


Data for Decision Makers



Tama County County Profile

report created: 12/13/2024

Population profile

Tama County is a nonmetropolitan county in central Iowa. It is composed of thirteen incorporated communities and their surrounding rural areas. From 2010 to 2020, Tama County's population decreased by 3.56% while the state's population increased by 4.73%.

Total Population¹


Totals	2000	2010	2020
Tama County	18,103	17,767	17,135
Male Total	8,893	8,731	8,509
Female Total	9,210	9,036	8,626
City			
Chelsea	287	267	229
Clutier	229	213	213
Dysart	1,303	1,379	1,281
Elberon	245	196	184
Garwin	565	527	481
Gladbrook	1,015	945	799
Le Grand [†]	883	938	905
Lincoln	182	162	121
Montour	285	249	203
Tama	2,731	2,877	3,130
Toledo	2,539	2,341	2,369
Traer	1,594	1,703	1,583
Vining	70	50	54
State Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

[†]City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Counties - Percent Population Change¹

County	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Benton, IA	12.84%	3.03%	-1.92%
Black Hawk, IA	3.40%	2.40%	0.04%
Grundy, IA	2.83%	0.68%	-1.00%
Iowa, IA	7.12%	4.36%	1.88%
Jasper, IA	6.95%	-1.00%	2.64%
Marshall, IA	2.70%	3.40%	-1.34%
Poweshiek, IA	-1.15%	0.53%	-1.33%
Tama, IA	3.93%	-1.86%	-3.56%
State of Iowa	5.39%	4.10%	4.73%

Tama County Percent Population Change¹



Regional Director

Anne Tedore
2608 South 2nd St., Ste E
Marshalltown, IA 50158
atedore@iastate.edu
641-752-1551

County Office

Cheryl Bruene
203 W High St.
Toledo, IA 52342
cbruene@iastate.edu
641-484-2703

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

Demographic Profile

Tama County

As with the other 98 counties in the state, Tama County's population is predominantly white. The percentage of the population of Hispanic origin increased between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, Tama County had a higher percentage of those 17 or younger than the state. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010³.

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

Race Groups	County		State	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	97.5%	93.8%	98.2%	94.4%
White	86.5%	79.7%	91.3%	84.5%
White alone, not Hispanic	83.7%	77.5%	88.7%	82.7%
Black or African American	0.3%	0.8%	2.9%	4.1%
Asian	0.3%	0.5%	1.7%	2.4%
American Indian	7.5%	8.3%	0.4%	0.5%
Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%
Other	2.8%	4.5%	1.8%	2.8%
Two or more races	2.5%	6.2%	1.8%	5.6%
Hispanic origin ^a	7.4%	10.5%	5.0%	6.8%

^aHispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

	County		State	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	6,947	6,784	1,221,576	1,288,560
Family households ^{a,b}	69.4%	67.3%	64.7%	63.0%
Married couple family ^b	56.5%	51.3%	51.2%	48.5%
With own children	20.9%	16.9%	20.0%	18.2%
Other family ^c	12.9%	16.0%	13.5%	14.4%
Male householder ^c	4.3%	6.3%	4.2%	4.9%
With own children	2.6%	3.7%	2.5%	2.7%
Female householder ^c	8.6%	9.7%	9.3%	9.6%
With own children	5.5%	5.5%	5.9%	5.7%
Nonfamily households ^d	30.6%	32.7%	35.3%	37.0%
Male living alone	12.1%	13.9%	12.8%	14.2%
Female living alone	13.9%	13.9%	15.7%	15.8%

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

	County		State	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	6,947	6,784	1,221,576	1,288,560
Married couple ^b	56.5%	51.3%	51.2%	48.5%
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	7.0%	NA ^e	7.1%
Male householder ^g	NA ^e	19.2%	NA ^e	19.5%
Female householder ^g	NA ^e	22.5%	NA ^e	24.9%


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

Age Groups	County		State	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	25.6%	24.2%	23.9%	23.2%
0 - 4	6.3%	6.2%	6.6%	6.0%
5 - 9	6.8%	6.5%	6.6%	6.5%
10 - 14	7.5%	7.1%	6.6%	6.8%
15 - 17	5.0%	4.4%	4.1%	3.9%
18 - 24	6.8%	7.3%	10.0%	9.8%
25 - 44	21.9%	21.6%	24.5%	24.6%
45 - 64	27.3%	26.1%	26.7%	24.5%
65 or older	18.4%	20.7%	14.9%	18.0%
65 - 84	15.1%	17.7%	12.4%	15.6%
85 or older	3.3%	3.0%	2.5%	2.4%
Median ⁴ age (years)	41.8	42.0	38.1	38.6
Age dependency ^{a,5}	78.6	81.6	63.4	69.9
Old-Age	32.9	37.6	24.3	30.6
Child	45.7	44.0	39.1	39.4

^aAge Dependency Ratio.

Tama County Population Pyramid^a, 2020¹



^aEach bar represents the percentage that each age-sex group comprises of the total population.

Socioeconomic Profile

Tama County

The median household income in Tama County is less than the median household income of the state. More households in Tama County are in the \$50,000 to \$99,999 household income group while more of Iowa households are in the \$100,000 or more household income group. The median value of owner-occupied housing can vary among counties. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Tama County than for the state. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Tama County than there is for the state. Many housing units in Tama County were built prior to 1940. Tama County has a lower percentage of college graduates than does the state.

Median⁴ Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total households	6,703	+/- 151	1,290,139	+/- 4,032
Less than \$14,999	9.2%	+/- 1.5%	7.8%	+/- 0.4%
\$15,000 to \$34,999	15.5%	+/- 1.8%	15.2%	+/- 0.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	12.6%	+/- 1.8%	11.9%	+/- 0.2%
\$50,000 to \$99,999	34.3%	+/- 1.9%	32.2%	+/- 0.6%
\$100,000 Or More	28.4%	+/- 2.1%	32.9%	+/- 0.8%
Median ⁴ household income	\$65,483	+/- \$3,299	\$70,571	+/- \$395
Median ⁴ family income	\$78,503	+/- \$4,022	\$90,921	+/- \$579
Per capita income	\$33,046	+/- \$1,755	\$37,949	+/- \$241

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	11,597	+/-54	2,131,202	+/-967
High school graduate or higher	91.0%	+/-1.3%	93.0%	+/-0.1%
Bachelor's degree or higher	18.3%	+/-1.5%	30.3%	+/-0.3%

*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

In Tama County there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category while the production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 22.1% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the county.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022²


	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	8,165	+/- 219	1,627,434	+/- 5,740
Management, business, science, and arts	28.0%	+/- 2.3%	38.1%	+/- 0.3%
Service	16.9%	+/- 1.8%	15.5%	+/- 0.2%
Sales and office	20.2%	+/- 2.0%	19.7%	+/- 0.2%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	12.7%	+/- 1.6%	9.5%	+/- 0.2%
Production, transportation, and material moving	22.1%	+/- 2.2%	17.2%	+/- 0.2%

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total units	7,589	+/- 36	1,417,064	+/- 977
Vacant	11.7%	+/- 2.0%	9.0%	+/- 0.3%
Occupied	88.3%	+/- 2.0%	91.0%	+/- 0.3%
Occupied ^a	6,703	+/- 151	1,290,139	+/- 4,032
Owner	75.5%	+/- 2.2%	71.5%	+/- 0.3%
Renter	24.5%	+/- 2.2%	28.5%	+/- 0.3%
Median ⁴ rent ^b	\$829	+/- \$48	\$914	+/- \$6
Median value ^c	\$129,400	+/- \$6,771	\$181,600	+/- \$1,035

^aOccupied Units. ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units.

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



Socioeconomic Profile

Tama County

Tama County has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than the state. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. The percent unemployed in Tama County is higher than that for the state overall. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median⁴ earnings in Tama County tend to be lower than the median earnings for the state. There is a higher percentage of families in Tama County below poverty level than for the state. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	13,422	+/- 57	2,544,719	+/- 1,266
In labor force	63.8%	+/- 1.7%	66.6%	+/- 0.2%
Not in labor force	36.2%	+/- 1.7%	33.4%	+/- 0.2%
Civilian labor force	8,552	+/- 218	1,692,129	+/- 5,407
Unemployed	4.5%	+/- 1.1%	3.8%	+/- 0.1%

Individuals Below Poverty Level by Race, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total population ^a	16,578	+/-51	3,088,999	+/-950
Percent below	15.2%	+/-0.2%	11.1%	+/-0.2%
White alone	14.1%	+/-2.3%	9.7%	+/-0.2%
Black/African American alone	***	+/-***	30.5%	+/-1.9%
Asian/Pacific Islander alone	***	+/-***	13.6%	+/-1.4%
American Indian alone	21.2%	+/-5.9%	27.6%	+/-4%
Hawaiian alone	***	+/-***	33.1%	+/-8.5%
Other race alone	***	+/-***	16.6%	+/-2.3%
Two or more races	***	+/-***	17.6%	+/-1.3%
Hispanic origin ^b	29.7%	+/-9.4%	17.4%	+/-1.1%

^afor whom poverty status is determined, ^bHispanics can be of any race. **MOE is high, use state estimate.

*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Median⁴ Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	8,880	+/- 203	1,799,851	+/- 5,338
Median earnings	\$39,545 +/-	\$1,970	\$40,905	+/- \$219
Female	\$31,157 +/-	\$2,157	\$33,724	+/- \$250
Male	\$47,005 +/-	\$1,313	\$48,115	+/- \$407
Female, full time ^b	\$41,691 +/-	\$2,551	\$47,128	+/- \$238
Male, full time ^b	\$54,191 +/-	\$3,288	\$59,875	+/- \$356

^awith earnings. ^byear round.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	County		State	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total population ^a	16,578	+/-51	3,088,999	+/-950
Below poverty	15.2%	+/-0.2%	11.1%	+/-0.2%
17 and under	22.6%	+/-4.4%	13%	+/-0.4%
18 - 64	13.9%	+/-1.9%	11.4%	+/-0.2%
65+	9.4%	+/-2.6%	7.7%	+/-0.2%
All families	11.3%	+/-2.1%	7%	+/-0.2%
With children	19.1%	+/-4%	11.5%	+/-0.4%
Married couple	4.6%	+/-1.4%	3.2%	+/-0.1%
With children	5.6%	+/-2.3%	4.1%	+/-0.3%
Female HH ^b	46.5%	+/-9.9%	25.4%	+/-1%
With children	56.4%	+/-10.2%	32.4%	+/-1.4%

^afor whom poverty status is determined, ^bfemale householder, no spouse present, **MOE is high. Use state estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

¹ U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

² U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

³ For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. In this profile, the 2020 data are reported, but items for 2010 are omitted.

⁴ Median is the middle value of a distribution.

⁵ The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu/>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.