/- 35	4,794	+/- 28
Vacant	19.9%	+/- 10.3%	19.6%	+/- 3.0%
Occupied	80.1%	+/- 10.3%	80.4%	+/- 3.0%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	137	+/- 29	3,852	+/- 144
Owner	77.4%	+/- 9.6%	78.7%	+/- 2.5%
Renter	22.6%	+/- 9.6%	21.3%	+/- 2.5%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$731	+/- \$250	\$696	+/- \$29
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$51,300	+/- \$23,884	\$104,900	+/- \$7,523

<sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

**Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**



In Lohrville there is a higher percentage of workers in production, transportation, and material moving occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 28.8% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

**Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	163	+/- 41	4,237	+/- 149
Management, business, science, and arts	19.6%	+/- 7.8%	37.5%	+/- 3.1%
Service	25.2%	+/- 9.6%	17.9%	+/- 2.7%
Sales and office	16.0%	+/- 6.6%	17.2%	+/- 2.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	***%	+/- ***%	13.1%	+/- 2.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving	28.8%	+/- 8.9%	14.3%	+/- 2.3%

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Lohrville, Iowa

Lohrville has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Calhoun County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Lohrville tend to be higher than the median earnings for Calhoun County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

### Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	168	+/- 42	5,058	+/- 176
Median Earnings	\$37,500	+/- \$37	\$36,573	+/- \$2,165
Female	\$35,750	+/- \$5,228	\$32,815	+/- \$1,925
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$39,643	+/- \$5,498	\$39,794	+/- \$2,309
Male	\$45,417	+/- \$10,981	\$45,156	+/- \$3,952
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$56,250	+/- \$13,761	\$56,875	+/- \$2,526

<sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	334	+/-83	9,217	+/-27
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	17.7%	+/-5.4%	14.2%	+/-1.4%
With Health Insurance	99.7%	+/-0.7%	96.3%	+/-0.8%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	72.2%	+/-9.5%	71.0%	+/-2.3%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	41.9%	+/-10.6%	46.5%	+/-2.6%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.7%	+/-0.8%

<sup>a</sup>Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some military plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	265	+/- 55	7,957	+/- 43
in labor force	61.5%	+/- 7.6%	54.7%	+/- 1.8%
not in labor force	38.5%	+/- 7.6%	45.3%	+/- 1.8%
Civilian labor force	163	+/- 41	4,355	+/- 141
Unemployed	0.0%	+/- 14.2%	2.7%	+/- 1.1%

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	333	+/-83	9,204	+/-28
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	9%	+/-1.6%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	8.7%	+/-3.4%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	9.4%	+/-1.7%
65+	**%	+/-**%	8.6%	+/-2.4%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	6.2%	+/-1.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	8.8%	+/-3.2%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	2.9%	+/-1.2%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	28.9%	+/-7.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	31.3%	+/-12.8%

<sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Notes and Source Information:

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

<sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.

<sup>4</sup> Median is the middle value of a distribution.

<sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist  
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist  
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist  
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to [www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext).