Data for Decision Makers



Manning, Iowa **City Profile**

report created: 12/17/2024

Population profile

The 2020 population for Manning is 1,455. Between 2010 and 2020 the population decreased by 3.0%. The City of Manning is in Carroll County. Carroll County saw a 0.3% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.


Carroll Co	Carroll County Cities - Total Population							
City	2000	2010	2020					
Manning	1,490	1,500	1,455					
Male Total	676	719	722					
Female Total	814	781	733					
Arcadia	443	484	525					
Breda	477	483	500					
Carroll	10,106	10,103	10,321					
Coon Rapids [†]	1,305	1,305	1,300					
Dedham	280	266	224					
Glidden	1,253	1,146	1,151					
Halbur	202	246	243					
Lanesboro	152	121	119					
Lidderdale	186	180	166					
Ralston [†]	98	79	81					
Templeton	334	362	352					
Willey	103	88	73					
Carroll County Total	21,421	20,816	20,760					
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369					

 $^{^\}dagger C$ ity is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Aspinwall	11.5%	-31.0%	-17.5%
Gray	-1.2%	-23.2%	-3.2%
Halbur	-6.0%	21.8%	-1.2%
Manilla	-6.6%	-7.5%	-0.1%
Templeton	4.0%	8.4%	-2.8%
Manning	0.4%	0.7%	-3.0%
Carroll County	0.0%	2.8%	-0.3%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change¹



Regional Director Lori Mannel 104 West Washington St. Jefferson, IA 50129 lmannel@iastate.edu 515-432-3882

County Office Julee Grell 1205 West Hwy. 30 Carroll, IA 51401 juleeg@iastate.edu 712-792-2364

https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

Manning's population is predominantly White. The City of Manning, compared to Carroll County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a higher percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.³

Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin¹

	City		Co	unty
Race Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.5%	97.5%	99.1%	97.1%
White	98.1%	96.1%	97.3%	94.1%
White alone, not Hispanic	97.2%	95.6%	96.7%	93.5%
Black or African American	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	1.3%
Asian	0.3%	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.1%	0.3%	0.9%	1.2%
Two or more races	0.5%	2.5%	0.9%	2.9%
Hispanic origin ^a	1.1%	1.1%	1.6%	2.9%

^a Hispanics can be of any race.

Households by Family or Nonfamily Type^{1,3}

•	•	•		
	(City	Co	unty
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	653	651	8,683	8,764
Family households ^{a,b}	60.9%	70.2%	64.4%	62.6%
Married couple family ^b	49.8%	42.1%	53.8%	51.0%
With own children	18.5%	16.1%	21.4%	18.4%
Other family ^c	11.2%	10.8%	10.6%	11.5%
Male householder ^c	3.1%	4.9%	3.2%	4.3%
With own children	2.3%	3.2%	2.1%	2.8%
Female householder ^c	8.1%	5.8%	7.4%	7.3%
With own children	6.4%	3.5%	5.1%	4.7%
Nonfamily households ^d	39.1%	29.8%	35.6%	37.4%
Male living alone	13.9%	18.9%	13.6%	14.9%
Female living alone	21.1%	22.1%	17.7%	17.9%

Households by Couple or Non-couple Type^{1,3}

		City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020	
Total households	653	651	8,683	8,764	
Married couple ^b	49.8%	42.1%	53.8%	51.0%	
Cohabiting couple ^{b,f}	NA ^e	7.1%	NA ^e	5.6%	
Male householder g	NA ^e	23.0%	NA^e	18.9%	
Female householder g	NA ^e	26.4%	NA ^e	24.5%	


^aHouseholder and at least one other person related to the householder. ^bFor 2020 includes same-sex couples. ^cNo spouse present, but at least one relative present. ^dIn 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). ^e2010 not comparable with 2020. ^fFor 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. ^gFor 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

Percentage of Population by Age¹

	(City	Co	ounty
Age Groups	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	23%	23.1%	24.9%	24%
0 - 4	7.1%	5.4%	7.0%	5.9%
5 - 9	5.6%	6.5%	6.5%	6.8%
10 - 14	6%	7.3%	6.6%	7.2%
15 - 17	4.3%	3.9%	4.8%	4.1%
18 - 24	6.5%	6.1%	6.5%	6.4%
25 - 44	19.8%	23.8%	22.1%	22.2%
45 - 64	24.4%	21.6%	28.0%	25.8%
65 or older	26.3%	25.4%	18.6%	21.5%
65 - 84	19.9%	20.6%	15%	17.8%
85 or older	6.4%	4.7%	3.6%	3.6%
Median ⁴ Age (years)	45.5	41.5	42.3	42.5
Age Dependency ^{a, 5}	97.2	94.2	77.0	83.6
Old-Age	51.9	49.3	32.9	39.5
Child	45.4	44.9	44.1	44.1

^aAge Dependency Ratio

Manning, Iowa Population Pyramid,^a 2020¹



^aEach bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

The median household income in Manning is less than the median household income of Carroll County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Manning than for Carroll County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Manning than there is for Carroll County. Many housing units in Manning were built prior to 1940. There is a higher percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Manning compared to Carroll County.

Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022²

		City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	719	+/- 102	8,696	+/- 226
Less than \$34,999	19.5%	+/- 3.1%	24.6%	+/- 2.4%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	9.7%	+/- 3.7%	12.1%	+/- 1.9%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	44.9%	+/- 3.4%	31.1%	+/- 2.1%
\$100,000 Or More	25.8%	+/- 3.3%	32.3%	+/- 2.3%
Median ⁴ Household Income	\$62,031	+/- \$13,535	\$68,041	+/- \$2,371
Median Family Income	\$94,643	+/- \$12,791	\$90,243	+/- \$6,055
Per Capita Income	\$40,324	+/- \$3,617	\$36,599	+/- \$1,735

Educational Attainment, 2018-2022²

	C	City		County		
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*		
Population 25 and older	1,079	+/-146	14,088	+/-51		
High School Graduate or Higher	96.7%	+/-1.4%	94.7%	+/-0.9%		
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	24.5%	+/-6.1%	22.1%	+/-2.3%		


^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022²

		City	Co	ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	779	+/- 105	9,515	+/- 47
Vacant	7.7%	+/- 4.6%	8.6%	+/- 2.4%
Occupied	92.3%	+/- 4.6%	91.4%	+/- 2.4%
Occupied ^a	719	+/- 102	8,696	+/- 226
Owner	81.5%	+/- 5.3%	76.1%	+/- 2.8%
Renter	18.5%	+/- 5.3%	23.9%	+/- 2.8%
Rent ^b	\$563	+/- \$177	\$697	+/- \$24
Value ^c	\$99,400	+/-\$12,186	\$162,000	+/-\$8,335

^aOccupied Units, ^bMedian gross rent of renter-occupied units, ^cMedian value of owner-occupied units

Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022²



In Manning there is a higher percentage of workers in management, business, science, and arts occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 16.5% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, $2018-2022^2$

	City		Сог	County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*	
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	798	+/- 154	10,755	+/- 347	
Management, business, science, and arts	45.0%	+/- 6.8%	31.6%	+/- 2.5%	
Service	17.8%	+/- 6.5%	16.3%	+/- 2.1%	
Sales and office	12.4%	+/- 4.1%	21.5%	+/- 2.7%	
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	8.3%	+/- 3.7%	10.3%	+/- 1.3%	
Production, transportation, and material moving	16.5%	+/- 4.5%	20.2%	+/- 2.6%	

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Manning has a lower proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Carroll County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Manning tend to be higher than the median earnings for Carroll County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022²

		City		ounty
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ ^a	842	+/- 158	11,640	+/- 331
Median Earnings	\$47,667	+/- \$47	\$42,114	+/- \$1,867
Female	\$37,045	+/- \$7,585	\$34,705	+/- \$1,658
Female, full time ^b	\$43,750	+/- \$13,703	\$44,692	+/- \$3,631
Male	\$58,438	+/- \$11,839	\$49,748	+/- \$2,366
Male, full time ^b	\$65,288	+/- \$4,425	\$57,111	+/- \$2,203

^a with earnings, ^b year round, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population ^a	1,391	+/-183	20,389	+/-11
With a disability ^b	11.9%	+/-3.6%	11.9%	+/-1.5%
With Health Insurance	96.9%	+/-2.5%	96.8%	+/-0.8%
With Private ^c Coverage	77.2%	+/-4.7%	72.0%	+/-2.6%
With Public ^d Coverage	35.4%	+/-6.3%	40.9%	+/-2.7%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	3.2%	+/-0.8%

^aCivilan Noninstitutionalized Population, ^blimitations of activities or inability for full participation, ^cprovided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some miliary plans, ^dincludes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Employment Status, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	1,206	+/- 162	16,466	+/- 135
in labor force	66.5%	+/- 5.9%	66.6%	+/- 2.0%
not in labor force	33.5%	+/- 5.9%	33.4%	+/- 2.0%
Civilian labor force	802	+/- 155	10,965	+/- 332
Unemployed	0.5%	+/- 0.6%	1.9%	+/- 1.1%

^{**}MOE is high, use county estimate.

Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022²

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population ^a	1,391	+/-183	20,349	+/-34
Below poverty	7.2%	+/-2.5%	7.8%	+/-1.4%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	5.5%	+/-2.1%
18 - 64	6.9%	+/-3.4%	8.4%	+/-1.7%
65+	13.1%	+/-5.1%	8.8%	+/-2.4%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	6.3%	+/-2.0%
with children	**%	+/-**%	6.7%	+/-3.2%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	3.5%	+/-1.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH ^b	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%

^a for whom poverty status is determined, ^b female householder, no husband present, **MOE is high, use county estimate.

Notes and Source Information:

- ¹U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 2020
- ²U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022
- ³For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.
- ⁴Median is the middle value of a distribution.
- ⁵The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext.

^{*}MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates² which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.