## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Hartford, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

## Population profile

The 2020 population for Hartford is 733. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 4.9%. The City of Hartford is in Warren County. Warren County saw a 13.4% population increase between 2010 and 2020.


warren co	warren County Cities - Total Topulation						
City	2000	2010	2020				
Hartford	759	771	733				
Male Total	384	395	390				
Female Total	375	376	343				
Ackworth	85	83	115				
Bevington <sup>†</sup>	58	63	57				
Carlisle <sup>†</sup>	3,497	3,876	4,160				
Cumming	162	351	436				
Indianola	12,998	14,782	15,833				
Lacona	360	361	345				
Martensdale	467	465	421				
Milo	839	775	778				
New Virginia	469	489	498				
Norwalk	6,884	8,945	12,799				
Sandyville	61	51	58				
Spring Hill	92	63	68				
St. Marys	134	127	108				
Warren County Total	40,671	46,225	52,403				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Ackworth	28.8%	-2.4%	38.6%
Carlisle	7.9%	10.8%	7.3%
Runnells	15.0%	44.0%	-9.9%
Sandyville	3.4%	-16.4%	13.7%
Swan	59.2%	-40.5%	5.6%
Hartford	-1.2%	1.6%	-4.9%
Warren County	12.9%	13.7%	13.4%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

#### City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### **Regional Director**

Chris Frelend 200 W 2nd Ave., Ste A Indianola, IA 50125 cfreland@iastate.edu 515-961-6237

#### **County Office**

Samantha Brand 200 W 2nd Ave., Ste A Indianola, IA 50125 snhasper@iastate.edu 515-961-6237

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Hartford's population is predominantly White. The City of Hartford, compared to Warren County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	C	City		unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.2%	96.9%	98.8%	95.5%
White	97.4%	94.3%	97.1%	92.6%
White alone, not Hispanic	95.5%	92.4%	95.8%	91.4%
Black or African American	0.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.9%
Asian	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.5%	1.6%	0.4%	1.0%
Two or more races	0.8%	3.1%	1.2%	4.5%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%	3.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

			**	
		City	C	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	279	273	17,262	19,616
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	74.6%	54.3%	73.1%	73.1%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	56.3%	41.4%	60.4%	59.2%
With own children	24.0%	22.7%	25.6%	24.0%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	18.3%	15.4%	12.7%	13.9%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	6.5%	6.2%	3.8%	4.8%
With own children	5.4%	4.4%	2.5%	2.8%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	11.8%	9.2%	8.9%	9.0%
With own children	7.9%	4.4%	5.8%	5.2%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	25.4%	45.7%	26.9%	26.9%
Male living alone	11.1%	13.6%	9.0%	10.2%
Female living alone	9.7%	11.4%	13.1%	12.3%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	279	273	17,262	19,616
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	56.3%	41.4%	60.4%	59.2%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.5%	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.9%
Male householder g	$NA^e$	18.7%	$NA^e$	14.5%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	19.0%	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.4%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	30.6%	28.2%	26.0%	25.4%
0 - 4	9.3%	7.4%	6.4%	6.0%
5 - 9	9.1%	7.6%	7.2%	7.2%
10 - 14	8%	8.7%	7.9%	7.8%
15 - 17	4.2%	4.5%	4.5%	4.4%
18 - 24	6.9%	5.6%	9.1%	8.3%
25 - 44	28.7%	25.4%	24.3%	24%
45 - 64	24.6%	27.1%	27.2%	25.2%
65 or older	9.2%	13.5%	13.3%	17.0%
65 - 84	7.8%	11.3%	11.3%	15.1%
85 or older	1.4%	2.2%	2.0%	2.0%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	33.3	37.6	38.3	38.8
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	66.1	71.8	64.7	73.7
Old-Age	15.3	23.2	21.9	29.6
Child	50.8	48.5	42.8	44.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Hartford, Iowa Population Pyramid,<sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Hartford is less than the median household income of Warren County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Hartford than for Warren County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Hartford than there is for Warren County. Many housing units in Hartford were built between 1960 to 1979.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	257	+/- 53	19,906	+/- 307
Less than \$34,999	14.0%	+/- 3.3%	16.8%	+/- 1.9%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	9.7%	+/- 7.1%	8.5%	+/- 1.2%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	51.4%	+/- 4.4%	30.2%	+/- 1.8%
\$100,000 Or More	24.8%	+/- 3.7%	44.5%	+/- 2.1%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$71,635	+/- \$6,110	\$89,741	+/- \$3,923
Median Family Income	\$76,635	+/- \$16,446	\$107,434	+/- \$3,558
Per Capita Income	\$32,182	+/- \$3,245	\$41,279	+/- \$1,155

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	444	+/-95	34,895	+/-102	
High School Graduate or Higher	92.8%	+/-4.2%	96.5%	+/-0.5%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	**%	+/-**%	32.2%	+/-1.9%	


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	284	+/- 56	21,083	+/- 83
Vacant	9.5%	+/- 6.0%	5.6%	+/- 1.5%
Occupied	90.5%	+/- 6.0%	94.4%	+/- 1.5%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	257	+/- 53	19,906	+/- 307
Owner	95.3%	+/- 4.7%	81.7%	+/- 1.7%
Renter	4.7%	+/- 4.7%	18.3%	+/- 1.7%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$1167	+/- \$108	\$943	+/- \$54
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$148,900	+/-\$35,951	\$234,300	+/-\$7,964

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Hartford there is a higher percentage of workers in natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 15.5% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		Сог	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	330	+/- 77	27,607	+/- 523	
Management, business, science, and arts	22.7%	+/- 8.1%	39.4%	+/- 2.2%	
Service	**%	+/- **%	14.2%	+/- 1.5%	
Sales and office	19.4%	+/- 7.8%	21.3%	+/- 1.5%	
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	23.6%	+/- 9.1%	11.9%	+/- 1.2%	
Production, transportation, and material moving	15.5%	+/- 7.6%	13.2%	+/- 1.3%	

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

Hartford has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Warren County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Hartford tend to be higher than the median earnings for Warren County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	348	+/- 83	30,287	+/- 434
Median Earnings	\$47,143	+/- \$47	\$46,513	+/- \$1,649
Female	\$31,071	+/- \$6,736	\$38,039	+/- \$1,859
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$44,000	+/- \$14,023	\$57,264	+/- \$2,491
Male	\$57,500	+/- \$10,901	\$56,385	+/- \$2,518
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$62,969	+/- \$9,991	\$70,523	+/- \$2,371

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	657	+/-138	52,070	+/-50
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	16.6%	+/-5.0%	10.5%	+/-1.0%
With Health Insurance	97.1%	+/-2.9%	97.6%	+/-0.5%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	72.3%	+/-6.6%	81.4%	+/-1.6%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	41.1%	+/-8.7%	30.4%	+/-1.5%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	2.4%	+/-0.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	482	+/- 99	41,445	+/- 121
in labor force	72.4%	+/- 7.5%	69.0%	+/- 1.1%
not in labor force	27.6%	+/- 7.5%	31.0%	+/- 1.1%
Civilian labor force	349	+/- 84	28,512	+/- 489
Unemployed	5.4%	+/- 4.6%	3.2%	+/- 0.8%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	646	+/-133	50,869	+/-148
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	6.2%	+/-1.1%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	6.6%	+/-2.1%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	6.0%	+/-1.0%
65+	**%	+/-**%	6.2%	+/-1.9%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	3.8%	+/-1.1%
with children	**%	+/-**%	5.8%	+/-1.8%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	1.5%	+/-0.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	15.1%	+/-6.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	19.0%	+/-8.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.