## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Farragut, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

## Population profile

The 2020 population for Farragut is 490. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 1.0%. The City of Farragut is in Fremont County. Fremont County saw a 11.2% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.


Fremont Co	ounty Cities - Tot	Fremont County Cities - Total Population						
City	2000	2010	2020					
Farragut	509	485	490					
Male Total	234	209	246					
Female Total	275	276	244					
Hamburg	1,240	1,187	890					
Imogene	66	72	39					
Randolph	209	168	189					
Riverton	304	304	245					
Shenandoah <sup>†</sup>	5,546	5,150	4,925					
Sidney	1,300	1,138	1,070					
Tabor <sup>†</sup>	993	1,040	1,014					
Thurman	236	229	167					
Fremont County Total	8,010	7,441	6,605					
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369					

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Imogene	-25.0%	9.1%	-45.8%
Randolph	-14.0%	-19.6%	12.5%
Riverton	-8.7%	0.0%	-19.4%
Shenandoah	-0.5%	-7.1%	-4.4%
Sidney	3.8%	-12.5%	-6.0%
Farragut	2.2%	-4.7%	1.0%
Fremont County	-2.6%	7.1%	-11.2%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%





#### Regional Director Julie Hering-Kent 1901 N Broadway, Ste A Red Oak, IA 51566 jkent@iastate.edu

712-623-2592

County Office Mandy Maher 610 Clay St. Sidney, IA 51652 mmaher@iastate.edu 712-374-2351

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Farragut's population is predominantly White. The City of Farragut, compared to Fremont County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	C	City		unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.8%	96.1%	99.1%	95.7%
White	99.6%	94.7%	96.9%	93.9%
White alone, not Hispanic	97.3%	93.1%	95.7%	93.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%	0.2%
Asian	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Other	0.0%	0.2%	1.1%	1.1%
Two or more races	0.2%	3.9%	0.9%	4.3%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	2.3%	2.0%	2.5%	2.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

•	•	•	• -	
		City	C	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	215	221	3,064	2,720
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	63.7%	59.7%	68.0%	66.5%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	53.0%	46.0%	54.5%	53.2%
With own children	20.0%	11.8%	17.7%	16.4%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	10.7%	13.6%	13.5%	13.2%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	3.3%	8.1%	4.9%	5.4%
With own children	2.8%	6.8%	3.2%	3.5%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	7.4%	5.4%	8.6%	7.8%
With own children	4.2%	3.2%	5.1%	3.9%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	36.3%	40.3%	32.0%	33.5%
Male living alone	9.3%	15.8%	12.1%	13.8%
Female living alone	25.1%	19.5%	15.2%	13.7%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	215	221	3,064	2,720
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	53.0%	46.0%	54.5%	53.2%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	13.6%	$NA^e$	7.8%
Male householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.4%	$NA^e$	18.5%
Female householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	22.6%	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.4%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City		unty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	22.9%	23.3%	22.8%	22.5%
0 - 4	3.3%	6.1%	6.3%	5.4%
5 - 9	6.4%	7.1%	5.7%	6.1%
10 - 14	6.8%	5.7%	6.3%	7.1%
15 - 17	6.4%	4.4%	4.5%	3.9%
18 - 24	6.4%	4.2%	6.5%	5.7%
25 - 44	18.4%	25.1%	20.5%	20.9%
45 - 64	31.3%	27.3%	30.6%	27.8%
65 or older	21%	20.0%	19.6%	23.2%
65 - 84	18.1%	18.1%	16.5%	20.3%
85 or older	2.9%	1.8%	3.1%	2.9%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	46	42.8	45.1	45.7
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	78.3	76.5	73.6	84.0
Old-Age	37.4	35.3	34.0	42.6
Child	40.8	41.2	39.6	41.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Farragut, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Farragut is less than the median household income of Fremont County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Farragut than for Fremont County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Farragut than there is for Fremont County. Many housing units in Farragut were built between 1960 to 1979. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Farragut compared to Fremont County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	204	+/- 50	2,736	+/- 86
Less than \$34,999	41.7%	+/- 4.7%	23.0%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	8.8%	+/- 5.4%	12.3%	+/- 2.6%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	20.1%	+/- 2.9%	33.7%	+/- 2.4%
\$100,000 Or More	29.4%	+/- 4.7%	31.1%	+/- 2.6%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$47,500	+/- \$41,347	\$69,375	+/- \$4,700
Median Family Income	\$79,375	+/- \$56,392	\$83,844	+/- \$4,112
Per Capita Income	\$36,178	+/- \$9,602	\$37,236	+/- \$2,670

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	314	+/-71	4,738	+/-43	
High School Graduate or Higher	97.5%	+/-2.4%	94.9%	+/-1.2%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	15.0%	+/-5.6%	19.2%	+/-2.3%	


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	229	+/- 53	3,144	+/- 32
Vacant	10.9%	+/- 8.9%	13.0%	+/- 2.7%
Occupied	89.1%	+/- 8.9%	87.0%	+/- 2.7%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	204	+/- 50	2,736	+/- 86
Owner	68.1%	+/- 11.0%	78.1%	+/- 2.8%
Renter	31.9%	+/- 11.0%	21.9%	+/- 2.8%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$596	+/- \$127	\$709	+/- \$78
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$101,500	+/-\$30,588	\$140,400	+/-\$11,245

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Farragut there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 23.6% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		Сог	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	208	+/- 65	3,129	+/- 135	
Management, business, science, and arts	35.6%	+/- 15.9%	36.6%	+/- 3.3%	
Service	**%	+/- **%	19.4%	+/- 2.9%	
Sales and office	18.3%	+/- 7.1%	15.4%	+/- 2.0%	
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	11.6%	+/- 2.2%	
Production, transportation, and material moving	23.6%	+/- 9.6%	17.0%	+/- 2.4%	

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

Farragut has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Fremont County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Farragut tend to be lower than the median earnings for Fremont County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	-	City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	219	+/- 65	3,466	+/- 140
Median Earnings	\$40,972	+/- \$40	\$42,444	+/- \$3,963
Female	\$37,344	+/- \$8,994	\$35,379	+/- \$3,569
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$39,643	+/- \$15,454	\$46,292	+/- \$3,145
Male	\$47,083	+/- \$16,523	\$47,242	+/- \$4,030
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$59,531	+/- \$11,078	\$57,095	+/- \$2,070

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	396	+/-112	6,503	+/-29
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	10.6%	+/-4.6%	14.4%	+/-1.6%
With Health Insurance	98.7%	+/-1.4%	96.2%	+/-1.2%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	76.0%	+/-8.0%	72.2%	+/-3.1%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	44.4%	+/-11.7%	41.3%	+/-2.8%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.8%	+/-1.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	328	+/- 78	5,375	+/- 44
in labor force	63.4%	+/- 9.1%	59.6%	+/- 2.6%
not in labor force	36.6%	+/- 9.1%	40.4%	+/- 2.6%
Civilian labor force	208	+/- 65	3,182	+/- 134
Unemployed	0.0%	+/- 11.3%	1.7%	+/- 0.8%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	396	+/-112	6,488	+/-31
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	7.5%	+/-1.7%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	8.1%	+/-1.7%
65+	**%	+/-**%	6.6%	+/-2.5%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	5.0%	+/-1.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.1%	+/-1.8%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup>For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.