QUESTION 2

ABHAY SHANKAR K: CS21BTECH11001 AND KARTHEEK TAMMANA: CS21BTECH11028

- (I) (a) The paper proposes two models for ordinal data, namely the proportional odds model and the proportional hazards model.
 - The proportional odds model is a generalisation of the logistic regression model for ordinal data. Here, the cumulative odds of the response variable $Y \leq j$ are given by

$$\kappa_j = \kappa_j \exp(-\beta^T \mathbf{x})$$

with

$$oldsymbol{\kappa}_j = rac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j}$$

and

$$\gamma_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \pi_j$$

where π_j is the probability of the j'th category of the response variable Y, and **x** is the covariant vector.

The paper also defines the cumulative odds ratio $\kappa_{j,j+1} = \frac{\kappa_j}{\kappa_{j+1}}$, which is the odds of the j'th category over the j+1'th category.

• The proportional hazards model considers a hazard function $\lambda(t) =$, which expresses the probability of failure at time t, of the form

$$\lambda(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp\left(-\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}\right)$$

From this, we define the Survival function $S(t) = \exp(\Lambda_0 \exp(-\beta^T \mathbf{x}) dt)$ with $\Lambda_0(t) = -\int_0^t \lambda_0(t) dt$ which represents the probability of surviving beyond time t.

For discrete data, we define γ_j to be the cumulative hazard function, and can write the logarithm of the Survival function as

$$\ln\left[-\ln\left(1-\gamma_{j}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right] = \theta_{j} - \boldsymbol{\beta}^{T}\mathbf{x}$$

which is known as the complementary log-log transform.

• The paper proposes a generalised empirical logit transform, as a generalisation of the two models. The quantity $Z_i = \sum_j w_j \tilde{\lambda}_{ij}$, with weights

$$w_j \propto R_{.j}(n - R_{.j})(n_{.j} + n_{.j+1})$$

and the logit transform

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{ij} = \ln\left(\frac{R_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}}{n_i - R_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

where the R terms are various cumulatives of empirical data, is called the generalised empirical logit transform for the i'th group.

- The paper also discusses
 - The properties of the two models, proposing a few alternative link functions.
 - Invariances of the models under reversal of the ordering.
 - Asymptotic properties of the two models.
 - Parameter estimation for both models.

Date: October 8, 2023.

- Application of the models to real data.

- DI Differences Detween Ordinal negression, Municiass Classification and Lifear negressi	(b) Differences between Ordinal Regression, Multio	class classification and Linear Regressic
---	--	---

	Ordinal	Multiclass	Linear
Response variable	Ordinal	Categorical	Continuous
Link function	Logit	Softmax	Identity
Loss function	Cross-entropy	Cross-entropy	Mean squared error
Optimisation technique	GD	GD	Closed form
Categories	Categories have relative order	Classes distinct	No classes

They all have different likelihood functions also:

- Ordinal regression: Given in next part.
- Logistic Multiclass classification:

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\pi, \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left[\pi \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) \right]^{t_n} \left[(1 - \pi) \mathcal{N} \left(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) \right]^{1 - t_n}$$

• Linear Regression:

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \boldsymbol{\beta}^{-1}\right)$$

Where all the variables have the usual meaning.

In the ordinal regression models, the cumulative odds of the output variable are expressed as exponential in the weights β and the covariant vector \mathbf{x} .

In the logistic regression model, the probability distribution of the output is expressed as exponential in $\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}$.

In the linear regression model, the probability distribution of the output is linear in the weights.

(II) Question: Parameter Estimation

Solution: Revising the notation from the paper, we have the probabilities of the k ordered categories of the response variable Y given by $\{\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_k\}$, as a function of the covariant vector \mathbf{x} , and their cumulative probabilities given by $\gamma_j = \sum_{i=1}^j \pi_j$. The cumulative odds are thus $\kappa_j = \frac{\gamma_j}{1-\gamma_j}$.

We then have the likelihood function $\kappa_j = \kappa_j \exp(\beta^T \mathbf{x})$, which we can reframe as

$$\frac{\gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j} = \exp\left(\theta_j - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x}\right)
\Longrightarrow \gamma_j = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} - \theta_j\right)}$$
(1)

where we set $\theta_0 = 0$. We also define $R_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i$.

QUESTION 2 3

We have the likelihood function:

function:

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \pi_{j}^{n_{j}}$$

$$= \pi_{1}^{n_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_{j})^{n_{j+1}}$$

$$= \pi_{1}^{n_{1}} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_{j})^{R_{j+1} - R_{j}}$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\gamma_{j}}{\gamma_{j+1}}\right)^{R_{j}} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{j}}{\gamma_{j+1}}\right)^{R_{j+1} - R_{j}}$$
(2)

Taking the logarithm, we have

$$-\ln p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[R_j \ln \left(\frac{1 + \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} - \theta_j\right)}{1 + \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} - \theta_{j+1}\right)} \right) - (R_{j+1} - R_j) \left(\ln \left(\frac{e^{-\theta_{j+1}} - e^{-\theta_j}}{1 + \exp\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} - \theta_{j+1}\right)} \right) + \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \mathbf{x} \right) \right]$$
(3)

We can use gradient descent to find the optimal weights β or intervals $\theta = (\theta_1 \dots \theta_{k-1})^T$. However, the paper does not provide the gradient of the likelihood function due to its complexity.

(III) Code

Refer code/q2.ipynb