Data for Decision Makers



Tabor, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

Population profile

The 2020 population for Tabor is 1,014. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 2.5%. The City of Tabor is in Fremont County. Fremont County saw a 11.2% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Fremont	County	Cities -	Total	Population ¹


Fremont County Cities - Total Population							
City	2000	2010	2020				
Tabor [†]	993	1,040	1,014				
Male Total	455	502	483				
Female Total	538	538	531				
Farragut	509	485	490				
Hamburg	1,240	1,187	890				
Imogene	66	72	39				
Randolph	209	168	189				
Riverton	304	304	245				
Shenandoah [†]	5,546	5,150	4,925				
Sidney	1,300	1,138	1,070				
Thurman	236	229	167				
Fremont County Total	8,010	7,441	6,605				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

 $^{^\}dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Malvern	3.8%	-9.1%	-8.4%
Pacific Junction	-7.5%	-7.1%	-79.6%
Randolph	-14.0%	-19.6%	12.5%
Sidney	3.8%	-12.5%	-6.0%
Thurman	-1.3%	-3.0%	-27.1%
Tabor	3.8%	4.7%	-2.5%
Fremont County	-2.6%	7.1%	-11.2%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%





Regional Director
Julie Hering-Kent
1901 N Broadway, Ste A
Red Oak, IA 51566
jkent@iastate.edu
712-623-2592

County Office Mandy Maher 610 Clay St. Sidney, IA 51652 mmaher@iastate.edu 712-374-2351

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Tabor's population is predominantly White. The City of Tabor, compared to Fremont County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.³

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.5%	96.0%	99.1%	95.7%
White	98.5%	93.5%	96.9%	93.9%
White alone, not Hispanic	97.3%	93.2%	95.7%	93.0%
Black or African American	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Asian	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
Other	0.1%	1.5%	1.1%	1.1%
Two or more races	0.5%	4.0%	0.9%	4.3%
Hispanic origin ^a	2.0%	2.3%	2.5%	2.9%

^a Hispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

			**		
		City	C	County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020	
Total households	418	386	3,064	2,720	
Family households ^{a,b}	65.1%	70.2%	68.0%	66.5%	
Married couple family ^b	50.2%	51.8%	54.5%	53.2%	
With own children	17.0%	20.2%	17.7%	16.4%	
Other family ^c	14.8%	18.4%	13.5%	13.2%	
Male householder ^c	4.8%	5.2%	4.9%	5.4%	
With own children	3.1%	3.9%	3.2%	3.5%	
Female householder ^c	10.0%	13.2%	8.6%	7.8%	
With own children	8.1%	9.1%	5.1%	3.9%	
Nonfamily households ^d	34.9%	29.8%	32.0%	33.5%	
Male living alone	10.8%	11.1%	12.1%	13.8%	
Female living alone	20.8%	13.5%	15.2%	13.7%	

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	418	386	3,064	2,720
Married couple ^b	50.2%	51.8%	54.5%	53.2%
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	7.8%	NA ^e	7.8%
Male householder g	NA ^e	15.0%	NA^e	18.5%
Female householder g	NA ^e	25.4%	NA ^e	20.4%


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

	(City	Co	unty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	23.2%	26.6%	22.8%	22.5%
0 - 4	7.9%	7.0%	6.3%	5.4%
5 - 9	5.2%	6.1%	5.7%	6.1%
10 - 14	6.3%	9.0%	6.3%	7.1%
15 - 17	3.8%	4.5%	4.5%	3.9%
18 - 24	6.3%	6.2%	6.5%	5.7%
25 - 44	21.3%	21.8%	20.5%	20.9%
45 - 64	27.1%	23.4%	30.6%	27.8%
65 or older	22.2%	21.9%	19.6%	23.2%
65 - 84	16.6%	17.9%	16.5%	20.3%
85 or older	5.6%	4.0%	3.1%	2.9%
Median ⁴ Age (years)	44.3	41.1	45.1	45.7
Age Dependency ^{a, 5}	83.2	94.4	73.6	84.0
Old-Age	40.7	42.6	34.0	42.6
Child	42.5	51.8	39.6	41.4

^aAge Dependency Ratio

Tabor, Iowa Population Pyramid, a 20201



^aEach bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Tabor is less than the median household income of Fremont County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is higher in Tabor than for Fremont County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Tabor than there is for Fremont County. Many housing units in Tabor were built prior to 1940. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Tabor compared to Fremont County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	371	+/- 57	2,736	+/- 86
Less than \$34,999	18.1%	+/- 3.5%	23.0%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	12.7%	+/- 7.1%	12.3%	+/- 2.6%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	42.3%	+/- 4.1%	33.7%	+/- 2.4%
\$100,000 Or More	27.0%	+/- 3.8%	31.1%	+/- 2.6%
Median ⁴ Household Income	\$66,314	+/- \$4,726	\$69,375	+/- \$4,700
Median Family Income	\$72,250	+/- \$16,327	\$83,844	+/- \$4,112
Per Capita Income	\$30,958	+/- \$3,799	\$37,236	+/- \$2,670

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	C	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	696	+/-115	4,738	+/-43	
High School Graduate or Higher	94.7%	+/-3.1%	94.9%	+/-1.2%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	17.5%	+/-4.6%	19.2%	+/-2.3%	


^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	417	+/- 60	3,144	+/- 32
Vacant	11.0%	+/- 5.9%	13.0%	+/- 2.7%
Occupied	89.0%	+/- 5.9%	87.0%	+/- 2.7%
Occupied ^a	371	+/- 57	2,736	+/- 86
Owner	77.4%	+/- 7.9%	78.1%	+/- 2.8%
Renter	22.6%	+/- 7.9%	21.9%	+/- 2.8%
Rent ^b	\$**	+/- \$**	\$709	+/- \$78
Value ^c	\$172,700	+/-\$19,955	\$140,400	+/-\$11,245

^aOccupied Units, ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units, ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



In Tabor there is a higher percentage of workers in service occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up **% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	465	+/- 126	3,129	+/- 135
Management, business, science, and arts	27.7%	+/- 8.9%	36.6%	+/- 3.3%
Service	31.0%	+/- 9.8%	19.4%	+/- 2.9%
Sales and office	20.0%	+/- 6.7%	15.4%	+/- 2.0%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	11.6%	+/- 2.2%
Production, transportation, and material moving	**%	+/- **%	17.0%	+/- 2.4%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Tabor has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Fremont County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Tabor tend to be lower than the median earnings for Fremont County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

		City	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	487	+/- 128	3,466	+/- 140
Median Earnings	\$41,250	+/- \$41	\$42,444	+/- \$3,963
Female	\$31,500	+/- \$11,119	\$35,379	+/- \$3,569
Female, full time ^b	\$49,688	+/- \$4,623	\$46,292	+/- \$3,145
Male	\$50,139	+/- \$8,666	\$47,242	+/- \$4,030
Male, full time ^b	\$51,528	+/- \$8,629	\$57,095	+/- \$2,070

^a with earnings, ^b year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population ^a	942	+/-153	6,503	+/-29
With a disability ^b	16.2%	+/-4.4%	14.4%	+/-1.6%
With Health Insurance	95.5%	+/-2.9%	96.2%	+/-1.2%
With Private ^c Coverage	69.0%	+/-7.0%	72.2%	+/-3.1%
With Public ^d Coverage	46.5%	+/-7.6%	41.3%	+/-2.8%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.8%	+/-1.2%

^aCivilan Noninstitutionalized Population, ^blimitations of activities or inability for full participation, ^cprovided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, ^dincludes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	798	+/- 129	5,375	+/- 44
in labor force	59.0%	+/- 8.5%	59.6%	+/- 2.6%
not in labor force	41.0%	+/- 8.5%	40.4%	+/- 2.6%
Civilian labor force	471	+/- 128	3,182	+/- 134
Unemployed	1.3%	+/- 1.8%	1.7%	+/- 0.8%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population ^a	939	+/-154	6,488	+/-31
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	7.5%	+/-1.7%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	8.1%	+/-1.7%
65+	**%	+/-**%	6.6%	+/-2.5%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	5.0%	+/-1.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.1%	+/-1.8%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH ^b	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%

^a for whom poverty status is determined, ^b female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

- ¹U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- ²U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- ³For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- ⁴Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- ⁵The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.

^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.