## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Lime Springs, Iowa **City Profile**

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Lime Springs is 473. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 6.3%. The City of Lime Springs is in Howard County. Howard County saw a 1.0% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

### **Howard County Cities - Total Population**<sup>1</sup>


City	2000	2010	2020
Lime Springs	496	505	473
Male Total	231	250	233
Female Total	265	255	240
Chester	151	127	139
Cresco	3,905	3,868	3,888
Elma	598	546	505
Protivin <sup>†</sup>	317	283	269
Riceville <sup>†</sup>	840	785	806
Howard County Total	9,932	9,566	9,469
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Chester	-4.4%	-15.9%	9.4%
Cresco	6.4%	-0.9%	0.5%
Elma	-8.4%	-8.7%	-7.5%
McIntire	17.7%	-29.5%	-7.4%
Riceville	1.6%	-6.5%	2.7%
Lime Springs	13.2%	1.8%	-6.3%
Howard County	1.3%	3.7%	-1.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

### City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



### **Regional Director**

2316 Sweet Parkway Rd. Decorah, IA 52101 bparendt@iastate.edu

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

**County Office** Bruce Arendt Susan Barnes 132 1st Ave. W Cresco, IA 52136 skbarnes@iastate.edu 563-382-2949 563-547-3001

### Demographic Profile

### Lime Springs, Iowa

Lime Springs's population is predominantly White. The City of Lime Springs, compared to Howard County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	100.0%	90.9%	99.2%	96.7%
White	99.4%	88.2%	98.1%	94.4%
White alone, not Hispanic	99.0%	87.1%	97.4%	93.9%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%
Asian	0.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	1.5%	0.1%	0.5%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	1.2%
Two or more races	0.0%	9.1%	0.8%	3.3%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	0.4%	7.6%	1.2%	2.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

•	•	•		
		City	C	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	238	220	3,944	3,945
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	60.1%	49.6%	64.6%	62.2%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	47.5%	35.5%	53.3%	50.7%
With own children	16.4%	15.9%	20.3%	18.6%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	12.6%	12.7%	11.3%	11.5%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	3.4%	6.8%	4.4%	5.5%
With own children	2.1%	4.5%	2.7%	3.5%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	9.2%	5.9%	6.9%	6.1%
With own children	6.3%	3.2%	4.8%	3.5%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	39.9%	50.4%	35.4%	37.8%
Male living alone	18.1%	16.8%	14.1%	16.9%
Female living alone	17.2%	17.7%	16.2%	16.1%

### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	238	220	3,944	3,945
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	47.5%	35.5%	53.3%	50.7%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.4%	$NA^e$	6.8%
Male householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	23.2%	$NA^e$	21.1%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	24.5%	NA <sup>e</sup>	21.4%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	23.4%	22%	25.2%	25.1%
0 - 4	5.1%	5.1%	7.2%	6.7%
5 - 9	8.3%	7.0%	6.8%	6.7%
10 - 14	5.7%	6.8%	6.7%	7.4%
15 - 17	4.3%	3.1%	4.5%	4.3%
18 - 24	5%	6.8%	6.5%	6.4%
25 - 44	21.2%	25.1%	21.7%	22.4%
45 - 64	27.9%	27.7%	27.3%	25%
65 or older	22.6%	18.4%	19.3%	21.3%
65 - 84	17.6%	16.4%	15.5%	17.7%
85 or older	5%	1.9%	3.8%	3.5%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	45.4	41.9	42.1	41.7
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	85.0	67.8	80.2	86.2
Old-Age	41.8	30.9	34.8	39.6
Child	43.3	36.9	45.4	46.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

### Lime Springs, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

### Socioeconomic Profile

### Lime Springs, Iowa

The median household income in Lime Springs is greater than the median household income of Howard County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Lime Springs than for Howard County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Lime Springs than there is for Howard County. Many housing units in Lime Springs were built prior to 1940.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	184	+/- 40	3,636	+/- 183
Less than \$34,999	19.6%	+/- 4.4%	23.2%	+/- 2.8%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	19.6%	+/- 10.1%	13.8%	+/- 3.3%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	46.1%	+/- 4.4%	36.4%	+/- 2.5%
\$100,000 Or More	14.6%	+/- 3.4%	26.5%	+/- 2.6%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$64,167	+/- \$12,922	\$63,947	+/- \$6,909
Median Family Income	\$69,141	+/- \$7,723	\$81,250	+/- \$6,353
Per Capita Income	\$27,728	+/- \$4,219	\$32,033	+/- \$3,035

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	301	+/-58	6,381	+/-47	
High School Graduate or Higher	91.4%	+/-5.0%	92%	+/-1.9%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	**%	+/-**%	19.2%	+/-3.2%	


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	257	+/- 76	4,307	+/- 48
Vacant	28.4%	+/- 20.2%	15.6%	+/- 3.9%
Occupied	71.6%	+/- 20.2%	84.4%	+/- 3.9%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	184	+/- 40	3,636	+/- 183
Owner	91.3%	+/- 7.1%	76.2%	+/- 3.9%
Renter	8.7%	+/- 7.1%	23.8%	+/- 3.9%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$538	+/- \$155	\$708	+/- \$122
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$96,700	+/-\$14,514	\$125,100	+/-\$10,204

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Lime Springs there is a higher percentage of workers in production, transportation, and material moving occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 35.7% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	227	+/- 52	4,722	+/- 182
Management, business, science, and arts	11.9%	+/- 5.7%	27.5%	+/- 3.7%
Service	15.0%	+/- 6.6%	11.2%	+/- 2.4%
Sales and office	22.9%	+/- 9.2%	20.0%	+/- 3.1%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	16.7%	+/- 3.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving	35.7%	+/- 11.3%	24.6%	+/- 3.9%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Socioeconomic Profile

### Lime Springs, Iowa

Lime Springs has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Howard County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Lime Springs tend to be lower than the median earnings for Howard County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	244	+/- 53	4,995	+/- 182
Median Earnings	\$35,375	+/- \$35	\$36,635	+/- \$1,594
Female	\$35,313	+/- \$2,041	\$32,926	+/- \$2,577
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$37,063	+/- \$1,916	\$40,388	+/- \$3,803
Male	\$37,679	+/- \$8,829	\$40,927	+/- \$1,860
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$40,313	+/- \$7,660	\$50,735	+/- \$3,215

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Co	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population <sup>a</sup>	443	+/-97	9,293	+/-8	
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	10.4%	+/-1.6%	
With Health Insurance	95.9%	+/-4.0%	90.2%	+/-2.8%	
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	78.8%	+/-8.8%	69.0%	+/-4.3%	
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	40.4%	+/-13.4%	38.2%	+/-3.1%	
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	9.8%	+/-2.8%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

City		County	
Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
330	+/- 59	7,327	+/- 49
70.3%	+/- 8.9%	65.4%	+/- 2.6%
29.7%	+/- 8.9%	34.6%	+/- 2.6%
232	+/- 53	4,792	+/- 189
2.2%	+/- 3.5%	1.5%	+/- 0.9%
	330 70.3% 29.7% 232	Estimate MOE*  330 +/- 59  70.3% +/- 8.9%  29.7% +/- 8.9%  232 +/- 53	Estimate         MOE*         Estimate           330         +/- 59         7,327           70.3%         +/- 8.9%         65.4%           29.7%         +/- 8.9%         34.6%           232         +/- 53         4,792

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	443	+/-97	9,276	+/-20
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	8.7%	+/-3%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	9.1%	+/-3.3%
65+	**%	+/-**%	5.9%	+/-2.8%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	34.0%	+/-16.8%
with children	**%	+/-**%	40.5%	+/-19.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup>For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.