## **Data for Decision Makers**



# Schleswig, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

## Population profile

The 2020 population for Schleswig is 830. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 5.9%. The City of Schleswig is in Crawford County. Crawford County saw a 3.3% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.


Crawford County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>

Crawford County Cities - Total Fopulation							
City	2000	2010	2020				
Schleswig	833	882	830				
Male Total	410	423	421				
Female Total	423	459	409				
Arion	136	108	97				
Aspinwall	58	40	33				
Buck Grove	49	43	34				
Charter Oak	530	502	535				
Deloit	288	264	250				
Denison	7,339	8,298	8,373				
Dow City	503	510	485				
Kiron	273	279	267				
Manilla	839	776	775				
Ricketts	144	145	109				
Vail	452	436	396				
Westside	327	299	285				
Crawford County Total	16,942	17,096	16,525				
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369				

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Charter Oak	6.6%	-5.3%	6.6%
Deloit	-2.7%	-8.3%	-5.3%
Denison	11.1%	13.1%	0.9%
Kiron	-9.3%	2.2%	-4.3%
Ricketts	37.1%	0.7%	-24.8%
Schleswig	-2.1%	5.9%	-5.9%
Crawford County	1.0%	0.9%	-3.3%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%



Regional Director	County Office			
Terry Torneten	Kathy Thul			
906 Sixth St.	35 S Main St.			
Harlan, IA 51537	Denison, IA 51442			
ttorn@iastate.edu	kthul321@iastate.edu			
712-755-3104	712-263-4697			
https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu				

City

County

Schleswig's population is predominantly White. The City of Schleswig, compared to Crawford County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	98.1%	93.6%	98.4%	89.3%
White	92.9%	84.7%	82.9%	68.2%
White alone, not Hispanic	91.2%	84.0%	73.4%	64.3%
Black or African American	0.6%	0.2%	1.2%	2.4%
Asian	0.1%	1.0%	0.6%	1.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	1.0%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	4.4%	7.0%	13.2%	15.9%
Two or more races	1.9%	6.4%	1.6%	10.7%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	6.9%	12.8%	24.2%	29.7%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

•	•	•		
		City	Co	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	377	363	6,413	6,255
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	65.3%	65.8%	68.0%	66.7%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	53.6%	50.7%	54.2%	50.9%
With own children	18.0%	14.6%	21.5%	19.3%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	11.7%	15.2%	13.8%	15.9%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	4.5%	6.9%	5.4%	6.2%
With own children	3.4%	4.4%	3.1%	3.9%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	7.2%	8.3%	8.4%	9.7%
With own children	5.3%	6.1%	5.8%	7.2%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	34.7%	34.2%	32.0%	33.3%
Male living alone	11.9%	14.6%	12.6%	14.4%
Female living alone	17.5%	15.7%	14.5%	14.0%

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	377	363	6,413	6,255
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	53.6%	50.7%	54.2%	50.9%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.1%	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.9%
Male householder g	$NA^e$	20.1%	$NA^e$	19.8%
Female householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	23.1%	NA <sup>e</sup>	22.5%


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	25.3%	21.9%	26.4%	26.8%
0 - 4	5.8%	6.5%	7.4%	6.7%
5 - 9	6.7%	4.8%	7.1%	7.2%
10 - 14	8.7%	6.9%	7.3%	7.8%
15 - 17	4.1%	3.7%	4.6%	5.1%
18 - 24	5.4%	8.2%	8.8%	8.8%
25 - 44	20.4%	19.5%	22.1%	21.9%
45 - 64	27.9%	26.9%	26.4%	24.4%
65 or older	21%	23.4%	16.3%	18.0%
65 - 84	17.4%	21.1%	13.3%	15.3%
85 or older	3.6%	2.3%	3.0%	2.5%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	43.8	45.3	38.4	37.8
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	86.2	83.0	74.7	81.3
Old-Age	39.1	42.9	28.5	32.7
Child	47.1	40.1	46.2	48.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Schleswig, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Schleswig is greater than the median household income of Crawford County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Schleswig than for Crawford County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Schleswig than there is for Crawford County. Many housing units in Schleswig were built prior to 1940. There is a higher percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Schleswig compared to Crawford County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	397	+/- 105	6,214	+/- 940
Less than \$34,999	25.4%	+/- 4.3%	29.1%	+/- 3.2%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	13.6%	+/- 6.7%	11.1%	+/- 3.1%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	43.3%	+/- 4.3%	33.4%	+/- 2.5%
\$100,000 Or More	17.6%	+/- 3.5%	26.5%	+/- 2.7%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$68,567	+/- \$4,007	\$61,188	+/- \$4,191
Median Family Income	\$69,457	+/- \$7,700	\$73,892	+/- \$8,639
Per Capita Income	\$33,607	+/- \$5,490	\$30,781	+/- \$2,411

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City		unty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	604	+/-119	10,648	+/-309
High School Graduate or Higher	85.1%	+/-8.1%	82.5%	+/-2.8%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	18.7%	+/-6.1%	16.1%	+/-2.3%


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	C	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	435	+/- 102	6,860	+/- 931
Vacant	8.7%	+/- 6.0%	9.4%	+/- 2.6%
Occupied	91.3%	+/- 6.0%	90.6%	+/- 2.6%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	397	+/- 105	6,214	+/- 940
Owner	75.3%	+/- 12.9%	73.7%	+/- 4.9%
Renter	24.7%	+/- 12.9%	26.3%	+/- 4.9%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$486	+/- \$235	\$732	+/- \$80
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$115,200	+/-\$20,537	\$118,400	+/-\$11,428

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Schleswig there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 28.1% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	484	+/- 122	7,910	+/- 378
Management, business, science, and arts	35.5%	+/- 7.6%	21.1%	+/- 3.6%
Service	**%	+/- **%	18.6%	+/- 8.2%
Sales and office	10.5%	+/- 5.2%	19.1%	+/- 3.6%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	12.2%	+/- 2.0%
Production, transportation, and material moving	28.1%	+/- 7.8%	29.0%	+/- 6.0%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

Schleswig has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Crawford County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Schleswig tend to be higher than the median earnings for Crawford County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	511	+/- 131	8,727	+/- 381
Median Earnings	\$44,563	+/- \$44	\$34,756	+/- \$3,127
Female	\$42,813	+/- \$13,108	\$30,029	+/- \$2,403
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$55,240	+/- \$11,739	\$39,540	+/- \$5,156
Male	\$45,000	+/- \$10,727	\$41,866	+/- \$3,821
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$56,458	+/- \$14,685	\$54,888	+/- \$2,963

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	933	+/-189	16,260	+/-28
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	14.8%	+/-5.2%	15.3%	+/-2.7%
With Health Insurance	85.1%	+/-11.0%	91.1%	+/-2.5%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	64.2%	+/-9.8%	64.7%	+/-4.3%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	36.5%	+/-9.5%	40.3%	+/-3.8%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	8.9%	+/-2.5%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	739	+/- 152	12,937	+/- 161
in labor force	68.6%	+/- 7.7%	63.7%	+/- 2.6%
not in labor force	31.4%	+/- 7.7%	36.3%	+/- 2.6%
Civilian labor force	507	+/- 133	8,247	+/- 305
Unemployed	4.5%	+/- 3.8%	4.1%	+/- 2.1%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	933	+/-189	16,244	+/-31
Below poverty	14.0%	+/-5.9%	17%	+/-4%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	25.8%	+/-7.9%
18 - 64	12.9%	+/-6.4%	15.9%	+/-4.2%
65+	**%	+/-**%	7.6%	+/-3.3%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	15.2%	+/-5.6%
with children	**%	+/-**%	25.7%	+/-10.6%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	51.3%	+/-21.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	55.7%	+/-23.9%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup>For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.