Data for Decision Makers



Redfield, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

Population profile

The 2020 population for Redfield is 731. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 12.5%. The City of Redfield is in Dallas County. Dallas County saw a 50.7% population increase between 2010 and 2020.

Dallas	County	Cities -	· Total	Population	ı


Dallas Co	Dalias County Cities - Total Population						
City	2000	2010	2020				
Redfield	833	835	731				
Male Total	420	430	369				
Female Total	413	405	362				
Adel	3,435	3,682	6,153				
Bouton	136	129	127				
Clive [†]	12,855	15,447	18,601				
Dallas Center	1,595	1,623	1,901				
Dawson	155	131	116				
De Soto	1,009	1,050	915				
Dexter	689	611	640				
Granger	583	1,244	1,654				
Grimes [†]	5,098	8,246	15,392				
Linden	226	199	200				
Minburn	391	365	325				
Perry	7,633	7,702	7,836				
Urbandale [†]	29,072	39,463	45,580				
Van Meter	866	1,016	1,484				
Waukee	5,126	13,790	23,940				
West Des Moines [†]	46,403	56,609	68,723				
Woodward	1,200	1,024	1,346				
Dallas County Total	40,750	66,135	99,678				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

[†]City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Adel	4.0%	7.2%	67.1%
Dexter	9.7%	-11.3%	4.7%
Earlham	12.2%	11.7%	-2.8%
Linden	12.4%	-11.9%	0.5%
Stuart	12.5%	-3.7%	8.1%
Redfield	-5.7%	0.2%	-12.5%
Dallas County	37.0%	62.3%	50.7%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change¹



Regional Director Ann Torbert 28061 Fairground Rd. Adel, IA 50003 atorbert@iastate.edu 515-993-4281 County Office Ann Torbert 28061 Fairground Rd. Adel, IA 50003 atorbert@iastate.edu 515-993-4281

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Redfield's population is predominantly White. The City of Redfield, compared to Dallas County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.³

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	98.0%	96.2%	98.5%	94.2%
White	95.9%	93.7%	92.2%	83.6%
White alone, not Hispanic	93.4%	91.9%	88.7%	82.0%
Black or African American	0.4%	0.5%	1.4%	2.7%
Asian	0.0%	0.3%	2.5%	5.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	1.6%	1.6%	2.1%	2.6%
Two or more races	2.0%	3.8%	1.5%	5.8%
Hispanic origin ^a	4.0%	3.3%	6.1%	6.5%

^a Hispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

			• •		
		City	C	County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020	
Total households	341	322	25,240	38,291	
Family households ^{a,b}	68.3%	60.2%	70.1%	67.9%	
Married couple family ^b	48.1%	48.2%	58.0%	55.8%	
With own children	15.5%	14.6%	29.1%	28.2%	
Other family ^c	20.2%	16.8%	12.1%	12.1%	
Male householder ^c	6.7%	5.9%	3.8%	4.0%	
With own children	4.1%	3.7%	2.5%	2.4%	
Female householder ^c	13.5%	10.9%	8.3%	8.0%	
With own children	7.0%	6.2%	5.6%	5.1%	
Nonfamily households ^d	31.7%	39.8%	29.9%	32.1%	
Male living alone	15.0%	15.8%	9.9%	10.8%	
Female living alone	11.7%	11.8%	13.8%	14.4%	

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	341	322	25,240	38,291
Married couple ^b	48.1%	48.2%	58.0%	55.8%
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	10.2%	NA^e	6.8%
Male householder g	NA ^e	20.8%	NA^e	15.2%
Female householder g	NA ^e	22.4%	NA ^e	22.1%


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

	(City	Co	unty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	25%	20.2%	29.1%	27.7%
0 - 4	7.1%	4.9%	8.7%	7.2%
5 - 9	6.7%	6.0%	8.6%	8.3%
10 - 14	8.1%	5.5%	7.9%	7.9%
15 - 17	3.1%	3.8%	3.9%	4.3%
18 - 24	7.2%	8.8%	6.1%	7.4%
25 - 44	24.6%	23%	31.6%	30.1%
45 - 64	25.9%	28.2%	23.5%	22.5%
65 or older	17.4%	19.8%	9.8%	12.5%
65 - 84	15.4%	17.5%	8.4%	11.1%
85 or older	2%	2.3%	1.4%	1.4%
Median ⁴ Age (years)	40	42.3	34.3	35.4
Age Dependency ^{a, 5}	73.6	66.7	63.7	67.0
Old-Age	30.2	33.0	16.0	20.8
Child	43.4	33.7	47.6	46.2

^aAge Dependency Ratio

Redfield, Iowa Population Pyramid,^a 2020¹



^aEach bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Redfield is less than the median household income of Dallas County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Redfield than for Dallas County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Redfield than there is for Dallas County. Many housing units in Redfield were built prior to 1940. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Redfield compared to Dallas County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	304	+/- 55	39,386	+/- 454
Less than \$34,999	16.5%	+/- 3.5%	13.7%	+/- 1.7%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	14.8%	+/- 6.8%	9.1%	+/- 1.3%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	40.8%	+/- 3.9%	27.5%	+/- 1.7%
\$100,000 Or More	28.0%	+/- 3.7%	49.8%	+/- 2.1%
Median ⁴ Household Income	\$68,125	+/- \$24,737	\$99,533	+/- \$3,165
Median Family Income	\$64,063	+/- \$29,200	\$130,231	+/- \$3,923
Per Capita Income	\$27,284	+/- \$3,102	\$51,347	+/- \$1,505

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	(City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	500	+/-90	65,734	+/-230	
High School Graduate or Higher	94.2%	+/-2.6%	96.3%	+/-0.5%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	11.8%	+/-4.9%	51.5%	+/-1.6%	


^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	353	+/- 65	41,753	+/- 55
Vacant	13.9%	+/- 8.3%	5.7%	+/- 1.1%
Occupied	86.1%	+/- 8.3%	94.3%	+/- 1.1%
Occupied ^a	304	+/- 55	39,386	+/- 454
Owner	80.9%	+/- 7.2%	70.4%	+/- 1.5%
Renter	19.1%	+/- 7.2%	29.6%	+/- 1.5%
Rent ^b	\$**	+/- \$**	\$1,198	+/- \$29
Value ^c	\$131,500	+/-\$14,693	\$314,900	+/-\$10,133

^aOccupied Units, ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units, ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



In Redfield there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 26.7% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	401	+/- 80	55,396	+/- 1,009
Management, business, science, and arts	27.2%	+/- 7.4%	53.9%	+/- 1.7%
Service	13.2%	+/- 5.1%	11.3%	+/- 1.1%
Sales and office	19.2%	+/- 7.0%	19.1%	+/- 1.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	13.7%	+/- 6.1%	5.9%	+/- 0.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving	26.7%	+/- 6.6%	9.8%	+/- 1.1%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Redfield has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Dallas County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Redfield tend to be lower than the median earnings for Dallas County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	424	+/- 82	58,994	+/- 865
Median Earnings	\$45,405	+/- \$45	\$55,876	+/- \$1,403
Female	\$34,861	+/- \$13,509	\$47,520	+/- \$1,737
Female, full time ^b	\$46,202	+/- \$2,322	\$58,940	+/- \$1,707
Male	\$49,688	+/- \$8,005	\$68,302	+/- \$2,079
Male, full time ^b	\$55,444	+/- \$5,291	\$80,664	+/- \$3,254

^a with earnings, ^b year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population ^a	810	+/-174	99,832	+/-55
With a disability ^b	10.2%	+/-4.0%	7.5%	+/-0.7%
With Health Insurance	97.8%	+/-1.8%	95.6%	+/-0.9%
With Private ^c Coverage	69.9%	+/-7.3%	83.6%	+/-1.4%
With Public ^d Coverage	43.0%	+/-7.9%	22.7%	+/-1.1%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	4.4%	+/-0.9%

^aCivilan Noninstitutionalized Population, ^blimitations of activities or inability for full participation, ^cprovided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, ^dincludes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	574	+/- 100	75,882	+/- 238
in labor force	71.6%	+/- 6.3%	75.4%	+/- 1.2%
not in labor force	28.4%	+/- 6.3%	24.6%	+/- 1.2%
Civilian labor force	411	+/- 81	57,131	+/- 946
Unemployed	2.4%	+/- 2.5%	3.0%	+/- 0.7%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population ^a	810	+/-174	99,817	+/-56
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	5.7%	+/-1%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	5.6%	+/-1.8%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	5.7%	+/-1.0%
65+	**%	+/-**%	5.8%	+/-1.7%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	4.1%	+/-1.2%
with children	**%	+/-**%	5.5%	+/-2.0%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	2.4%	+/-1.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH ^b	**%	+/-**%	17.4%	+/-6.3%
with children	**%	+/-**%	22.6%	+/-8.6%

^a for whom poverty status is determined, ^b female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

- ¹U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- ²U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- ³For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- ⁴Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- ⁵The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.

^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.