

# Session Plan: SOLID Principles & Design Patterns (For .NET / .NET Core)

**Target Audience:** Graduate Trainee Engineers

**Duration:** 6–8 hours (divided into 2 sessions or 1 full-day workshop)

**Outcome:** Ability to design clean, scalable, and maintainable .NET applications using SOLID principles and common design patterns.

SOLID Principles & Design Patterns		
Day 11	SOLID Principles	Introduction to SOLID Principles
		Overview of SOLID principles (SRP, OCP, LSP, ISP, DIP)
		Practical Application of SOLID
		Refactoring code to adhere to SOLID principles
	Design Patterns	Introduction to Design Patterns
		Understanding the importance of design patterns in software design
		Overview of common design patterns (Creational, Structural, Behavioral)
	Introduction, Basic Concepts, and DML Commands	
	Introduction to Databases	Installation of SQL Server Management Studio(SSMS) SQL Server Free Edition 2022
		Introduction to basic database concepts. Database : It is an Organized collection of data, generally stored and accessed using a DBMS.  Types : RDBMS: Data in tables ( SQL Server, My SQL , Oracle(PL/SQL)) No SQL : un Structured DB( Mongo DB and cassandra)
	Keys, Operators & DML Commands	Advantage of DBMS: 1. It has Low Data redundancy. (Duplication) 2. It has strong Data Integrity 3. It has Built in Data security 4. It is easy to access data concurrently 5. The back up and recovery process is automated.
		Introduction to RDBMS An RDBMS stores data in tables( Rows and Columns) and allows relationships between them using keys.  Features : 1. Tables with unique rows

		2. Data consistency using constraints ( PK, FK, NOT Null, CHECK constraints)
		3. Relationships using foreign keys.
		Creating Tables
		Relationship between tables.
		Primary keys, Foreign keys, Unique keys
		SQL operators (Arithmetic, Comparison, Logical)
		DML Commands
		CRUD operations

Why are SOLID principles imp to follow ?

They are 5 Design principles that helps dev in :

- Maintaining a software application : Each class and modules has one job, so changes are localized and easier to make.
- Implementing scalability
- And testing : Clear dependencies and clear responsibilities make unit testing easier.
- Reusability : Code becomes modular, so components can be reused across projects.
- Readability:
- Lower risk of bugs: Isolation and clear responsibility reduces side effects from changes.

## Module 1: SOLID Principles (3–4 hours)

### 1.1 Definition & Overview

Principle	Definition
<b>SRP – Single Responsibility Principle</b>	A class should have one and only one reason to change.

<b>OCP – Open/Closed Principle</b>	Software entities should be open for extension, but closed for modification.
<b>LSP – Liskov Substitution Principle</b>	Derived classes must be substitutable for their base classes.  Any shape class like rectangle, square can be used interchangeably without breaking functionality
<b>ISP – Interface Segregation Principle</b>	Clients should not be forced to depend on interfaces they do not use.  IPrinter : IPrint and IScan
<b>DIP – Dependency Inversion Principle</b>	High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules. Both should depend on abstractions.  High level modules depends on abstraction ILogger, not on the concrete class ConsoleLogger

The screenshot displays a Visual Studio Code editor with a C# program titled "DemoCryptoGraphy". The code is as follows:

```

7  am
8
9  void Main()
10
11  menu that shows options toget started
12  le (true)
13
14  Console.WriteLine("\nSecure Message App - Genrerall features");
15  Console.WriteLine("1. Encrypt Message");
16  Console.WriteLine("2. Decrypt Message");
17  Console.WriteLine("3. Exit ");
18  Console.WriteLine(" Choose an option: ");
19
20  string? choice = Console.ReadLine();
21
22  switch (choice)
23  {
24  case "1":
25      Console.WriteLine(" Enter a Message to encrypt: ");
26      string? message = Console.ReadLine();
27      Console.WriteLine(" Enter a 16 Digit key ");
28      string? KeyEncrypt = Console.ReadLine();
29      string encrypted = Encrypt(message, KeyEncrypt);
30      Console.WriteLine($"Encrypted text: {encrypted}");
31      break;
32
33  }
34
35  }

```

A callout bubble points to the encryption logic, stating: "IN symmetric encryption same key is bing used for encryption /decryption".

The output window shows the following execution flow:

```

Secure Message App - Genrerall features
1. Encrypt Message
2. Decrypt Message
3. Exit
Choose an option:
1
Enter a Message to encrypt:
Good morning Everyone !!!
Enter a 16 Digit key
1234567891122334
Encrypted text: kkFiUfD5zS5UQVENzLjb7BLYr4N0up7AFXQ10Q5
j3c51C4uniV8X2nLLj4qT
Secure Message App - Genrerall features
1. Encrypt Message
2. Decrypt Message
3. Exit
Choose an option:
2
Enter the Encrypted message:
kkFiUfD5zS5UQVENzLjb7BLYr4N0up7AFXQ10Q5+1W8j3c51C4uniV8
Lj4qT
Enter 16 Digit Key
1234567891122334
Decrypted : Good morning Everyone !!!
Secure Message App - Genrerall features
1. Encrypt Message
2. Decrypt Message
3. Exit
Choose an option:

```

The screenshot shows a Visual Studio IDE with a C# project named 'Demo\_SOLIDPrinciples\_CSharp'. The code editor displays the 'Program.cs' file, which includes a 'Main' method and a 'NotificationService' class. The Solution Explorer on the right shows the project structure, including 'EmailSender.cs', 'SmsSender.cs', 'INotifier.cs', 'EmailNotifier.cs', 'SmsNotifier.cs', 'NotificationProcessor.cs', 'IEmailSender.cs', and 'ISmsSender.cs'. A diagram in the center of the code editor illustrates the project structure, showing the relationships between the classes and interfaces.

```

SolidDemo/
├── Program.cs (Main + DIP)
├── INotifier.cs (Abstraction)
├── EmailNotifier.cs (Concrete class)
├── SmsNotifier.cs (Concrete class)
├── NotificationProcessor.cs (LSP + DIP)
├── IEmailSender.cs (ISP demo)
└── ISmsSender.cs (ISP demo)
  
```

Principle	Applied How
SRP	Separate classes for email & SMS
OCP	Used interface <code>INotifier</code> to extend new notifiers
LSP	<code>EmailNotifier</code> and <code>SmsNotifier</code> can be used interchangeably
ISP	<code>IEmailSender</code> and <code>ISmsSender</code> are small, focused interfaces
DIP	<code>Program</code> depends on <code>INotifier</code> , not concrete classes

## 1.2 Benefits of SOLID

- Clean and maintainable code
- Easier to test and scale
- Loose coupling and high cohesion

- Reusable components
  - Reduction in bugs and side effects during feature changes
- 

### 1.3 Use Case + Simple Example

**Use Case:** Employee Payroll System (SRP Violation & Fix)

// Before (Violation of SRP)

```
public class Employee
{
    public void CalculateSalary() { }
    public void GenerateReport() { } // Not its responsibility
}
```

// After SRP

```
public class Employee
{
    public void CalculateSalary() { }
}

public class ReportGenerator
{
    public void GenerateReport(Employee emp) { }
}
```

---

## 1.4 .NET/.NET Core Implementation Examples

### SRP – Logging

// Good Practice: Log responsibility separated

```
public class OrderService
{
    private readonly ILogger _logger;

    public OrderService(ILogger logger)
    {
        _logger = logger;
    }

    public void ProcessOrder()
    {
        // Logic
        _logger.Log("Order processed");
    }
}
```

### OCP – Notification Example

```
public interface INotifier
{
    void Send(string message);
}

public class EmailNotifier : INotifier
{
    public void Send(string message) => Console.WriteLine("Email
sent");
}

public class SmsNotifier : INotifier
{
    public void Send(string message) => Console.WriteLine("SMS
sent");
}
```



## Benefits After Refactoring

Area	Before SOLID	After SOLID
Maintainability	Hard to manage	Easy to manage
Reusability	Poor	High
Testing	Hard to isolate components	Easy via interfaces
Extensibility	Modifying existing logic	Simply add new classes
Code Structure	Mixed responsibilities	Clear separation

## Outcome

- Development time for new notification types reduced by **50%**
- Improved **code readability** and **testability**
- Trained junior developers on **modular thinking and SOLID coding**

Fintech( Loan Processing, Fraud Checking )

Healthcare( Patient record System)

Education(Course assignment racking system)

### Scenario: E-Commerce Platform ( ShopQuick)

Customer : - Purchase product and applies discount codes

Finance team - INtegrates different payment gateways

Marketing team - Ass new discount strategies( seasonal, Loyalty based)

## Module 2: Design Patterns (3–4 hours)

### 2.1 Definition & Importance

**Definition:** Design Patterns are proven solutions to recurring design problems in software engineering.

**Importance:**

- Solves common design problems
- Improves code readability and reuse
- Promotes best practices

**What are some common design problems :**

1. Tight Coupling : One class is highly dependent on details of another class.
2. God object/God class : A class that knows too much or does too much.
3. Spaghetti code: code with complex tangles control structures( No clear flow)
4. Lack of Abstraction: Business logic directly depends on concrete implementations
5. Code Duplication : Same or similar code exists in multiple places.
6. Violation of Encapsulation : Internal details( fields) of a lass are exposed directly to others
7. Overengineering : Adding unnecessary complexity and patterns before they are needed.

**When to use Design Pattern:**

1. When we are solving common design problems( Object Creation, communication, structure)
2. When we want to decouple components.
3. When we are building extensible, testable and reusable code.
4. When we are applying SOLID principles.

### 2.2 Categories of Patterns

Category	Examples
----------	----------

<p><b>Creational:</b></p> <p><b>They deals with object creation mechanism</b></p>	<p>Singleton : Ensure a class has only one instance ex printer spooler</p> <p>Factory : Create objects without exposing creation logic. Ex Pizza Shop</p> <p>Builder : Construct complex objects step by step</p> <p>Abstract Factory: Provides families of related objects ex Mobile Charging adapter</p> <p>Prototype: clone objects using a template instance.</p>
<p><b>Structural:</b></p> <p><b>Focus on class and object composition</b></p>	<p>Adapter: Allows incompatible interface to work together</p> <p>Facade : Provides a simplified interface to a complex subsystem.</p> <p>Decorator : Adds responsibility to objects dynamically</p> <p>Bridge: Decouple abstraction from implementation</p> <p>Composite: Treat individual and group of objects uniformly</p> <p>Proxy: Provide a surrogate or placeholder for another object</p>
<p><b>Behavioral:</b></p> <p><b>It Focus on communication between objects</b></p>	<p>Observer: Notify objects when a subject changes state. Youtube subscribers</p> <p>Strategy :Define a family of algorithms and make them interchangeable</p> <p>Command: Encapsulate a request as an object</p> <p>Mediator: Define an object to coordinate communication</p> <p>State: Allows an object to change with state</p> <p>Template method: Define the skeleton of an algorithm in a method.</p>

## 2.3 Use Case + Simple Example

**Use Case:** Object creation with flexibility → **Factory Pattern**

```
public interface INotification
{
    void Notify();
}

public class EmailNotification : INotification
{PL/SQL Packages and Triggers

    public void Notify() => Console.WriteLine("Email sent");
}

public class NotificationFactory
{
    public static INotification Create(string type) =>
        type == "EMAIL" ? new EmailNotification() : null;
}
```

---

## 2.4 .NET/.NET Core Implementation Examples

### Singleton Pattern

```
public class ConfigurationService
{
```

```
private static ConfigurationService _instance;

private ConfigurationService() { }

public static ConfigurationService Instance =>
    _instance ??= new ConfigurationService();
}
```

✓ **Dependency Injection (used with DIP)**

```
builder.Services.AddTransient<INotification, EmailNotification>();
```

## Mini Case Study: Secure Online Exam System

### Problem:

Existing system uses a monolithic class to handle authentication, question logic, and email reporting.

High bug count during modifications and lack of scalability.

### Refactored Solution Using SOLID + Design Patterns:

Problem	Applied Solution
Authentication logic mixed with reporting	Apply <b>SRP</b> – Separate AuthService, ReportService
Modifying code for adding notification	Apply <b>OCP</b> – Use <b>Strategy Pattern</b> for notification

Email sending tightly coupled	Apply <b>DIP + DI</b> – Inject via interface
Creation logic scattered	Use <b>Factory Pattern</b> for user roles
Code bloated with unnecessary methods	Apply <b>ISP</b> – Split large interfaces

#### Outcome:

- Reduced bugs by 40%
- 3x faster feature rollout
- Seamless testability

## VIVA / SME FAQ (Interview / Evaluation)

### ♦ SOLID

1. What is the purpose of the Single Responsibility Principle?
2. How does Open/Closed Principle help in adding new features?
3. Give a real-world use case for Liskov Substitution Principle.
4. Why is Dependency Inversion Principle crucial for unit testing?
5. How can SOLID principles reduce code maintenance overhead?

### ♦ Design Patterns

6. What is the difference between Factory and Abstract Factory pattern?

7. How does the Strategy pattern promote Open/Closed Principle?
8. Explain Singleton pattern with thread safety in .NET Core.
9. Which design pattern is most appropriate for implementing undo/redo functionality?
10. How does dependency injection relate to design patterns?