# **Data for Decision Makers**



# Farnhamville, Iowa **City Profile**

report created: 12/17/2024

# Population profile

The 2020 population for Farnhamville is 383. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 3.2%. The City of Farnhamville is in Calhoun County. Calhoun County saw a 2.7% population increase between 2010 and 2020.


Calhoun	County	Cities	- Total	Population <sup>1</sup>


Calhoun Co	Calhoun County Cities - Total Population <sup>1</sup>							
City	2000	2010	2020					
Farnhamville <sup>†</sup>	430	371	383					
Male Total	199	172	195					
Female Total	231	199	188					
Jolley	54	41	28					
Knierim	70	60	53					
Lake City	1,787	1,727	1,731					
Lohrville	431	368	381					
Lytton <sup>†</sup>	305	315	282					
Manson	1,893	1,690	1,709					
Pomeroy	710	662	526					
Rinard	72	52	38					
Rockwell City	2,264	1,709	2,240					
Somers	165	113	128					
Yetter	36	34	19					
Calhoun County Total	11,115	9,670	9,927					
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

#### **Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change**

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Callender	10.4%	-11.3%	-2.1%
Gowrie	1.0%	-0.1%	-8.2%
Lohrville	-4.9%	-14.6%	3.5%
Rinard	1.4%	-27.8%	-26.9%
Somers	2.5%	-31.5%	13.3%
Farnhamville	3.9%	-13.7%	3.2%
Calhoun County	-3.4%	13%	2.7%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%





**Regional Director** Terry Janssen 209 Centennial Dr., Ste A Cherokee, IA 51012 tjans@iastate.edu 712-225-6196

**County Office** Caitlyn Butler 325 Court St. Rockwell City, IA 50579 cnbutler@iastate.edu 712-297-8611

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

# Demographic Profile

### Farnhamville, Iowa

Farnhamville's population is predominantly White. The City of Farnhamville, compared to Calhoun County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

#### Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>

	City		Co	ounty	
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020	
One race only	99.2%	94.5%	99.4%	97.2%	
White	98.4%	89.0%	98.5%	94.3%	
White alone, not Hispanic	98.4%	89.0%	97.9%	93.3%	
Black or African American	0.0%	2.1%	0.2%	1.5%	
Asian	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%	
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.1%	
Other	0.0%	1.0%	0.2%	0.8%	
Two or more races	0.8%	5.5%	0.6%	2.8%	
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	0.0%	1.3%	0.9%	2.4%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

#### Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>

	(	City	Co	County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020	
Total households	180	172	4,242	4,070	
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	61.7%	70.1%	65.5%	63.3%	
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	46.1%	54.7%	54.5%	51.2%	
With own children	12.8%	15.7%	16.9%	16.7%	
Other family <sup>c</sup>	15.6%	15.7%	11.0%	12.2%	
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	7.2%	4.1%	4.0%	4.0%	
With own children	5.0%	2.3%	2.6%	2.2%	
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	8.3%	11.6%	7.0%	8.2%	
With own children	4.4%	7.0%	4.8%	4.6%	
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	38.3%	29.9%	34.5%	36.7%	
Male living alone	10.6%	18.0%	13.7%	15.6%	
Female living alone	23.9%	17.4%	17.8%	16.7%	

#### Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>

		City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020	
Total households	180	172	4,242	4,070	
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	46.1%	54.7%	54.5%	51.2%	
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.2%	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.7%	
Male householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	25.0%	$NA^e$	18.5%	
Female householder g	NA <sup>e</sup>	27.3%	NA <sup>e</sup>	23.6%	


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

#### Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>

	(	City	Co	unty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	18.6%	25.6%	21.2%	21.4%
0 - 4	7%	5.5%	5.7%	5.4%
5 - 9	4.6%	8.4%	6.0%	6.2%
10 - 14	4.3%	7.6%	5.7%	6.2%
15 - 17	2.7%	4.1%	3.8%	3.6%
18 - 24	8.9%	5.8%	6.3%	5.8%
25 - 44	20.8%	23.5%	19.1%	23.4%
45 - 64	27.5%	24.7%	29.7%	26.2%
65 or older	24.3%	20.4%	23.7%	23.1%
65 - 84	18.4%	18%	19.1%	19.6%
85 or older	5.9%	2.3%	4.6%	3.5%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	46.8	39.9	47.8	44.3
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	75.1	85.2	81.5	80.3
Old-Age	42.6	37.8	43.0	41.7
Child	32.6	47.4	38.5	38.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

#### Farnhamville, Iowa Population Pyramid, 2020<sup>1</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

# Farnhamville, Iowa

The median household income in Farnhamville is less than the median household income of Calhoun County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Farnhamville than for Calhoun County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Farnhamville than there is for Calhoun County. Many housing units in Farnhamville were built prior to 1940.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	181	+/- 42	3,852	+/- 144
Less than \$34,999	40.8%	+/- 5.3%	27.4%	+/- 2.5%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	9.4%	+/- 4.7%	10.6%	+/- 1.7%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	38.1%	+/- 4.5%	33.8%	+/- 2.1%
\$100,000 Or More	11.6%	+/- 4.8%	28.2%	+/- 2.2%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$48,750	+/- \$13,500	\$65,614	+/- \$2,696
Median Family Income	\$59,318	+/- \$26,395	\$79,333	+/- \$4,075
Per Capita Income	\$23,363	+/- \$3,734	\$34,490	+/- \$2,202

#### **Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	(	City	County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Population 25 and older	266	+/-58	7,078	+/-31	
High School Graduate or Higher	97.0%	+/-2.8%	93.9%	+/-1.3%	
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	**%	+/-**%	21.4%	+/-2.3%	


<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

#### Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	(	City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	191	+/- 42	4,794	+/- 28
Vacant	5.2%	+/- 5.7%	19.6%	+/- 3.0%
Occupied	94.8%	+/- 5.7%	80.4%	+/- 3.0%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	181	+/- 42	3,852	+/- 144
Owner	67.4%	+/- 11.4%	78.7%	+/- 2.5%
Renter	32.6%	+/- 11.4%	21.3%	+/- 2.5%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$729	+/- \$268	\$696	+/- \$29
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$63,000	+/-\$5,808	\$104,900	+/-\$7,523

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units

#### Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>



In Farnhamville there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up \*\*% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

#### Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		Сог	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	187	+/- 50	4,237	+/- 149	
Management, business, science, and arts	39.0%	+/- 11.3%	37.5%	+/- 3.1%	
Service	20.3%	+/- 9.6%	17.9%	+/- 2.7%	
Sales and office	**%	+/- **%	17.2%	+/- 2.6%	
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	25.7%	+/- 10.8%	13.1%	+/- 2.0%	
Production, transportation, and material moving	**%	+/- **%	14.3%	+/- 2.3%	

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

## Socioeconomic Profile

### Farnhamville, Iowa

Farnhamville has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Calhoun County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Farnhamville tend to be lower than the median earnings for Calhoun County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

#### **Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	205	+/- 52	5,058	+/- 176
Median Earnings	\$33,542	+/- \$33	\$36,573	+/- \$2,165
Female	\$21,667	+/- \$7,545	\$32,815	+/- \$1,925
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$**	+/- \$**	\$39,794	+/- \$2,309
Male	\$50,469	+/- \$6,413	\$45,156	+/- \$3,952
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$52,188	+/- \$5,917	\$56,875	+/- \$2,526

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

# Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	448	+/-106	9,217	+/-27
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	14.7%	+/-5.4%	14.2%	+/-1.4%
With Health Insurance	83.7%	+/-8.2%	96.3%	+/-0.8%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	51.8%	+/-9.5%	71.0%	+/-2.3%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	50.4%	+/-10.3%	46.5%	+/-2.6%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.7%	+/-0.8%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Civilan Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	311	+/- 69	7,957	+/- 43
in labor force	60.8%	+/- 8.6%	54.7%	+/- 1.8%
not in labor force	39.2%	+/- 8.6%	45.3%	+/- 1.8%
Civilian labor force	189	+/- 50	4,355	+/- 141
Unemployed	1.1%	+/- 1.8%	2.7%	+/- 1.1%

<sup>\*\*</sup>MOE is high, use county estimate.

# Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	448	+/-106	9,204	+/-28
Below poverty	15.8%	+/-7.9%	9%	+/-1.6%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	8.7%	+/-3.4%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	9.4%	+/-1.7%
65+	**%	+/-**%	8.6%	+/-2.4%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	6.2%	+/-1.5%
with children	**%	+/-**%	8.8%	+/-3.2%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	2.9%	+/-1.2%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	90.0%	+/-18.2%	28.9%	+/-7.7%
with children	90.0%	+/-18.2%	31.3%	+/-12.8%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

#### **Notes and Source Information:**

- <sup>1</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- <sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- <sup>3</sup>For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- <sup>4</sup>Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- <sup>5</sup>The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

#### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <a href="https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu">https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu</a>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to <a href="https://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext">www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext</a>.

<sup>\*</sup>MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.