


# Data for Decision Makers



## Radcliffe, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Radcliffe is 555. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 1.8%. The City of Radcliffe is in Hardin County. Hardin County saw a 3.7% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.


**Hardin County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>**

City	2000	2010	2020
<b>Radcliffe</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>545</b>	<b>555</b>
Male Total	290	266	265
Female Total	317	279	290
Ackley†	1,809	1,589	1,599
Alden	904	787	763
Buckeye	110	108	86
Eldora	3,035	2,732	2,663
Hubbard	885	845	860
Iowa Falls	5,193	5,238	5,106
New Providence	227	228	236
Owasa	38	43	34
Steamboat Rock	336	310	264
Union	427	397	399
Whitten	160	149	100
Hardin County Total	18,812	17,534	16,878
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

**Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Buckeye	4.8%	-1.8%	-20.4%
Ellsworth	17.7%	0.0%	-4.3%
Hubbard	8.7%	-4.5%	1.8%
Jewell Junction	12.0%	-1.9%	0.1%
Randall	-8.1%	16.9%	-11.0%
Radcliffe	5.7%	-10.2%	1.8%
Hardin County	-1.5%	6.8%	-3.7%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

**City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### Regional Director

Anne Tedore  
2608 South 2nd St., Ste E  
Marshalltown, IA 50158  
atedore@iastate.edu  
641-752-1551

#### County Office

Missy Crawford  
831 S. Oak St., Ste A  
Iowa Falls, IA 50126  
meljcraw@iastate.edu  
641-648-4850

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

# Demographic Profile

# Radcliffe, Iowa

Radcliffe's population is predominantly White. The City of Radcliffe, compared to Hardin County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

**Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>**

Race Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.8%	94.8%	98.9%	95.7%
White	96.1%	91.4%	95.8%	91.6%
White alone, not Hispanic	93.9%	90.6%	93.7%	90.6%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.2%	1.2%	0.9%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	3.5%	2.7%	1.2%	2.4%
Two or more races	0.2%	5.2%	1.1%	4.3%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	5.7%	4.1%	3.7%	4.8%

<sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

**Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	243	239	7,296	7,070
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	64.6%	73.5%	64.5%	62.8%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	56.4%	59.3%	53.0%	50.1%
With own children	21.4%	18.4%	17.8%	16.4%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	8.2%	16.3%	11.5%	12.7%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	4.5%	5.9%	4.0%	4.8%
With own children	4.1%	4.2%	2.7%	3.0%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	3.7%	10.5%	7.5%	7.9%
With own children	2.5%	6.3%	5.1%	4.6%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	35.4%	26.5%	35.5%	37.2%
Male living alone	12.3%	14.6%	13.3%	15.5%
Female living alone	20.2%	16.7%	17.9%	17.0%

**Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	243	239	7,296	7,070
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	56.4%	59.3%	53.0%	50.1%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.4%	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.2%
Male householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.9%	NA <sup>e</sup>	19.9%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	25.9%	NA <sup>e</sup>	23.8%


<sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

**Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>**

Age Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	23.9%	27.9%	23.1%	22.6%
0 - 4	6.4%	8.3%	6.0%	5.4%
5 - 9	7.2%	7.7%	5.9%	6.0%
10 - 14	6.8%	7.4%	6.4%	7.0%
15 - 17	3.5%	4.5%	4.8%	4.2%
18 - 24	4%	6.3%	8.1%	7.5%
25 - 44	23.1%	23.8%	20.2%	21.3%
45 - 64	26.1%	25.9%	27.6%	25.4%
65 or older	22.9%	16.0%	21.0%	23.2%
65 - 84	17.6%	12.9%	16.9%	19.3%
85 or older	5.3%	3.1%	4.1%	3.9%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	43.5	37.9	43.7	43.5
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	88.0	78.4	78.8	84.5
Old-Age	43.0	28.6	37.5	42.8
Child	44.9	49.8	41.3	41.7

<sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

**Radcliffe, Iowa Population Pyramid,<sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Radcliffe, Iowa

The median household income in Radcliffe is greater than the median household income of Hardin County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Radcliffe than for Hardin County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Radcliffe than there is for Hardin County. Many housing units in Radcliffe were built prior to 1940.

**Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	234	+/- 42	7,029	+/- 194
Less than \$34,999	23.5%	+/- 4.5%	28.6%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	17.9%	+/- 8.0%	12.0%	+/- 2.0%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	32.9%	+/- 3.9%	34.9%	+/- 2.2%
\$100,000 Or More	25.6%	+/- 3.5%	24.3%	+/- 2.0%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$66,250	+/- \$28,113	\$64,656	+/- \$4,778
Median Family Income	\$84,250	+/- \$28,307	\$80,857	+/- \$3,540
Per Capita Income	\$32,526	+/- \$6,197	\$32,181	+/- \$1,328

**Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	429	+/-93	12,328	+/-63
High School Graduate or Higher	95.8%	+/-2.2%	93.4%	+/-1.1%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	***%	+/-***%	20.8%	+/-2.1%

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.


\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	265	+/- 51	8,031	+/- 44
Vacant	11.7%	+/- 9.8%	12.5%	+/- 2.3%
Occupied	88.3%	+/- 9.8%	87.5%	+/- 2.3%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	234	+/- 42	7,029	+/- 194
Owner	85.0%	+/- 8.0%	74.2%	+/- 2.7%
Renter	15.0%	+/- 8.0%	25.8%	+/- 2.7%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$517	+/- \$97	\$752	+/- \$71
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$97,600	+/- \$12,269	\$109,300	+/- \$5,249

<sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

**Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**



In Radcliffe there is a higher percentage of workers in sales and office occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 20.7% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

**Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	271	+/- 55	8,378	+/- 281
Management, business, science, and arts	20.3%	+/- 7.5%	31.9%	+/- 2.8%
Service	13.7%	+/- 6.6%	15.6%	+/- 2.0%
Sales and office	30.3%	+/- 9.3%	19.7%	+/- 2.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	15.1%	+/- 7.0%	15.7%	+/- 2.3%
Production, transportation, and material moving	20.7%	+/- 7.2%	17.1%	+/- 1.9%

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Radcliffe, Iowa

Radcliffe has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Hardin County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Radcliffe tend to be higher than the median earnings for Hardin County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

### Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	314	+/- 66	9,232	+/- 253
Median Earnings	\$43,269	+/- \$43	\$37,792	+/- \$1,853
Female	\$40,800	+/- \$18,562	\$31,448	+/- \$3,540
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$47,552	+/- \$9,077	\$42,258	+/- \$2,681
Male	\$46,750	+/- \$8,763	\$43,511	+/- \$2,350
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$47,125	+/- \$14,518	\$47,515	+/- \$3,611

<sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	564	+/-132	16,393	+/-14
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	13.7%	+/-1.3%
With Health Insurance	96.5%	+/-2.4%	95.6%	+/-0.9%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	60.8%	+/-11.0%	71.7%	+/-2.1%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	47.9%	+/-12.9%	39.2%	+/-2.1%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	4.4%	+/-0.9%

<sup>a</sup>Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some military plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	446	+/- 96	14,104	+/- 55
in labor force	62.1%	+/- 10.4%	61.5%	+/- 2.0%
not in labor force	37.9%	+/- 10.4%	38.5%	+/- 2.0%
Civilian labor force	277	+/- 54	8,680	+/- 268
Unemployed	2.2%	+/- 2.1%	3.5%	+/- 1.1%

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	564	+/-132	16,112	+/-30
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	8.4%	+/-1.3%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	11.1%	+/-3.9%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	8.5%	+/-1.4%
65+	**%	+/-**%	5.9%	+/-2.0%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	5.2%	+/-1.6%
with children	**%	+/-**%	8.6%	+/-3.1%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	3.2%	+/-1.3%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	19.5%	+/-8.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	24.9%	+/-11.5%

<sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Notes and Source Information:

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

<sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.

<sup>4</sup> Median is the middle value of a distribution.

<sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist  
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist  
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist  
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to [www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext).