## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Anthon, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

## Population profile

The 2020 population for Anthon is 545. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 3.5%. The City of Anthon is in Woodbury County. Woodbury County saw a 3.7% population increase between 2010 and 2020.

Woodbury County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


Woodbury County Cities - Total Population							
City	2000	2010	2020				
Anthon	649	565	545				
Male Total	294	253	265				
Female Total	355	312	280				
Bronson	269	322	294				
Correctionville	851	821	766				
Cushing	246	220	230				
Danbury	384	348	320				
Hornick	253	225	255				
Lawton	697	908	943				
Moville	1,583	1,618	1,687				
Oto	145	108	72				
Pierson	371	366	337				
Salix	370	363	295				
Sergeant Bluff	3,321	4,227	5,015				
Sioux City <sup>†</sup>	85,013	82,684	85,797				
Sloan	1,032	973	1,042				
Smithland	221	224	181				
Woodbury County Total	103,877	102,172	105,941				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Correctionville	-5.1%	-3.5%	-6.7%
Cushing	11.8%	-10.6%	4.5%
Oto	22.9%	-25.5%	-33.3%
Pierson	8.8%	-1.3%	-7.9%
Smithland	-6.0%	1.4%	-19.2%
Anthon	1.7%	-12.9%	-3.5%
Woodbury County	5.7%	1.6%	3.7%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

#### City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



Regional Director Molly Hewitt 4728 Southern Hills Dr. Sioux City, IA 51106 hewittm@iastate.edu 712-276-2157 County Office Karrie King 4728 Southern Hills Dr. Sioux City, IA 51106 karriek@iastate.edu 712-276-2157

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Anthon's population is predominantly White. The City of Anthon, compared to Woodbury County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	98.8%	97.6%	96.8%	90.9%
White	97.2%	94.7%	83.6%	72.5%
White alone, not Hispanic	96.6%	93.6%	77.6%	68.2%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.6%	2.4%	4.9%
Asian	0.0%	0.2%	2.4%	2.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.7%	2.2%	2.4%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%
Other	1.4%	1.3%	6.1%	7.7%
Two or more races	1.2%	2.4%	3.2%	9.1%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	2.5%	3.5%	13.7%	17.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

			**	
		City	C	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	263	252	39,052	39,904
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	57.8%	57.2%	65.6%	64.6%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	46.8%	42.8%	47.5%	44.7%
With own children	15.6%	12.7%	19.9%	18.0%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	11.0%	19.8%	18.2%	19.9%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	2.7%	10.3%	5.6%	6.7%
With own children	1.5%	4.4%	3.3%	3.9%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	8.4%	9.5%	12.6%	13.1%
With own children	6.5%	4.8%	8.2%	8.0%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	42.2%	42.8%	34.4%	35.4%
Male living alone	13.3%	12.7%	12.3%	13.8%
Female living alone	25.1%	20.6%	15.8%	15.0%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	263	252	39,052	39,904
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	46.8%	42.8%	47.5%	44.7%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.7%	NA <sup>e</sup>	8.2%
Male householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	22.6%	$NA^e$	20.2%
Female householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	29.8%	NA <sup>e</sup>	26.9%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	22.3%	22.9%	26.6%	26%
0 - 4	7.4%	6.6%	7.8%	6.6%
5 - 9	5%	5.3%	7.3%	7.2%
10 - 14	5.3%	8.3%	7.1%	7.8%
15 - 17	4.6%	2.7%	4.4%	4.4%
18 - 24	6%	6.3%	10.5%	9.9%
25 - 44	18.1%	23.9%	24.9%	25.4%
45 - 64	26.5%	25.3%	25.1%	23.4%
65 or older	27.1%	21.7%	12.8%	15.4%
65 - 84	22.9%	17.5%	10.9%	13.5%
85 or older	4.2%	4.2%	1.9%	1.9%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	46.8	43.1	35.1	35.8
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	97.6	80.4	65.1	70.5
Old-Age	53.6	39.1	21.2	26.2
Child	44.1	41.3	44.0	44.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Anthon, Iowa Population Pyramid, a 20201



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Anthon is less than the median household income of Woodbury County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Anthon than for Woodbury County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Anthon than there is for Woodbury County. Many housing units in Anthon were built prior to 1940. There is a higher percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Anthon compared to Woodbury County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	259	+/- 48	40,299	+/- 366
Less than \$34,999	36.7%	+/- 4.7%	26.0%	+/- 2.0%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	14.3%	+/- 6.0%	11.6%	+/- 1.0%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	29.0%	+/- 3.3%	29.1%	+/- 1.7%
\$100,000 Or More	20.1%	+/- 3.6%	33.2%	+/- 1.8%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$47,344	+/- \$14,527	\$68,399	+/- \$2,126
Median Family Income	\$65,000	+/- \$22,622	\$86,194	+/- \$4,235
Per Capita Income	\$29,924	+/- \$4,226	\$33,080	+/- \$768

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	399	+/-79	67,477	+/-310
High School Graduate or Higher	94.5%	+/-2.9%	87.6%	+/-0.8%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	24.6%	+/-7.6%	24%	+/-1.2%


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	323	+/- 65	42,864	+/- 76
Vacant	19.8%	+/- 11.8%	6.0%	+/- 0.9%
Occupied	80.2%	+/- 11.8%	94.0%	+/- 0.9%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	259	+/- 48	40,299	+/- 366
Owner	83.8%	+/- 7.2%	68.2%	+/- 1.8%
Renter	16.2%	+/- 7.2%	31.8%	+/- 1.8%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$**	+/- \$**	\$913	+/- \$21
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$92,000	+/-\$6,910	\$158,000	+/-\$3,837

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Anthon there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up \*\*% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	265	+/- 80	52,921	+/- 995
Management, business, science, and arts	22.3%	+/- 7.6%	31.7%	+/- 1.4%
Service	**%	+/- **%	16.4%	+/- 1.2%
Sales and office	**%	+/- **%	19.3%	+/- 1.4%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	10.2%	+/- 1.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving	**%	+/- **%	22.4%	+/- 1.4%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

Anthon has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Woodbury County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Anthon tend to be lower than the median earnings for Woodbury County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	318	+/- 84	58,615	+/- 832
Median Earnings	\$22,935	+/- \$22	\$38,065	+/- \$1,440
Female	\$**	+/- \$**	\$32,324	+/- \$1,562
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$33,750	+/- \$12,327	\$44,433	+/- \$1,659
Male	\$37,125	+/- \$6,972	\$44,214	+/- \$2,149
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$58,438	+/- \$19,008	\$56,144	+/- \$1,660

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Со	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population <sup>a</sup>	527	+/-105	104,378	+/-103	
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	18.8%	+/-5.7%	13.4%	+/-0.8%	
With Health Insurance	95.4%	+/-3.3%	93.5%	+/-0.6%	
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	62.2%	+/-10.8%	64.8%	+/-1.5%	
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	54.8%	+/-10.3%	41.7%	+/-1.5%	
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	6.5%	+/-0.6%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	460	+/- 96	81,389	+/- 235
in labor force	61.3%	+/- 9.8%	68.2%	+/- 1.2%
not in labor force	38.7%	+/- 9.8%	31.8%	+/- 1.2%
Civilian labor force	282	+/- 81	55,409	+/- 976
Unemployed	6.0%	+/- 5.1%	4.5%	+/- 0.8%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	527	+/-105	102,741	+/-204
Below poverty	16.3%	+/-6.6%	13.5%	+/-1.4%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	18.2%	+/-2.8%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	12.5%	+/-1.3%
65+	**%	+/-**%	9.2%	+/-1.8%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	9.9%	+/-1.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	15.2%	+/-2.4%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.0%	+/-1.1%
with children	**%	+/-**%	4.6%	+/-1.8%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	30.8%	+/-5.1%
with children	**%	+/-**%	39.6%	+/-6.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.