Data for Decision Makers



Wyoming, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

Population profile

The 2020 population for Wyoming is 523. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 1.6%. The City of Wyoming is in Jones County. Jones County saw a 0.0% population increase between 2010 and 2020.

Jones County Cities - Total Population¹


Jones Co	Jones County Cities - Total Population							
City	2000	2010	2020					
Wyoming	626	515	523					
Male Total	290	260	258					
Female Total	336	255	265					
Anamosa	5,494	5,533	5,450					
Cascade [†]	1,958	2,159	2,386					
Martelle	280	255	249					
Monticello	3,607	3,796	4,040					
Morley	88	115	96					
Olin	716	698	651					
Onslow	223	197	201					
Oxford Junction	573	496	424					
Jones County Total	20,221	20,638	20,646					
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369					

 $^{^\}dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Center Junction	-21.1%	-100.0%	inf%
Monmouth	6.5%	-15.0%	-15.7%
Olin	8.0%	-2.5%	-6.7%
Onslow	3.2%	-11.7%	2.0%
Oxford Junction	-1.4%	-13.4%	-14.5%
Wyoming	-5.0%	-17.7%	1.6%
Jones County	4.0%	2.1%	0.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%





Regional Director Abby Boysen 107 Cedar St. Tipton, IA 52772 aboysen@iastate.edu 319-540-7242

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

County Office RaeAnn Gordon 800 North Maple St. Monticello, IA 52310 raeannb@iastate.edu 319-465-3224

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY Extension and Outreach

Wyoming's population is predominantly White. The City of Wyoming, compared to Jones County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.³

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.6%	97.3%	99.4%	96.8%
White	99.0%	96.4%	96.4%	93.2%
White alone, not Hispanic	98.4%	96.0%	95.5%	92.0%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.8%	2.0%	2.1%
Asian	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%
Two or more races	0.4%	2.7%	0.6%	3.2%
Hispanic origin ^a	1.2%	0.4%	1.3%	2.4%

^a Hispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

•	•	•	• •	
	(City	Co	ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	243	230	8,181	8,113
Family households ^{a,b}	56.0%	57.4%	67.4%	66.7%
Married couple family ^b	44.4%	41.3%	55.2%	53.6%
With own children	16.9%	17.8%	19.6%	17.9%
Other family ^c	11.5%	16.1%	12.2%	13.1%
Male householder ^c	4.5%	4.8%	4.2%	4.8%
With own children	2.9%	1.7%	2.5%	2.9%
Female householder ^c	7.0%	11.3%	8.0%	8.3%
With own children	5.3%	5.2%	5.3%	4.8%
Nonfamily households ^d	44.0%	42.6%	32.6%	33.3%
Male living alone	17.3%	13.5%	12.6%	14.4%
Female living alone	21.0%	21.7%	14.4%	13.8%

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

		City		ounty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	243	230	8,181	8,113
Married couple ^b	44.4%	41.3%	55.2%	53.6%
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	7.0%	NA^e	7.3%
Male householder g	NA ^e	18.7%	NA^e	18.2%
Female householder ^g	NA ^e	33.0%	NA ^e	20.8%


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

	(City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	21.6%	23.1%	22.4%	21.3%
0 - 4	7.8%	4.4%	5.9%	5.1%
5 - 9	6.2%	8.0%	6.1%	5.7%
10 - 14	3.9%	6.3%	6.2%	6.6%
15 - 17	3.7%	4.4%	4.2%	3.9%
18 - 24	5.4%	7%	6.7%	6.7%
25 - 44	23.1%	23.9%	24.0%	23.7%
45 - 64	29.5%	28.4%	29.7%	27.3%
65 or older	20.4%	17.4%	17.2%	20.9%
65 - 84	17.1%	14.1%	14.4%	18.2%
85 or older	3.3%	3.3%	2.8%	2.6%
Median ⁴ Age (years)	44.9	42.2	42.7	43.3
Age Dependency ^{a, 5}	72.3	68.3	65.6	73.1
Old-Age	35.1	29.3	28.5	36.2
Child	37.2	39.0	37.1	36.9

^aAge Dependency Ratio

Wyoming, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020¹



^aEach bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Wyoming is less than the median household income of Jones County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Wyoming than for Jones County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Wyoming than there is for Jones County. Many housing units in Wyoming were built prior to 1940. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Wyoming compared to Jones County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	241	+/- 64	8,062	+/- 192
Less than \$34,999	27.8%	+/- 5.1%	22.2%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	9.1%	+/- 6.0%	12.1%	+/- 2.3%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	38.1%	+/- 4.4%	35.4%	+/- 2.3%
\$100,000 Or More	24.9%	+/- 4.2%	30.4%	+/- 2.4%
Median ⁴ Household Income	\$63,125	+/- \$10,800	\$68,781	+/- \$4,469
Median Family Income	\$68,667	+/- \$12,263	\$88,862	+/- \$5,716
Per Capita Income	\$34,530	+/- \$7,090	\$33,996	+/- \$1,794

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	(City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	353	+/-91	14,978	+/-92	
High School Graduate or Higher	93.2%	+/-5.0%	94.3%	+/-1.1%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	19.8%	+/-6.5%	22.5%	+/-2.3%	


^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	291	+/- 70	8,893	+/- 56
Vacant	17.2%	+/- 11.4%	9.3%	+/- 2.0%
Occupied	82.8%	+/- 11.4%	90.7%	+/- 2.0%
Occupied ^a	241	+/- 64	8,062	+/- 192
Owner	84.2%	+/- 10.5%	80.6%	+/- 3.0%
Renter	15.8%	+/- 10.5%	19.4%	+/- 3.0%
Rent ^b	\$805	+/- \$119	\$693	+/- \$31
Value ^c	\$99,900	+/-\$11,280	\$165,200	+/-\$5,995

^aOccupied Units, ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units, ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



In Wyoming there is a higher percentage of workers in production, transportation, and material moving occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 31.0% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022²

		City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	268	+/- 95	10,001	+/- 406	
Management, business, science, and arts	27.6%	+/- 10.6%	35.4%	+/- 2.6%	
Service	**%	+/- **%	12.7%	+/- 2.3%	
Sales and office	17.2%	+/- 8.2%	21.1%	+/- 2.6%	
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	**%	+/- **%	10.5%	+/- 1.8%	
Production, transportation, and material moving	31.0%	+/- 14.4%	20.3%	+/- 2.7%	

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Wyoming has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Jones County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Wyoming tend to be higher than the median earnings for Jones County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

	(City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	313	+/- 103	10,948	+/- 376
Median Earnings	\$43,750	+/- \$43	\$42,645	+/- \$3,067
Female	\$41,141	+/- \$6,089	\$36,250	+/- \$4,652
Female, full time ^b	\$48,750	+/- \$11,968	\$50,851	+/- \$2,589
Male	\$51,389	+/- \$14,612	\$49,224	+/- \$3,661
Male, full time ^b	\$62,500	+/- \$13,332	\$55,732	+/- \$1,880

^a with earnings, ^b year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022²

	City		Со	unty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population ^a	540	+/-144	19,626	+/-70
With a disability ^b	8.7%	+/-3.8%	13.1%	+/-1.9%
With Health Insurance	92.4%	+/-5.6%	97.1%	+/-0.8%
With Private ^c Coverage	57.6%	+/-12.4%	72.2%	+/-3.1%
With Public ^d Coverage	48.1%	+/-12.8%	42.3%	+/-2.8%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	2.9%	+/-0.8%

^aCivilan Noninstitutionalized Population, ^blimitations of activities or inability for full participation, ^cprovided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, ^dincludes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	443	+/- 120	16,956	+/- 84
in labor force	67.0%	+/- 9.4%	61.0%	+/- 2.3%
not in labor force	33.0%	+/- 9.4%	39.0%	+/- 2.3%
Civilian labor force	297	+/- 100	10,322	+/- 389
Unemployed	9.8%	+/- 10.8%	3.1%	+/- 1.2%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population ^a	540	+/-144	19,447	+/-163
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	11.4%	+/-2.1%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	13.9%	+/-5.4%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	12.0%	+/-2.5%
65+	**%	+/-**%	7.3%	+/-2.7%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	8.8%	+/-2.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	14.8%	+/-5.4%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	3.6%	+/-1.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH ^b	**%	+/-**%	34.3%	+/-13.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	42.3%	+/-19.2%

^a for whom poverty status is determined, ^b female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

- ¹U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- ²U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- ³For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- ⁴Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- ⁵The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.

^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.