Data for Decision Makers



Manly, Iowa **City Profile**

report created: 12/17/2024

Population profile

The 2020 population for Manly is 1,256. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 5.1%. The City of Manly is in Worth County. Worth County saw a 2.0% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.


Worth County Cities - Total Population¹

		ш т оршинон	
City	2000	2010	2020
Manly	1,342	1,323	1,256
Male Total	631	626	609
Female Total	711	697	647
Fertile	360	370	305
Grafton	290	252	216
Hanlontown	229	226	206
Joice	231	222	208
Kensett	280	266	257
Northwood	2,050	1,989	2,072
Worth County To	otal 7,909	7,598	7,443
State of Iowa Tot	tal 2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

[†]City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Grafton	2.8%	-13.1%	-14.3%
Hanlontown	18.7%	-1.3%	-8.8%
Kensett	-6.0%	-5.0%	-3.4%
Plymouth	-5.3%	-11.0%	-1.8%
Rock Falls	30.8%	-8.8%	-3.2%
Manly	-0.5%	-1.4%	-5.1%
Worth County	-1.0%	3.9%	-2.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%



Regional Director	County Office			
Sarah Merrifield	Lacey Waller			
601 S Illinois Ave.	1206 3rd Ave North			
Mason City, IA 50401	Northwood, IA 50459			
merrifie@iastate.edu	ljwaller@iastate.edu			
651-751-8112	641-324-1531			
https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu				

County

Manly's population is predominantly White. The City of Manly, compared to Worth County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.³

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.0%	96.0%	99.1%	96.5%
White	97.7%	93.8%	97.9%	94.6%
White alone, not Hispanic	95.8%	92.8%	96.5%	93.3%
Black or African American	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%
Asian	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%
Two or more races	1.0%	4.0%	0.9%	3.5%
Hispanic origin ^a	2.5%	2.7%	1.9%	2.8%

^a Hispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

			• •		
		City	Co	County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020	
Total households	534	522	3,172	3,127	
Family households ^{a,b}	65.5%	62.8%	67.7%	65.7%	
Married couple family ^b	50.0%	48.3%	56.0%	53.1%	
With own children	19.9%	14.6%	19.9%	17.8%	
Other family ^c	15.5%	17.8%	11.8%	12.5%	
Male householder ^c	4.3%	7.9%	4.4%	5.2%	
With own children	3.6%	4.8%	3.0%	3.0%	
Female householder ^c	11.2%	10.0%	7.4%	7.3%	
With own children	8.6%	6.7%	4.6%	4.8%	
Nonfamily households ^d	34.5%	37.2%	32.3%	34.3%	
Male living alone	12.7%	15.5%	13.8%	15.0%	
Female living alone	16.5%	16.7%	13.7%	14.0%	

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	534	522	3,172	3,127
Married couple ^b	50.0%	48.3%	56.0%	53.1%
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	7.1%	NA ^e	7.4%
Male householder g	NA^e	22.0%	NA^e	19.5%
Female householder g	NA ^e	25.5%	NA ^e	20.1%


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

	(City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	27%	24.1%	23.1%	22.6%
0 - 4	6.3%	5.7%	5.4%	6.1%
5 - 9	6.9%	5.4%	5.5%	6.2%
10 - 14	8.8%	8.4%	7.3%	6.4%
15 - 17	5%	4.6%	4.9%	3.9%
18 - 24	6.2%	6.9%	6.2%	6.3%
25 - 44	23.9%	23.2%	22.0%	23%
45 - 64	24%	25.8%	30.3%	26.5%
65 or older	19%	20.0%	18.3%	21.7%
65 - 84	14.5%	16.4%	15%	18.5%
85 or older	4.5%	3.6%	3.3%	3.1%
Median ⁴ Age (years)	39.3	41.2	43.8	43.3
Age Dependency ^{a, 5}	85.2	78.9	70.6	79.4
Old-Age	35.2	35.8	31.2	38.9
Child	50.0	43.1	39.4	40.5

^aAge Dependency Ratio

Manly, Iowa Population Pyramid,^a 2020¹



^aEach bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Manly is less than the median household income of Worth County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Manly than for Worth County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Manly than there is for Worth County. Many housing units in Manly were built prior to 1940. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Manly compared to Worth County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	577	+/- 74	3,190	+/- 92
Less than \$34,999	24.3%	+/- 4.0%	22.1%	+/- 2.6%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	10.2%	+/- 4.1%	12.9%	+/- 2.0%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	39.1%	+/- 3.5%	33.1%	+/- 2.3%
\$100,000 Or More	26.4%	+/- 3.3%	31.9%	+/- 2.4%
Median ⁴ Household Income	\$64,688	+/- \$18,904	\$69,897	+/- \$4,976
Median Family Income	\$83,750	+/- \$10,478	\$87,750	+/- \$5,959
Per Capita Income	\$33,002	+/- \$3,273	\$36,585	+/- \$1,787

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	C	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	960	+/-122	5,340	+/-23	
High School Graduate or Higher	96.3%	+/-2.5%	94.3%	+/-1.5%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	17.2%	+/-4.1%	19.5%	+/-2.5%	


^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	620	+/- 78	3,486	+/- 29
Vacant	6.9%	+/- 5.1%	8.5%	+/- 2.6%
Occupied	93.1%	+/- 5.1%	91.5%	+/- 2.6%
Occupied ^a	577	+/- 74	3,190	+/- 92
Owner	63.4%	+/- 6.2%	77.3%	+/- 2.7%
Renter	36.6%	+/- 6.2%	22.7%	+/- 2.7%
Rent ^b	\$649	+/- \$101	\$685	+/- \$73
Value ^c	\$107,200	+/-\$10,059	\$130,600	+/-\$11,784

^aOccupied Units, ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units, ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



In Manly there is a higher percentage of workers in production, transportation, and material moving occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 32.8% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	793	+/- 126	3,994	+/- 160
Management, business, science, and arts	24.1%	+/- 5.0%	33.4%	+/- 3.5%
Service	18.3%	+/- 3.8%	14.8%	+/- 2.2%
Sales and office	11.6%	+/- 4.3%	17.1%	+/- 2.8%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	13.2%	+/- 4.3%	11.0%	+/- 1.6%
Production, transportation, and material moving	32.8%	+/- 6.1%	23.6%	+/- 2.9%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Manly has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Worth County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Manly tend to be lower than the median earnings for Worth County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

		G:4		
	(City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	844	+/- 130	4,283	+/- 150
Median Earnings	\$37,167	+/- \$37	\$40,033	+/- \$1,779
Female	\$32,250	+/- \$5,798	\$33,385	+/- \$2,625
Female, full time ^b	\$38,636	+/- \$4,872	\$43,182	+/- \$3,376
Male	\$43,269	+/- \$5,145	\$45,943	+/- \$2,009
Male, full time ^b	\$48,750	+/- \$5,210	\$51,571	+/- \$2,581

^a with earnings, ^b year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population ^a	1,366	+/-183	7,323	+/-16
With a disability ^b	11.2%	+/-3.4%	14.8%	+/-2.1%
With Health Insurance	92.9%	+/-2.7%	94.1%	+/-2.0%
With Private ^c Coverage	66.8%	+/-6.4%	71.9%	+/-2.8%
With Public ^d Coverage	38.8%	+/-6.3%	41.2%	+/-2.9%
No Health Insurance	7.1%	+/-2.7%	5.9%	+/-2.0%

^aCivilan Noninstitutionalized Population, ^blimitations of activities or inability for full participation, ^cprovided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, ^dincludes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	1,126	+/- 134	6,006	+/- 30
in labor force	74.1%	+/- 4.9%	68.7%	+/- 2.6%
not in labor force	25.9%	+/- 4.9%	31.3%	+/- 2.6%
Civilian labor force	834	+/- 125	4,129	+/- 154
Unemployed	4.9%	+/- 3.6%	3.3%	+/- 1.3%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population ^a	1,360	+/-182	7,290	+/-28
Below poverty	12.4%	+/-6.0%	7.4%	+/-1.8%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	10.4%	+/-5.1%
18 - 64	12.3%	+/-5.7%	7.3%	+/-1.8%
65+	**%	+/-**%	4.6%	+/-2.0%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	4.6%	+/-1.8%
with children	**%	+/-**%	7.8%	+/-3.7%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH ^b	**%	+/-**%	19.4%	+/-9.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	29.7%	+/-13.7%

^a for whom poverty status is determined, ^b female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

- ¹U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- ²U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- ³For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- ⁴Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- ⁵The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.

^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.