


# Data for Decision Makers



## Callender, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Callender is 368. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 2.1%. The City of Callender is in Webster County. Webster County saw a 2.7% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Webster County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


City	2000	2010	2020
<b>Callender</b>	<b>424</b>	<b>376</b>	<b>368</b>
Male Total	201	187	194
Female Total	223	189	174
Badger	610	561	522
Barnum	195	191	175
Clare	190	146	136
Dayton	884	837	772
Duncombe	474	410	381
Farnhamville <sup>†</sup>	430	371	383
Fort Dodge	25,136	25,206	24,871
Gowrie	1,038	1,037	952
Harcourt	340	303	264
Lehigh	497	416	395
Moorland	197	169	168
Otho	571	542	429
Stratford <sup>†</sup>	746	743	707
Vincent	158	174	130
Webster County Total	40,235	38,013	36,999
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Farnhamville	3.9%	-13.7%	3.2%
Gowrie	1.0%	-0.1%	-8.2%
Moorland	-5.7%	-14.2%	-0.6%
Otho	7.9%	-5.1%	-20.8%
Somers	2.5%	-31.5%	13.3%
Callender	10.4%	-11.3%	-2.1%
Webster County	-0.3%	5.5%	-2.7%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### Regional Director

Michelle Sackville  
822 Central Ave., Ste 102  
Fort Dodge, IA 50501  
sackvill@iastate.edu  
515-576-2119

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

#### County Office

Linda Cline  
822 Central Ave., Ste 102  
Fort Dodge, IA 50501  
lcline@iastate.edu  
515-576-2119

# Demographic Profile

# Callender, Iowa

Callender's population is predominantly White. The City of Callender, compared to Webster County, had a higher percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

**Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>**

Race Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.2%	92.9%	98.2%	94.9%
White	98.7%	92.4%	92.3%	86.8%
White alone, not Hispanic	98.7%	92.4%	90.0%	84.8%
Black or African American	0.3%	0.0%	3.8%	4.9%
Asian	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%	0.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	1.8%
Two or more races	0.8%	7.1%	1.8%	5.1%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	0.0%	4.1%	3.8%	5.6%

<sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

**Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	162	158	15,580	15,046
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	68.5%	64.0%	61.5%	58.9%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	51.9%	47.0%	46.2%	42.7%
With own children	17.3%	19.6%	16.0%	14.1%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	16.7%	11.4%	15.3%	16.3%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	6.8%	6.3%	4.6%	5.4%
With own children	5.6%	3.2%	2.8%	3.0%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	9.9%	5.1%	10.8%	10.9%
With own children	5.6%	1.9%	7.0%	6.5%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	31.5%	36.0%	38.5%	41.1%
Male living alone	13.6%	14.6%	14.8%	17.1%
Female living alone	14.8%	18.4%	18.1%	18.0%

**Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	162	158	15,580	15,046
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	51.9%	47.0%	46.2%	42.7%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	5.7%	NA <sup>e</sup>	7.6%
Male householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.9%	NA <sup>e</sup>	21.9%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	24.1%	NA <sup>e</sup>	27.8%


<sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

**Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>**

Age Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	23.4%	24.7%	22.1%	21.1%
0 - 4	7.7%	7.1%	5.9%	5.4%
5 - 9	7.7%	6.0%	6.0%	5.9%
10 - 14	5.1%	8.2%	6.2%	6.1%
15 - 17	2.9%	3.4%	4.0%	3.7%
18 - 24	9.3%	6.9%	11.1%	10%
25 - 44	20.2%	22.5%	22.3%	24.2%
45 - 64	29.8%	29.7%	27.7%	25%
65 or older	17.3%	16.3%	16.7%	19.8%
65 - 84	12.5%	12.8%	14%	16.6%
85 or older	4.8%	3.5%	2.7%	3.1%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	42.3	40.3	40.0	40.2
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	68.6	69.4	63.4	69.1
Old-Age	29.2	27.6	27.3	33.4
Child	39.5	41.8	36.1	35.6

<sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

**Callender, Iowa Population Pyramid,<sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Callender, Iowa

The median household income in Callender is less than the median household income of Webster County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Callender than for Webster County. There is a higher percentage of vacant housing units in Callender than there is for Webster County. Many housing units in Callender were built between 1960 to 1979. There is a lower percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Callender compared to Webster County.

**Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	150	+/- 31	15,582	+/- 285
Less than \$34,999	26.7%	+/- 4.7%	28.1%	+/- 2.4%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	10.7%	+/- 7.7%	11.6%	+/- 1.5%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	38.7%	+/- 4.4%	34.4%	+/- 2.1%
\$100,000 Or More	24.0%	+/- 4.2%	25.9%	+/- 2.0%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$64,286	+/- \$14,540	\$65,335	+/- \$3,112
Median Family Income	\$87,656	+/- \$39,610	\$82,733	+/- \$3,264
Per Capita Income	\$25,050	+/- \$5,002	\$33,836	+/- \$1,691

**Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	169	+/- 33	16,981	+/- 72
Vacant	11.2%	+/- 7.6%	8.2%	+/- 1.5%
Occupied	88.8%	+/- 7.6%	91.8%	+/- 1.5%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	150	+/- 31	15,582	+/- 285
Owner	82.0%	+/- 7.9%	69.7%	+/- 2.4%
Renter	18.0%	+/- 7.9%	30.3%	+/- 2.4%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$392	+/- \$26	\$747	+/- \$29
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$92,800	+/- \$24,469	\$130,400	+/- \$7,666

<sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units


**Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	227	+/-48	25,086	+/-172
High School Graduate or Higher	94.3%	+/-5.1%	91.8%	+/-1.4%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	12.8%	+/-6.2%	20.7%	+/-1.7%

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**



In Callender there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up \*\*\*% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

**Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	173	+/- 50	17,742	+/- 521
Management, business, science, and arts	30.6%	+/- 13.9%	30.1%	+/- 2.0%
Service	***%	+/- ***%	18.3%	+/- 1.8%
Sales and office	***%	+/- ***%	20.3%	+/- 2.0%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	***%	+/- ***%	12.9%	+/- 1.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving	***%	+/- ***%	18.4%	+/- 2.0%

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Callender, Iowa

Callender has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Webster County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Callender tend to be higher than the median earnings for Webster County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

**Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	176	+/- 50	20,471	+/- 572
Median Earnings	\$42,083	+/- \$42	\$36,676	+/- \$2,301
Female	\$40,104	+/- \$6,063	\$29,646	+/- \$1,329
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$41,302	+/- \$1,404	\$45,085	+/- \$2,798
Male	\$54,583	+/- \$12,884	\$46,691	+/- \$2,993
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$62,500	+/- \$10,378	\$59,311	+/- \$4,071

<sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	396	+/-122	35,158	+/-114
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	14.2%	+/-1.4%
With Health Insurance	97.5%	+/-2.6%	93.9%	+/-1.4%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	77.3%	+/-13.7%	67.9%	+/-2.7%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	32.1%	+/-15.4%	41.3%	+/-2.1%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	6.1%	+/-1.4%

<sup>a</sup>Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some military plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

**Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	265	+/- 61	30,344	+/- 138
in labor force	65.7%	+/- 9.3%	60.5%	+/- 1.7%
not in labor force	34.3%	+/- 9.3%	39.5%	+/- 1.7%
Civilian labor force	174	+/- 50	18,333	+/- 528
Unemployed	0.6%	+/- 1.0%	3.2%	+/- 0.7%

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	385	+/-122	34,159	+/-185
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	12.2%	+/-1.8%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	15.7%	+/-3.9%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	11.9%	+/-2.1%
65+	**%	+/-**%	9.1%	+/-2.3%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	6.8%	+/-2.1%
with children	**%	+/-**%	9.1%	+/-3.1%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	20.7%	+/-8.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	30.4%	+/-12.2%

<sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Notes and Source Information:

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

<sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.

<sup>4</sup> Median is the middle value of a distribution.

<sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist  
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist  
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist  
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to [www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext).