Data for Decision Makers



Britt, Iowa **City Profile**

report created: 12/17/2024

Population profile


The 2020 population for Britt is 2,044. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 1.2%. The City of Britt is in Hancock County. Hancock County saw a 4.8% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Hancock County Cities - Total Population ¹						
City	2000	2010	2020			
Britt	2,052	2,069	2,044			
Male Total	961	962	984			
Female Total	1,091	1,107	1,060			
Corwith	350	309	266			
Crystal Lake	285	250	253			
Forest City [†]	4,362	4,151	4,285			
Garner	2,922	3,129	3,065			
Goodell	174	139	140			
Kanawha	739	652	658			
Klemme	593	507	441			
Woden	243	229	188			
Hancock County Total	12,100	11,341	10,795			
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369			

[†]City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Corwith	-1.1%	-11.7%	-13.9%
Crystal Lake	7.1%	-12.3%	1.2%
Garner	0.2%	7.1%	-2.0%
Wesley	5.2%	-16.5%	0.3%
Woden	-6.2%	-5.8%	-17.9%
Britt	-3.8%	0.8%	-1.2%
Hancock County	-4.3%	6.3%	-4.8%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%



Regional Director	County Office
Kristy Brunsvold	Kristy Bunsvold
1121 Hwy 18 E	327 W 8th St.
Algona, IA 5011	Garner, IA 50438
kristy@iastate.edu	kristyb@iastate.edu
515-295-2469	641-923-2856
https://indicators.extens	ion.iastate.edu

Britt's population is predominantly White. The City of Britt, compared to Hancock County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.³

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

	C	City	Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	98.7%	93.2%	99.1%	95.3%
White	93.1%	89.7%	96.6%	92.3%
White alone, not Hispanic	90.5%	88.4%	94.7%	90.9%
Black or African American	0.3%	1.3%	0.5%	0.7%
Asian	0.6%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	4.5%	1.8%	1.4%	1.7%
Two or more races	1.3%	6.8%	0.9%	4.7%
Hispanic origin ^a	7.7%	8.6%	3.5%	5.7%

^a Hispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

		•	• •	
		City	C	County
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	886	891	4,741	4,585
Family households ^{a,b}	61.7%	69.0%	67.2%	66.5%
Married couple family ^b	50.9%	57.3%	56.8%	54.9%
With own children	19.5%	13.9%	20.0%	17.7%
Other family ^c	10.8%	13.2%	10.3%	11.6%
Male householder ^c	2.9%	4.2%	3.5%	4.2%
With own children	1.9%	2.7%	2.2%	2.7%
Female householder ^c	7.9%	9.1%	6.8%	7.4%
With own children	4.6%	5.9%	4.6%	4.4%
Nonfamily households ^d	38.3%	31.0%	32.8%	33.5%
Male living alone	12.5%	14.9%	13.3%	14.4%
Female living alone	22.6%	20.4%	15.4%	14.7%

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	886	891	4,741	4,585
Married couple ^b	50.9%	57.3%	56.8%	54.9%
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	7.2%	NA ^e	6.3%
Male householder g	NA ^e	18.5%	NA^e	18.2%
Female householder g	NA ^e	27.7%	NA ^e	20.6%


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

	(City	Co	unty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	24.6%	20.4%	23.4%	22.1%
0 - 4	7%	5.1%	6.0%	5.6%
5 - 9	6.8%	5.9%	6.4%	6.1%
10 - 14	6.8%	5.3%	6.7%	6.5%
15 - 17	4%	4.1%	4.3%	3.9%
18 - 24	4.7%	6%	5.8%	6.2%
25 - 44	22%	20.4%	20.9%	21.1%
45 - 64	24.7%	23.3%	30.7%	26.4%
65 or older	24%	30.0%	19.1%	24.1%
65 - 84	17.7%	22.1%	15.5%	20.2%
85 or older	6.3%	7.9%	3.6%	3.9%
Median ⁴ Age (years)	43.7	47.8	44.8	45.4
Age Dependency ^{a, 5}	94.6	101.4	73.9	86.0
Old-Age	46.7	60.4	33.2	44.9
Child	47.9	41.0	40.7	41.2

^aAge Dependency Ratio

Britt, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020



^aEach bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

Socioeconomic Profile

The median household income in Britt is less than the median household income of Hancock County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Britt than for Hancock County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Britt than there is for Hancock County. Many housing units in Britt were built between 1940 to 1959. There is a higher percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Britt compared to Hancock County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	831	+/- 116	4,582	+/- 111
Less than \$34,999	25.4%	+/- 3.9%	21.7%	+/- 2.6%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	17.8%	+/- 6.0%	14.7%	+/- 2.2%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	37.8%	+/- 3.5%	36.0%	+/- 2.3%
\$100,000 Or More	19.1%	+/- 3.3%	27.4%	+/- 2.5%
Median ⁴ Household Income	\$59,805	+/- \$4,250	\$67,865	+/- \$3,153
Median Family Income	\$70,417	+/- \$16,817	\$82,196	+/- \$6,764
Per Capita Income	\$31,410	+/- \$3,710	\$35,033	+/- \$2,252

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	(City		unty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	1,364	+/-160	7,683	+/-56
High School Graduate or Higher	87.3%	+/-3.8%	91.3%	+/-1.7%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	22.6%	+/-5.5%	20.2%	+/-2.4%


^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

	(City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	955	+/- 111	5,127	+/- 29
Vacant	13.0%	+/- 6.0%	10.6%	+/- 2.1%
Occupied	87.0%	+/- 6.0%	89.4%	+/- 2.1%
Occupied ^a	831	+/- 116	4,582	+/- 111
Owner	84.1%	+/- 5.3%	81.4%	+/- 2.6%
Renter	15.9%	+/- 5.3%	18.6%	+/- 2.6%
Rent ^b	\$1180	+/- \$299	\$782	+/- \$145
Value ^c	\$96,000	+/-\$8,186	\$116,000	+/-\$8,691

^aOccupied Units, ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units, ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



In Britt there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 24.4% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	893	+/- 169	5,576	+/- 172
Management, business, science, and arts	31.2%	+/- 6.6%	34.0%	+/- 3.5%
Service	12.2%	+/- 4.3%	14.5%	+/- 2.5%
Sales and office	16.0%	+/- 6.6%	15.2%	+/- 2.2%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	16.1%	+/- 6.2%	13.4%	+/- 2.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving	24.4%	+/- 7.5%	22.9%	+/- 3.4%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Britt has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Hancock County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Britt tend to be lower than the median earnings for Hancock County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

	(City	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	966	+/- 164	5,983	+/- 165
Median Earnings	\$39,722	+/- \$39	\$42,180	+/- \$2,137
Female	\$30,956	+/- \$6,151	\$35,568	+/- \$3,583
Female, full time ^b	\$44,400	+/- \$4,797	\$44,620	+/- \$1,934
Male	\$44,435	+/- \$2,477	\$45,226	+/- \$1,803
Male, full time ^b	\$46,049	+/- \$1,451	\$51,305	+/- \$2,643

^a with earnings, ^b year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population ^a	1,813	+/-247	10,585	+/-41
With a disability ^b	15.3%	+/-3.7%	14.8%	+/-1.9%
With Health Insurance	97.7%	+/-1.5%	96.1%	+/-1.3%
With Private ^c Coverage	80.7%	+/-5.9%	77.0%	+/-2.2%
With Public ^d Coverage	36.1%	+/-6.4%	35.6%	+/-2.2%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.9%	+/-1.3%

^aCivilan Noninstitutionalized Population, ^blimitations of activities or inability for full participation, ^cprovided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, ^dincludes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	1,600	+/- 201	8,758	+/- 60
in labor force	57.8%	+/- 6.3%	65.0%	+/- 1.9%
not in labor force	42.2%	+/- 6.3%	35.0%	+/- 1.9%
Civilian labor force	925	+/- 178	5,697	+/- 164
Unemployed	3.5%	+/- 3.7%	2.1%	+/- 1.0%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population ^a	1,813	+/-247	10,551	+/-60
Below poverty	13.1%	+/-5.8%	9.9%	+/-2.1%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	9.5%	+/-4.5%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	9.0%	+/-2.5%
65+	**%	+/-**%	12.6%	+/-4.1%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	6.8%	+/-2.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	12.1%	+/-5.7%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH ^b	**%	+/-**%	31.0%	+/-12.3%
with children	63.5%	+/-30.0%	48.4%	+/-17.4%

^a for whom poverty status is determined, ^b female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

- ¹U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- ²U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- ³ For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- ⁴Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- ⁵The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.

^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.