## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Guttenberg, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

## Population profile

The 2020 population for Guttenberg is 1,817. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 5.3%. The City of Guttenberg is in Clayton County. Clayton County saw a 6.0% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Clayton	County	Cities -	Total	Po	pulation¹
---------	--------	----------	-------	----	-----------


Clayton Co	Clayton County Cities - Total Population						
City	2000	2010	2020				
Guttenberg	1,987	1,919	1,817				
Male Total	932	903	899				
Female Total	1,055	1,016	918				
Clayton	55	43	45				
Edgewood <sup>†</sup>	923	864	909				
Elkader	1,465	1,273	1,209				
Elkport	88	37	29				
Farmersburg	300	302	271				
Garber	103	88	76				
Garnavillo	754	745	763				
Luana	249	269	301				
Marquette	421	375	429				
McGregor	871	871	742				
Monona	1,550	1,549	1,471				
North Buena Vista	124	121	109				
Osterdock	50	59	43				
Postville <sup>†</sup>	2,273	2,227	2,503				
St. Olaf	136	108	106				
Strawberry Point	1,386	1,279	1,155				
Volga	247	208	203				
Clayton County Total	18,678	18,129	17,043				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Clayton	34.1%	-21.8%	4.7%
Garber	-12.7%	-14.6%	-13.6%
Garnavillo	3.7%	-1.2%	2.4%
Millville	15.0%	-100.0%	nan%
Osterdock	2.0%	18.0%	-27.1%
Guttenberg	-12.0%	-3.4%	-5.3%
Clayton County	-2.0%	2.9%	-6.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

#### City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### Regional Director Marybeth Foster 14858 West Ridge Ln., Ste 2 Dubuque, IA 52003 mbfoster@iastate.edu 563-583-6496

County Office Holly Loan 120 South Main Elkader, IA 52043 hloan@iastate.edu 563-245-1451

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Guttenberg's population is predominantly White. The City of Guttenberg, compared to Clayton County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.5%	97.9%	99.2%	97.5%
White	98.3%	96.0%	97.8%	95.2%
White alone, not Hispanic	97.6%	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Black or African American	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%
Asian	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.4%	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%
Two or more races	0.5%	2.1%	0.8%	2.5%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	1.3%	0.8%	1.7%	2.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

			**	
		City	C	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	887	867	7,599	7,315
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	58.2%	64.4%	66.1%	63.7%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	47.8%	43.8%	55.3%	52.5%
With own children	13.3%	9.1%	18.8%	15.9%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	10.4%	11.8%	10.7%	11.2%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	3.4%	4.3%	4.3%	4.9%
With own children	1.8%	2.1%	2.6%	2.7%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	7.0%	7.5%	6.4%	6.3%
With own children	3.8%	4.2%	3.8%	3.7%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	41.8%	35.6%	33.9%	36.3%
Male living alone	15.3%	17.3%	13.9%	15.9%
Female living alone	22.1%	21.6%	15.0%	15.4%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	887	867	7,599	7,315
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	47.8%	43.8%	55.3%	52.5%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	7.0%	$NA^e$	6.6%
Male householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	21.5%	$NA^e$	20.1%
Female householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	28.3%	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.7%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	17.2%	15.5%	23.2%	21.7%
0 - 4	3.9%	3.7%	6.2%	5.6%
5 - 9	4.5%	3.6%	6.2%	5.8%
10 - 14	5.4%	4.2%	6.5%	6.5%
15 - 17	3.4%	4%	4.3%	3.8%
18 - 24	5.7%	4.8%	6.4%	6.1%
25 - 44	16.9%	18.7%	20.3%	20.5%
45 - 64	32.5%	27%	30.7%	27.6%
65 or older	27.8%	34.1%	19.3%	24.2%
65 - 84	21.3%	28.9%	16%	20.9%
85 or older	6.5%	5.2%	3.3%	3.3%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	51.3	55.6	45.0	46.7
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	81.8	98.2	73.9	84.7
Old-Age	50.5	67.5	33.6	44.6
Child	31.3	30.7	40.3	40.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Guttenberg, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Guttenberg, Iowa

The median household income in Guttenberg is less than the median household income of Clayton County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is higher in Guttenberg than for Clayton County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Guttenberg than there is for Clayton County. Many housing units in Guttenberg were built between 1960 to 1979. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Guttenberg compared to Clayton County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	840	+/- 143	7,286	+/- 208
Less than \$34,999	35.9%	+/- 4.7%	26.8%	+/- 2.3%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	13.5%	+/- 4.8%	15.5%	+/- 2.0%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	30.1%	+/- 3.4%	31.3%	+/- 2.0%
\$100,000 Or More	20.6%	+/- 3.6%	26.6%	+/- 2.2%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$50,833	+/- \$7,435	\$60,441	+/- \$4,036
Median Family Income	\$62,188	+/- \$23,727	\$79,698	+/- \$5,501
Per Capita Income	\$38,637	+/- \$8,267	\$34,394	+/- \$1,842

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	1,305	+/-167	12,308	+/-53
High School Graduate or Higher	92.5%	+/-2.9%	90.7%	+/-1.7%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	16.6%	+/-5.0%	17.2%	+/-1.8%


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	City Cou	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	960	+/- 143	8,779	+/- 46
Vacant	12.5%	+/- 6.6%	17.0%	+/- 2.3%
Occupied	87.5%	+/- 6.6%	83.0%	+/- 2.3%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	840	+/- 143	7,286	+/- 208
Owner	73.9%	+/- 7.7%	75.3%	+/- 3.0%
Renter	26.1%	+/- 7.7%	24.7%	+/- 3.0%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$756	+/- \$164	\$738	+/- \$57
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$189,100	+/-\$20,285	\$149,500	+/-\$6,940

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Guttenberg there is a higher percentage of workers in service occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 23.2% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Cor	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	857	+/- 192	8,611	+/- 279	
Management, business, science, and arts	27.3%	+/- 8.4%	28.3%	+/- 2.5%	
Service	29.2%	+/- 10.5%	16.5%	+/- 1.9%	
Sales and office	11.2%	+/- 4.6%	19.2%	+/- 2.0%	
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	9.1%	+/- 4.2%	14.8%	+/- 1.8%	
Production, transportation, and material moving	23.2%	+/- 7.4%	21.1%	+/- 2.1%	

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Socioeconomic Profile

### Guttenberg, Iowa

Guttenberg has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Clayton County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Guttenberg tend to be lower than the median earnings for Clayton County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

		City	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	928	+/- 197	9,240	+/- 295
Median Earnings	\$34,250	+/- \$34	\$39,287	+/- \$1,781
Female	\$27,500	+/- \$12,783	\$29,969	+/- \$2,563
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$39,625	+/- \$9,191	\$39,171	+/- \$2,981
Male	\$48,382	+/- \$8,962	\$47,133	+/- \$1,988
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$61,250	+/- \$25,070	\$56,059	+/- \$2,281

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	1,606	+/-260	16,925	+/-11
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	22.4%	+/-6.4%	15.3%	+/-1.9%
With Health Insurance	95.8%	+/-2.5%	92.9%	+/-2.2%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	63.1%	+/-8.7%	68.9%	+/-3.1%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	55.7%	+/-8.2%	43.5%	+/-2.1%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	7.1%	+/-2.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

City		County	
Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
1,484	+/- 211	13,931	+/- 61
59.8%	+/- 7.5%	63.5%	+/- 1.9%
40.2%	+/- 7.5%	36.5%	+/- 1.9%
887	+/- 199	8,845	+/- 274
3.4%	+/- 3.2%	2.6%	+/- 0.9%
	Estimate 1,484 59.8% 40.2% 887	Estimate MOE*  1,484 +/- 211  59.8% +/- 7.5%  40.2% +/- 7.5%	Estimate         MOE*         Estimate           1,484         +/- 211         13,931           59.8%         +/- 7.5%         63.5%           40.2%         +/- 7.5%         36.5%           887         +/- 199         8,845

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	1,594	+/-260	16,847	+/-57
Below poverty	15.6%	+/-6.8%	13%	+/-2.6%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	14.9%	+/-5.1%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	11.8%	+/-2.3%
65+	12.7%	+/-6.1%	14.2%	+/-4.9%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	7.6%	+/-1.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	11.5%	+/-3.5%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.2%	+/-1.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	30.4%	+/-10.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	37.5%	+/-12.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.