


# Data for Decision Makers



## Dyersville, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Dyersville is 4,477. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 10.3%. The City of Dyersville is in Dubuque County. Dubuque County saw a 6.0% population increase between 2010 and 2020.

Dubuque County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


City	2000	2010	2020
<b>Dyersville<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>4,035</b>	<b>4,058</b>	<b>4,477</b>
Male Total	1,925	1,967	2,185
Female Total	2,110	2,091	2,292
Asbury	2,450	4,170	5,943
Balltown	73	68	79
Bankston	27	25	23
Bernard	97	112	114
Cascade <sup>†</sup>	1,958	2,159	2,386
Centralia	101	134	116
Dubuque	57,686	57,637	59,667
Durango	24	22	20
Epworth	1,428	1,860	2,023
Farley	1,334	1,537	1,766
Graf	73	79	76
Holy Cross	339	374	356
Luxemburg	246	240	245
New Vienna	400	407	382
Peosta	651	1,377	1,908
Rickardsville	191	182	202
Sageville	203	122	95
Sherrill	186	177	189
Worthington	381	401	382
Zwingle <sup>†</sup>	100	91	84
Dubuque County Total	89,143	93,653	99,266
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Bankston	-22.9%	-7.4%	-8.0%
Earlville	9.5%	-9.8%	-11.8%
Farley	-1.5%	15.2%	14.9%
New Vienna	6.4%	1.8%	-6.1%
Worthington	-13.2%	5.2%	-4.7%
Dyersville	9.0%	0.6%	10.3%
Dubuque County	3.2%	5.1%	6.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### Regional Director

Marybeth Foster  
14858 West Ridge Ln., Ste 2  
Dubuque, IA 52003  
mbfoster@iastate.edu  
563-583-6496

#### County Office

Sandi Helgersen  
14858 W Ridge Ln., Ste 2  
Dubuque, IA 52003  
sandih@iastate.edu  
563-583-6496

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

# Demographic Profile

# Dyersville, Iowa

Dyersville's population is predominantly White. The City of Dyersville, compared to Dubuque County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

**Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>**

Race Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.2%	97.5%	98.7%	96.3%
White	97.6%	92.5%	94.1%	89.0%
White alone, not Hispanic	96.9%	91.9%	92.9%	88.0%
Black or African American	0.9%	2.3%	2.7%	4.2%
Asian	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%	1.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.8%
Other	0.4%	2.1%	0.5%	1.1%
Two or more races	0.8%	2.5%	1.3%	3.7%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	1.3%	3.4%	1.9%	3.0%

<sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

**Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	1,700	1,840	36,815	39,891
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	64.8%	52.8%	65.5%	63.0%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	55.3%	39.9%	52.2%	48.9%
With own children	22.2%	20.9%	21.0%	17.9%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	9.5%	10.8%	13.3%	14.0%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	3.0%	3.4%	4.1%	4.4%
With own children	1.6%	2.3%	2.3%	2.4%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	6.5%	7.4%	9.2%	9.6%
With own children	4.3%	4.7%	5.9%	5.9%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	35.2%	47.2%	34.5%	37.0%
Male living alone	12.5%	13.4%	13.2%	14.5%
Female living alone	17.5%	17.0%	15.3%	15.9%

**Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	1,700	1,840	36,815	39,891
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	55.3%	39.9%	52.2%	48.9%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.6%	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.9%
Male householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	16.8%	NA <sup>e</sup>	19.3%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	24.0%	NA <sup>e</sup>	24.8%


<sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

**Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>**

Age Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	24.6%	24.6%	23.8%	22.5%
0 - 4	6.7%	6.7%	6.4%	5.9%
5 - 9	7%	6.3%	6.6%	6.2%
10 - 14	7.2%	7.0%	6.7%	6.5%
15 - 17	3.7%	4.6%	4.1%	3.9%
18 - 24	6.7%	7%	10.6%	10.4%
25 - 44	24.4%	23%	23.5%	23.8%
45 - 64	24.6%	25%	26.8%	24.3%
65 or older	19.6%	20.3%	15.3%	18.9%
65 - 84	16.3%	16.6%	12.8%	16.2%
85 or older	3.3%	3.8%	2.5%	2.6%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	40.6	40.4	38.6	38.7
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	79.4	81.6	64.2	70.8
Old-Age	35.2	36.9	25.1	32.3
Child	44.2	44.7	39.1	38.5

<sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

**Dyersville, Iowa Population Pyramid, <sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Dyersville, Iowa

The median household income in Dyersville is less than the median household income of Dubuque County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Dyersville than for Dubuque County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Dyersville than there is for Dubuque County. Many housing units in Dyersville were built between 1960 to 1979. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Dyersville compared to Dubuque County.

**Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	1,756	+/- 142	39,985	+/- 405
Less than \$34,999	16.6%	+/- 3.0%	21.9%	+/- 1.7%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	12.4%	+/- 5.0%	11.3%	+/- 1.0%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	39.1%	+/- 3.3%	32.3%	+/- 1.5%
\$100,000 Or More	32.1%	+/- 3.6%	34.6%	+/- 1.6%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$71,571	+/- \$10,273	\$73,495	+/- \$2,421
Median Family Income	\$91,094	+/- \$17,246	\$94,243	+/- \$2,933
Per Capita Income	\$39,088	+/- \$6,038	\$38,705	+/- \$1,126

**Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	2,936	+/-192	66,284	+/-199
High School Graduate or Higher	92.2%	+/-4.3%	94%	+/-0.7%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	21.3%	+/-5.3%	32%	+/-1.3%

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.


\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	1,835	+/- 160	42,707	+/- 82
Vacant	4.3%	+/- 3.5%	6.4%	+/- 0.9%
Occupied	95.7%	+/- 3.5%	93.6%	+/- 0.9%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	1,756	+/- 142	39,985	+/- 405
Owner	86.2%	+/- 5.4%	73.3%	+/- 1.2%
Renter	13.8%	+/- 5.4%	26.7%	+/- 1.2%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$944	+/- \$184	\$912	+/- \$28
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$201,900	+/- \$22,867	\$210,300	+/- \$5,645

<sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

**Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**



In Dyersville there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 26.5% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

**Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	2,319	+/- 199	51,346	+/- 858
Management, business, science, and arts	27.0%	+/- 7.8%	37.4%	+/- 1.3%
Service	7.1%	+/- 3.1%	16.1%	+/- 1.3%
Sales and office	26.1%	+/- 5.8%	20.2%	+/- 1.1%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	13.3%	+/- 5.9%	7.5%	+/- 0.7%
Production, transportation, and material moving	26.5%	+/- 6.5%	18.8%	+/- 1.2%

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Dyersville, Iowa

Dyersville has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Dubuque County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Dyersville tend to be higher than the median earnings for Dubuque County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

**Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	2,482	+/- 206	57,080	+/- 839
Median Earnings	\$45,846	+/- \$45	\$40,612	+/- \$848
Female	\$35,345	+/- \$11,702	\$33,797	+/- \$1,210
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$42,500	+/- \$5,991	\$46,398	+/- \$1,183
Male	\$53,073	+/- \$3,559	\$49,494	+/- \$1,812
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$58,192	+/- \$5,021	\$60,264	+/- \$1,653

<sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	4,273	+/-61	97,531	+/-36
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	8.9%	+/-3.3%	11.0%	+/-0.6%
With Health Insurance	94.6%	+/-5.1%	96.6%	+/-0.5%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	81.4%	+/-7.0%	77.5%	+/-1.2%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	29.3%	+/-5.3%	34.9%	+/-1.2%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.4%	+/-0.5%

<sup>a</sup>Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some military plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

**Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	3,408	+/- 159	79,456	+/- 180
in labor force	70.2%	+/- 5.8%	67.4%	+/- 1.0%
not in labor force	29.8%	+/- 5.8%	32.6%	+/- 1.0%
Civilian labor force	2,393	+/- 215	53,550	+/- 835
Unemployed	3.1%	+/- 3.1%	4.1%	+/- 0.7%

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	4,273	+/-61	95,249	+/-110
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	10%	+/-1.1%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	11.0%	+/-2.7%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	10.0%	+/-1.1%
65+	**%	+/-**%	8.5%	+/-1.4%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	5.7%	+/-1.1%
with children	**%	+/-**%	9.0%	+/-2.3%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	1.9%	+/-0.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	2.2%	+/-0.9%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	22.9%	+/-5.6%
with children	**%	+/-**%	27.5%	+/-7.9%

<sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Notes and Source Information:

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

<sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.

<sup>4</sup> Median is the middle value of a distribution.

<sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist  
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist  
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist  
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to [www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext).