


# Data for Decision Makers



## St. Ansgar, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for St. Ansgar is 1,160. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 4.8%. The City of St. Ansgar is in Mitchell County. Mitchell County saw a 2.0% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Mitchell County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


City	2000	2010	2020
<b>St. Ansgar</b>	<b>1,031</b>	<b>1,107</b>	<b>1,160</b>
Male Total	451	517	549
Female Total	580	590	611
Carpenter	130	109	87
McIntire	173	122	113
Mitchell	155	138	124
Orchard	88	71	68
Osage	3,451	3,619	3,627
Riceville <sup>†</sup>	840	785	806
Stacyville	469	494	458
Mitchell County Total	10,874	10,776	10,565
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Carpenter	27.5%	-16.2%	-20.2%
Grafton	2.8%	-13.1%	-14.3%
Mitchell	-8.8%	-11.0%	-10.1%
Osage	0.3%	4.9%	0.2%
Stacyville	-2.5%	5.3%	-7.3%
St. Ansgar	-3.0%	7.4%	4.8%
Mitchell County	-0.5%	0.9%	-2.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### Regional Director

Sarah Merrifield  
601 S Illinois Ave.  
Mason City, IA 50401  
merrifield@iastate.edu  
651-751-8112

#### County Office

Darla Olson  
315 Main St.  
Osage, IA 50461  
daolson@iastate.edu  
641-732-5574

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

# Demographic Profile

# St. Ansgar, Iowa

St. Ansgar's population is predominantly White. The City of St. Ansgar, compared to Mitchell County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

**Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>**

Race Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.5%	96.3%	99.5%	97.5%
White	99.2%	93.4%	98.6%	95.7%
White alone, not Hispanic	98.4%	93.1%	98.0%	95.4%
Black or African American	0.0%	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%
Asian	0.3%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	1.0%	0.4%	0.8%
Two or more races	0.5%	3.7%	0.5%	2.5%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	0.8%	2.4%	1.0%	1.8%

<sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

**Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	468	480	4,395	4,382
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	64.7%	63.8%	67.3%	64.4%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	54.5%	54.2%	58.0%	53.9%
With own children	19.2%	20.0%	21.4%	18.3%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	10.3%	9.6%	9.3%	10.4%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	2.8%	3.5%	3.0%	3.5%
With own children	2.1%	2.3%	2.0%	2.1%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	7.5%	6.0%	6.3%	7.0%
With own children	4.7%	3.5%	3.9%	4.1%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	35.3%	36.3%	32.7%	35.6%
Male living alone	11.1%	12.3%	12.9%	15.1%
Female living alone	20.3%	20.8%	16.2%	16.1%

**Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	468	480	4,395	4,382
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	54.5%	54.2%	58.0%	53.9%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	2.9%	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.1%
Male householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	15.8%	NA <sup>e</sup>	18.7%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	27.1%	NA <sup>e</sup>	22.3%


<sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

**Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>**

Age Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	23.9%	24.1%	24.7%	23.5%
0 - 4	6.7%	6.2%	6.2%	6.1%
5 - 9	7.2%	7.4%	6.7%	6.7%
10 - 14	6.2%	6.7%	7.3%	6.8%
15 - 17	3.8%	3.8%	4.5%	3.9%
18 - 24	6.1%	5.2%	6.8%	6.9%
25 - 44	19.9%	22.9%	19.6%	20.9%
45 - 64	24.1%	22.2%	27.2%	25.7%
65 or older	26%	25.6%	21.7%	23.0%
65 - 84	17.4%	20.5%	17.3%	18.9%
85 or older	8.6%	5.1%	4.4%	4.2%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	45.2	42.8	44.0	43.6
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	99.8	98.8	86.7	86.9
Old-Age	52.0	50.9	40.6	43.0
Child	47.8	47.9	46.2	43.9

<sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

**St. Ansgar, Iowa Population Pyramid, <sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## St. Ansgar, Iowa

The median household income in St. Ansgar is greater than the median household income of Mitchell County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in St. Ansgar than for Mitchell County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in St. Ansgar than there is for Mitchell County. Many housing units in St. Ansgar were built prior to 1940. There is a higher percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in St. Ansgar compared to Mitchell County.

**Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	437	+/- 62	4,505	+/- 139
Less than \$34,999	27.0%	+/- 3.9%	23.5%	+/- 2.7%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	8.7%	+/- 4.0%	13.4%	+/- 2.9%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	30.9%	+/- 3.5%	32.6%	+/- 2.4%
\$100,000 Or More	33.3%	+/- 3.7%	30.5%	+/- 2.7%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$76,042	+/- \$11,095	\$66,056	+/- \$5,753
Median Family Income	\$82,500	+/- \$17,375	\$88,707	+/- \$11,020
Per Capita Income	\$38,705	+/- \$5,350	\$36,006	+/- \$2,271

**Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	769	+/-116	7,240	+/-51
High School Graduate or Higher	94.0%	+/-3.7%	92.3%	+/-1.7%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	24.7%	+/-5.7%	21.4%	+/-2.9%

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.


\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	485	+/- 67	4,885	+/- 38
Vacant	9.9%	+/- 8.3%	7.8%	+/- 2.8%
Occupied	90.1%	+/- 8.3%	92.2%	+/- 2.8%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	437	+/- 62	4,505	+/- 139
Owner	75.7%	+/- 7.6%	79.6%	+/- 3.0%
Renter	24.3%	+/- 7.6%	20.4%	+/- 3.0%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$822	+/- \$58	\$720	+/- \$49
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$141,200	+/- \$30,145	\$149,200	+/- \$12,082

<sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

**Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**



In St. Ansgar there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 23.9% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

**Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	560	+/- 108	5,673	+/- 178
Management, business, science, and arts	33.6%	+/- 6.1%	35.0%	+/- 3.8%
Service	16.1%	+/- 6.5%	14.1%	+/- 2.7%
Sales and office	12.5%	+/- 4.5%	15.5%	+/- 2.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	13.9%	+/- 5.0%	13.5%	+/- 2.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving	23.9%	+/- 6.9%	21.9%	+/- 3.7%

## Socioeconomic Profile

## St. Ansgar, Iowa

St. Ansgar has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Mitchell County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in St. Ansgar tend to be higher than the median earnings for Mitchell County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

**Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	612	+/- 106	6,018	+/- 170
Median Earnings	\$47,407	+/- \$47	\$42,316	+/- \$3,071
Female	\$30,417	+/- \$10,272	\$32,387	+/- \$2,460
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$**	+/- \$**	\$45,987	+/- \$2,883
Male	\$59,861	+/- \$11,711	\$50,388	+/- \$2,868
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$64,219	+/- \$4,289	\$58,139	+/- \$2,912

<sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	1,103	+/-170	10,333	+/-4
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	15.1%	+/-4.6%	11.4%	+/-1.4%
With Health Insurance	96.0%	+/-2.5%	92.7%	+/-2.3%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	75.2%	+/-5.6%	70.7%	+/-3.6%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	40.4%	+/-7.3%	39.9%	+/-3.6%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	7.3%	+/-2.3%

<sup>a</sup>Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some military plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

**Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	916	+/- 133	8,368	+/- 63
in labor force	63.6%	+/- 7.0%	68.4%	+/- 1.9%
not in labor force	36.4%	+/- 7.0%	31.6%	+/- 1.9%
Civilian labor force	583	+/- 109	5,725	+/- 173
Unemployed	3.9%	+/- 3.8%	0.9%	+/- 0.6%

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	1,100	+/-169	10,261	+/-58
Below poverty	7.8%	+/-3.8%	6.7%	+/-1.6%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	6.7%	+/-1.8%
65+	**%	+/-**%	10.3%	+/-3.2%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	5.1%	+/-1.8%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.0%	+/-1.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%

<sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Notes and Source Information:

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

<sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.

<sup>4</sup> Median is the middle value of a distribution.

<sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist  
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist  
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist  
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to [www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext).