## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Anamosa, Iowa **City Profile**

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Anamosa is 5,450. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 1.5%. The City of Anamosa is in Jones County. Jones County saw a 0.0% population increase between 2010 and 2020.


Jones Co	Jones County Cities - Total Population <sup>1</sup>							
City	2000	2010	2020					
Anamosa	5,494	5,533	5,450					
Male Total	3,259	3,143	3,117					
Female Total	2,235	2,390	2,333					
Cascade <sup>†</sup>	1,958	2,159	2,386					
Martelle	280	255	249					
Monticello	3,607	3,796	4,040					
Morley	88	115	96					
Olin	716	698	651					
Onslow	223	197	201					
Oxford Junction	573	496	424					
Wyoming	626	515	523					
Jones County Total	20,221	20,638	20,646					
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Center Junction	-21.1%	-100.0%	inf%
Martelle	-3.4%	-8.9%	-2.4%
Monticello	2.4%	5.2%	6.4%
Morley	3.5%	30.7%	-16.5%
Springville	2.2%	-1.6%	7.4%
Anamosa	7.7%	0.7%	-1.5%
Jones County	4.0%	2.1%	0.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%





**Regional Director** Abby Boysen 107 Cedar St. Tipton, IA 52772 aboysen@iastate.edu 319-540-7242

**County Office** RaeAnn Gordon 800 North Maple St. Monticello, IA 52310 raeannb@iastate.edu 319-465-3224

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Anamosa's population is predominantly White. The City of Anamosa, compared to Jones County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	C	City	Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.1%	95.9%	99.4%	96.8%
White	91.1%	87.8%	96.4%	93.2%
White alone, not Hispanic	89.6%	85.0%	95.5%	92.0%
Black or African American	6.4%	6.3%	2.0%	2.1%
Asian	0.7%	0.6%	0.4%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.9%
Two or more races	0.9%	4.1%	0.6%	3.2%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	2.0%	3.9%	1.3%	2.4%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

•	•		-	
	(	City	Co	unty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	1,941	1,918	8,181	8,113
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	59.9%	51.4%	67.4%	66.7%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	41.4%	36.4%	55.2%	53.6%
With own children	15.4%	14.0%	19.6%	17.9%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	18.5%	19.1%	12.2%	13.1%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	5.2%	6.3%	4.2%	4.8%
With own children	3.0%	4.0%	2.5%	2.9%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	13.4%	12.9%	8.0%	8.3%
With own children	10.1%	8.3%	5.3%	4.8%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	40.1%	48.6%	32.6%	33.3%
Male living alone	13.1%	14.2%	12.6%	14.4%
Female living alone	21.3%	19.6%	14.4%	13.8%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	1,941	1,918	8,181	8,113
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	41.4%	36.4%	55.2%	53.6%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	10.1%	NA <sup>e</sup>	7.3%
Male householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	18.8%	$NA^e$	18.2%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	30.2%	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.8%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	19.6%	19.1%	22.4%	21.3%
0 - 4	5.4%	5.0%	5.9%	5.1%
5 - 9	4.9%	4.8%	6.1%	5.7%
10 - 14	5.7%	6.2%	6.2%	6.6%
15 - 17	3.6%	3.1%	4.2%	3.9%
18 - 24	8.7%	8%	6.7%	6.7%
25 - 44	29.9%	28.5%	24.0%	23.7%
45 - 64	24.6%	25.6%	29.7%	27.3%
65 or older	17.2%	18.6%	17.2%	20.9%
65 - 84	13.3%	15.5%	14.4%	18.2%
85 or older	3.9%	3.2%	2.8%	2.6%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	39.6	40.5	42.7	43.3
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	58.3	60.7	65.6	73.1
Old-Age	27.3	30.0	28.5	36.2
Child	31.1	30.8	37.1	36.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Anamosa, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Anamosa is less than the median household income of Jones County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Anamosa than for Jones County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Anamosa than there is for Jones County. Many housing units in Anamosa were built between 1960 to 1979. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Anamosa compared to Jones County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	1,917	+/- 139	8,062	+/- 192
Less than \$34,999	31.2%	+/- 4.0%	22.2%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	11.8%	+/- 5.2%	12.1%	+/- 2.3%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	33.2%	+/- 3.3%	35.4%	+/- 2.3%
\$100,000 Or More	23.8%	+/- 3.3%	30.4%	+/- 2.4%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$55,934	+/- \$4,062	\$68,781	+/- \$4,469
Median Family Income	\$57,614	+/- \$5,968	\$88,862	+/- \$5,716
Per Capita Income	\$28,007	+/- \$3,937	\$33,996	+/- \$1,794

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	4,083	+/-183	14,978	+/-92
High School Graduate or Higher	91.4%	+/-2.3%	94.3%	+/-1.1%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	18.3%	+/-3.2%	22.5%	+/-2.3%


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	2,072	+/- 148	8,893	+/- 56
Vacant	7.5%	+/- 4.4%	9.3%	+/- 2.0%
Occupied	92.5%	+/- 4.4%	90.7%	+/- 2.0%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	1,917	+/- 139	8,062	+/- 192
Owner	74.1%	+/- 7.3%	80.6%	+/- 3.0%
Renter	25.9%	+/- 7.3%	19.4%	+/- 3.0%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$759	+/- \$206	\$693	+/- \$31
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$160,700	+/-\$15,253	\$165,200	+/-\$5,995

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Anamosa there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 26.3% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,133	+/- 244	10,001	+/- 406
Management, business, science, and arts	34.7%	+/- 6.3%	35.4%	+/- 2.6%
Service	12.5%	+/- 4.8%	12.7%	+/- 2.3%
Sales and office	20.6%	+/- 5.1%	21.1%	+/- 2.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	5.9%	+/- 2.7%	10.5%	+/- 1.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving	26.3%	+/- 6.7%	20.3%	+/- 2.7%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

Anamosa has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Jones County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Anamosa tend to be higher than the median earnings for Jones County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	-	City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	2,412	+/- 231	10,948	+/- 376
Median Earnings	\$42,587	+/- \$42	\$42,645	+/- \$3,067
Female	\$29,461	+/- \$13,140	\$36,250	+/- \$4,652
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$50,262	+/- \$6,621	\$50,851	+/- \$2,589
Male	\$53,547	+/- \$12,518	\$49,224	+/- \$3,661
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$62,917	+/- \$12,568	\$55,732	+/- \$1,880

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Со	unty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	4,492	+/-75	19,626	+/-70
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	16.4%	+/-5.6%	13.1%	+/-1.9%
With Health Insurance	96.6%	+/-1.7%	97.1%	+/-0.8%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	68.5%	+/-7.2%	72.2%	+/-3.1%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	44.1%	+/-7.4%	42.3%	+/-2.8%
No Health Insurance	3.4%	+/-1.7%	2.9%	+/-0.8%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	4,742	+/- 137	16,956	+/- 84
in labor force	47.4%	+/- 5.1%	61.0%	+/- 2.3%
not in labor force	52.6%	+/- 5.1%	39.0%	+/- 2.3%
Civilian labor force	2,246	+/- 236	10,322	+/- 389
Unemployed	5.0%	+/- 4.7%	3.1%	+/- 1.2%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	4,420	+/-108	19,447	+/-163
Below poverty	19.1%	+/-6.7%	11.4%	+/-2.1%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	13.9%	+/-5.4%
18 - 64	21.7%	+/-9.3%	12.0%	+/-2.5%
65+	**%	+/-**%	7.3%	+/-2.7%
All Families	19.3%	+/-9.2%	8.8%	+/-2.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	14.8%	+/-5.4%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	3.6%	+/-1.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	34.3%	+/-13.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	42.3%	+/-19.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.