


# Data for Decision Makers



## Marquette, Iowa City Profile

report created: 12/17/2024

### Population profile

The 2020 population for Marquette is 429. Between 2010 and 2020 the population increased by 14.4%. The City of Marquette is in Clayton County. Clayton County saw a 6.0% population decrease between 2010 and 2020.

Clayton County Cities - Total Population<sup>1</sup>


City	2000	2010	2020
<b>Marquette</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>429</b>
Male Total	201	187	222
Female Total	220	188	207
Clayton	55	43	45
Edgewood <sup>†</sup>	923	864	909
Elkader	1,465	1,273	1,209
Elkport	88	37	29
Farmersburg	300	302	271
Garber	103	88	76
Garnavillo	754	745	763
Guttenberg	1,987	1,919	1,817
Luana	249	269	301
McGregor	871	871	742
Monona	1,550	1,549	1,471
North Buena Vista	124	121	109
Osterdock	50	59	43
Postville <sup>†</sup>	2,273	2,227	2,503
St. Olaf	136	108	106
Strawberry Point	1,386	1,279	1,155
Volga	247	208	203
Clayton County Total	18,678	18,129	17,043
State of Iowa Total	2,926,324	3,046,355	3,190,369

<sup>†</sup>City is located in multiple counties, total population is provided.

Neighboring Cities - Percent Population Change

	'90-'00	'00-'10	'10-'20
Clayton	34.1%	-21.8%	4.7%
Farmersburg	3.1%	0.7%	-10.3%
Harpers Ferry	16.2%	-0.6%	-20.1%
McGregor	9.3%	0.0%	-14.8%
Monona	2.0%	-0.1%	-5.0%
Marquette	-12.1%	-10.9%	14.4%
Clayton County	-2.0%	2.9%	-6.0%
State of Iowa	5.4%	4.1%	4.7%

City and County Percent Population Change<sup>1</sup>



#### Regional Director

Marybeth Foster  
14858 West Ridge Ln., Ste 2  
Dubuque, IA 52003  
mbfoster@iastate.edu  
563-583-6496

#### County Office

Holly Loan  
120 South Main  
Elkader, IA 52043  
hloan@iastate.edu  
563-245-1451

<https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

## Demographic Profile

## Marquette, Iowa

Marquette's population is predominantly White. The City of Marquette, compared to Clayton County, had a lower percentage of young people (17 or younger) and a lower percentage of older people (65 and older) in 2020. New household relationship categories were reported for 2020 that are not comparable with 2010.<sup>3</sup>

**Percentage of Population by Race/Hispanic Origin<sup>1</sup>**

Race Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
One race only	99.7%	96.3%	99.2%	97.5%
White	98.7%	95.1%	97.8%	95.2%
White alone, not Hispanic	98.1%	94.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Black or African American	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.9%
Asian	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	0.2%	0.6%	0.8%
Two or more races	0.3%	3.7%	0.8%	2.5%
Hispanic origin <sup>a</sup>	0.5%	0.5%	1.7%	2.0%

<sup>a</sup> Hispanics can be of any race.

**Households by Family or Nonfamily Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	182	208	7,599	7,315
Family households <sup>a,b</sup>	57.1%	62.7%	66.1%	63.7%
Married couple family <sup>b</sup>	45.1%	47.9%	55.3%	52.5%
With own children	14.3%	10.1%	18.8%	15.9%
Other family <sup>c</sup>	12.1%	10.1%	10.7%	11.2%
Male householder <sup>c</sup>	5.5%	4.8%	4.3%	4.9%
With own children	3.3%	3.4%	2.6%	2.7%
Female householder <sup>c</sup>	6.6%	5.3%	6.4%	6.3%
With own children	4.4%	4.3%	3.8%	3.7%
Nonfamily households <sup>d</sup>	42.9%	37.3%	33.9%	36.3%
Male living alone	18.7%	20.7%	13.9%	15.9%
Female living alone	16.5%	21.6%	15.0%	15.4%

**Households by Couple or Non-couple Type<sup>1,3</sup>**

	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
Total households	182	208	7,599	7,315
Married couple <sup>b</sup>	45.1%	47.9%	55.3%	52.5%
Cohabiting couple <sup>b,f</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	7.7%	NA <sup>e</sup>	6.6%
Male householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	25.5%	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.1%
Female householder <sup>g</sup>	NA <sup>e</sup>	24.0%	NA <sup>e</sup>	20.7%


<sup>a</sup>Householder and at least one other person related to the householder. <sup>b</sup>For 2020 includes same-sex couples. <sup>c</sup>No spouse present, but at least one relative present. <sup>d</sup>In 2010 included same-sex couples whether married or not (if no relatives present). <sup>e</sup>2010 not comparable with 2020. <sup>f</sup>For 2020 householder has an unmarried partner. <sup>g</sup>For 2020 no spouse or partner present, but relatives, nonrelatives may be present or householder may be living alone.

**Percentage of Population by Age<sup>1</sup>**

Age Groups	City		County	
	2010	2020	2010	2020
17 or younger	19.2%	18.9%	23.2%	21.7%
0 - 4	5.3%	3.5%	6.2%	5.6%
5 - 9	4.5%	6.1%	6.2%	5.8%
10 - 14	7.2%	4.9%	6.5%	6.5%
15 - 17	2.2%	4.4%	4.3%	3.8%
18 - 24	6.1%	7.5%	6.4%	6.1%
25 - 44	23.7%	22.6%	20.3%	20.5%
45 - 64	30.1%	29.6%	30.7%	27.6%
65 or older	20.8%	21.4%	19.3%	24.2%
65 - 84	18.4%	16.3%	16%	20.9%
85 or older	2.4%	5.1%	3.3%	3.3%
Median <sup>4</sup> Age (years)	46.6	45.6	45.0	46.7
Age Dependency <sup>a, 5</sup>	66.7	67.5	73.9	84.7
Old-Age	34.7	35.8	33.6	44.6
Child	32.0	31.7	40.3	40.0

<sup>a</sup>Age Dependency Ratio

**Marquette, Iowa Population Pyramid, <sup>a</sup> 2020<sup>1</sup>**



<sup>a</sup>Each bar represents the percentage that an age-sex group comprises of the total population.

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Marquette, Iowa

The median household income in Marquette is less than the median household income of Clayton County. The median value of owner-occupied housing units is lower in Marquette than for Clayton County. There is a lower percentage of vacant housing units in Marquette than there is for Clayton County. Many housing units in Marquette were built between 1980 to 1999. There is a higher percentage of individuals 25 and older with a Bachelor's degree or higher in Marquette compared to Clayton County.

**Median Household and Per Capita Income, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Households	253	+/- 59	7,286	+/- 208
Less than \$34,999	44.7%	+/- 5.8%	26.8%	+/- 2.3%
\$35,000 To \$49,999	12.6%	+/- 6.7%	15.5%	+/- 2.0%
\$50,000 To \$99,999	31.6%	+/- 4.3%	31.3%	+/- 2.0%
\$100,000 Or More	11.0%	+/- 3.1%	26.6%	+/- 2.2%
Median <sup>4</sup> Household Income	\$42,656	+/- \$13,624	\$60,441	+/- \$4,036
Median Family Income	\$57,083	+/- \$29,232	\$79,698	+/- \$5,501
Per Capita Income	\$30,227	+/- \$5,610	\$34,394	+/- \$1,842

**Housing Characteristics, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Units	288	+/- 60	8,779	+/- 46
Vacant	12.2%	+/- 9.1%	17.0%	+/- 2.3%
Occupied	87.8%	+/- 9.1%	83.0%	+/- 2.3%
Occupied <sup>a</sup>	253	+/- 59	7,286	+/- 208
Owner	61.3%	+/- 10.4%	75.3%	+/- 3.0%
Renter	38.7%	+/- 10.4%	24.7%	+/- 3.0%
Rent <sup>b</sup>	\$655	+/- \$81	\$738	+/- \$57
Value <sup>c</sup>	\$124,200	+/- \$42,432	\$149,500	+/- \$6,940

<sup>a</sup>Occupied Units, <sup>b</sup>Median gross rent of renter-occupied units, <sup>c</sup>Median value of owner-occupied units


**Educational Attainment, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 25 and older	341	+/-83	12,308	+/-53
High School Graduate or Higher	94.1%	+/-4.0%	90.7%	+/-1.7%
Bachelor's Degree or Higher	17.3%	+/-7.9%	17.2%	+/-1.8%

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Percent Housing by Year Built, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**



In Marquette there is a higher percentage of workers in service occupations than any other occupation category. The production, transportation, and material moving occupation category makes up 21.3% of occupations of the civilian employed population in the city.

**Occupation of the Civilian Employed Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Civilian employed population 16 years and over	263	+/- 77	8,611	+/- 279
Management, business, science, and arts	20.9%	+/- 10.3%	28.3%	+/- 2.5%
Service	24.7%	+/- 11.3%	16.5%	+/- 1.9%
Sales and office	23.2%	+/- 10.7%	19.2%	+/- 2.0%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance	***%	+/- ***%	14.8%	+/- 1.8%
Production, transportation, and material moving	21.3%	+/- 9.4%	21.1%	+/- 2.1%

## Socioeconomic Profile

## Marquette, Iowa

Marquette has a higher proportion of the population age 16 or older in the labor force than Clayton County. A portion of those who are not in the labor force are people who are retired. Earnings data are provided for the population 16 and over who have earnings. Median earnings in Marquette tend to be lower than the median earnings for Clayton County. Families with children tend to have a higher rate of poverty than families without children.

**Median Employment Earnings, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+ <sup>a</sup>	270	+/- 77	9,240	+/- 295
Median Earnings	\$33,750	+/- \$33	\$39,287	+/- \$1,781
Female	\$24,375	+/- \$11,625	\$29,969	+/- \$2,563
Female, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$36,875	+/- \$16,616	\$39,171	+/- \$2,981
Male	\$35,625	+/- \$9,280	\$47,133	+/- \$1,988
Male, full time <sup>b</sup>	\$46,875	+/- \$16,499	\$56,059	+/- \$2,281

<sup>a</sup> with earnings, <sup>b</sup> year round, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Disability Status and Health Insurance Coverage of the Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population <sup>a</sup>	467	+/-108	16,925	+/-11
With a disability <sup>b</sup>	14.6%	+/-5.9%	15.3%	+/-1.9%
With Health Insurance	88.2%	+/-8.5%	92.9%	+/-2.2%
With Private <sup>c</sup> Coverage	61.9%	+/-12.5%	68.9%	+/-3.1%
With Public <sup>d</sup> Coverage	43.7%	+/-11.6%	43.5%	+/-2.1%
No Health Insurance	**%	+/-**%	7.1%	+/-2.2%

<sup>a</sup>Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population, <sup>b</sup>limitations of activities or inability for full participation, <sup>c</sup>provided by employer, union, bought by individual, and some military plans, <sup>d</sup>includes Medicare, Medicaid, VA Health Care, children's CHIP, and some state plans, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

\*MOE = the margin of error for American Community Survey estimates<sup>2</sup> which is a numerical value that when added to or subtracted from the point estimate value gives the range of a 90% confidence interval around the estimate.

**Employment Status, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Population 16+	410	+/- 96	13,931	+/- 61
in labor force	68.8%	+/- 9.7%	63.5%	+/- 1.9%
not in labor force	31.2%	+/- 9.7%	36.5%	+/- 1.9%
Civilian labor force	282	+/- 79	8,845	+/- 274
Unemployed	6.7%	+/- 6.6%	2.6%	+/- 0.9%

\*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

**Individuals and Families Below Poverty Level, 2018-2022<sup>2</sup>**

	City		County	
	Estimate	MOE*	Estimate	MOE*
Total Population <sup>a</sup>	467	+/-108	16,847	+/-57
Below poverty	**%	+/-**%	13%	+/-2.6%
17 and under	**%	+/-**%	14.9%	+/-5.1%
18 - 64	**%	+/-**%	11.8%	+/-2.3%
65+	**%	+/-**%	14.2%	+/-4.9%
All Families	**%	+/-**%	7.6%	+/-1.7%
with children	**%	+/-**%	11.5%	+/-3.5%
Married Couple	**%	+/-**%	4.2%	+/-1.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	**%	+/-**%
Female HH <sup>b</sup>	**%	+/-**%	30.4%	+/-10.4%
with children	**%	+/-**%	37.5%	+/-12.5%

<sup>a</sup> for whom poverty status is determined, <sup>b</sup> female householder, no husband present, \*\*MOE is high, use county estimate.

### Notes and Source Information:

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; Decennial Censuses 1940 - 2020

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 2018-2022

<sup>3</sup> For the 2020 Decennial Census, households are divided into subcategories by two different methods. One method (family or nonfamily) is based on the presence or absence of family relationships among the household members and the householder. Using family relationships (birth, marriage, or adoption) has been the usual way to classify households, and the data using this method has good comparability between 2010 and 2020. In 2020, the Census Bureau introduced an additional method to divide households into subcategories. This second method (couple or noncouple) is based on the presence or absence of a couple relationship for the householder. The relationship can be as a married spouse or as an unmarried partner and can be of the opposite or same sex. The 2020 data reported for the second method (except for married couples) does not have good comparability with data from 2010. For smaller towns, the couple or noncouple data are omitted in this profile.

<sup>4</sup> Median is the middle value of a distribution.

<sup>5</sup> The age dependency ratio compares, by age, those typically not in the labor force with those who typically are in the labor force. Old Age dependency is persons 65+ per 100 persons age 18-64. Child dependency is persons under age 18 per 100 persons age 18-64. The total age dependency ratio is persons under age 18 plus persons 65 or older per 100 persons age 18-64.

### This series was prepared by:

Christopher J. Seeger, Professor & Extension Specialist  
Bailey Hanson, Data Analyst III & Extension Specialist  
Sandra Burke, Research Scientist III & Extension Specialist  
Jay Maxwell, Data Analyst I & Extension Specialist

For more information on these and other topics, please call the Extension and Outreach specialists listed on the cover page or visit the ISU Extension and Outreach Indicators website at <https://indicators.extension.iastate.edu>

This institution is an equal opportunity provider. For the full non-discrimination statement or accommodation inquiries, go to [www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext](http://www.extension.iastate.edu/diversity/ext).