

Trader Behavior & Market Sentiment Analysis

(Complete Analysis Summary — Steps 1 to 4)

Step 1 — Trader Data Analysis

Dataset Overview

- **File:** `hyperliquid_trades.csv`
 - **Shape:** (211, 224 rows, 16 columns)
 - **Columns:**
['Account', 'Coin', 'Execution Price', 'Size Tokens', 'Size USD', 'Side', 'Timestamp IST', 'Start Position', 'Direction', 'Closed PnL', 'Transaction Hash', 'Order ID', 'Crossed', 'Fee', 'Trade ID', 'Timestamp']
 - **Date Range:** 2023-05-01 → 2025-05-01
 - Covers **480 trading days** and **over 211K trades**.
-

PnL & Fee Summary

Metric	Value
Total Closed PnL	10,296,958.94 USD
Mean PnL	48.75 USD
Median PnL	0.00 USD
Profitable Trades (%)	41.13 %
Average Fee	1.16 USD
Total Fees Paid	245,857.72 USD

→ *Interpretation:*

Only 41% of trades were profitable — consistent with typical trading distributions where few trades drive most profits.

📦 Trade Size & Execution

Metric	Value
Average Trade Size (USD)	5,639.45
Median Trade Size (USD)	597.05
Maximum Trade Size (USD)	3,921,430.7
	2

→ *Interpretation:*

Trade sizes are **heavily right-skewed** — most trades are small, but a few extremely large ones push the mean up.

⚖️ Side-Wise Profitability

Side	Mean Closed PnL
BUY	36.10
SELL	60.71

→ *Interpretation:*

Slightly higher average profits for **SELL** positions — suggests traders capitalized better in shorting opportunities during bearish phases.

👤 Top Performing Accounts (PnL)

Account	Total PnL (USD)
0xb123...e	2.14M
d23	
0x0833...9	1.60M
012	
0xbaaa...7	0.94M
864	

→ *Interpretation:*

PnL distribution is concentrated among a few active and profitable addresses.

Correlations

Variable	Size USD	Fee	Closed PnL
Size USD ↔ Fee	0.75	 Strong positive	
Size USD ↔ PnL	0.12	Weak positive	
Execution Price ↔ PnL	-0.006	Negligible	

→ *Interpretation:*

Fees scale strongly with trade size (as expected).
Trade size has only a small positive impact on profits.

Step-1 Observations Summary

- Traders executed 211K trades across 480 days.
 - Profitable trades = 41%, skewed by a few large winners.
 - SELL trades outperformed BUY trades.
 - Fees are linearly tied to trade size ($r \approx 0.75$).
 - Only mild correlation between PnL and trade volume.
-

Step 2 — Market Sentiment (Fear–Greed Index) Analysis

Dataset Overview

- **File:** `fear_greed.csv`
- **Shape:** `(2, 644 rows, 2 columns)`
- **Columns:** `['Date', 'Classification']`

- **Date Range:** 2018-02-01 → 2025-05-02

→ Overlaps fully with the trade data timeframe (2023–2025).

Sentiment Distribution

Classification Count

Fear	781
Greed	633
Extreme Fear	508
Neutral	396
Extreme Greed	326

→ *Interpretation:*

Market sentiment leans more toward **Fear**, showing frequent cautious or bearish conditions.

Numeric Mapping

Sentiment FG_Scor e

Extreme Fear	0
Fear	1
Neutral	2
Greed	3
Extreme Greed	4

Added a numeric feature (**FG_Score**) to enable correlation with trader metrics.

Trends

- Fear and Greed cycles oscillate clearly through the period.

- 7-day rolling average smooths daily noise and reveals **macro sentiment shifts**.
 - Frequent transitions suggest alternating phases of optimism and caution.
-

Step-2 Observations Summary

- Market spent more time in Fear phases (781 days) than in Greed (633 days).
 - Constructed quantitative FG_Score for modeling.
 - Sentiment patterns show 4–6 month oscillation cycles typical of crypto volatility.
-

Step 3 — Linking Trader Behavior with Market Sentiment

Merged Dataset

- **Join key:** Date
 - **Merged shape:** 211,224 rows × 19 columns
 - All trades successfully matched with sentiment data (2023–2025 overlap).
-

Average PnL by Sentiment

Sentiment	Avg PnL (USD)
Extreme Fear	34.54
Fear	54.29
Neutral	34.31
Greed	42.74
Extreme Greed	67.89

→ Interpretation:

Profits tend to improve slightly as sentiment turns bullish, but **Extreme Greed** phases show the most variance (unstable results).

\$ 💳 Average Trade Size & Fee by Sentiment

Sentiment	Size (USD)	Fee (USD)
Extreme Fear	5,349.73	1.12
Fear	7,816.11	1.50
Neutral	4,782.73	1.04
Greed	5,736.88	1.25
Extreme Greed	3,112.25	0.68

→ Interpretation:

Traders trade **larger positions during Fear**, implying cautious but high-value bets. Trade sizes shrink during **Extreme Greed**, indicating frequent smaller trades (possibly high-frequency trading).

👥 Trader Participation

Sentiment	Unique Traders
Extreme Fear	32
Fear	32
Neutral	31
Greed	31
Extreme Greed	30

→ Interpretation:

Participation remains stable (~30–32 traders) across all sentiments — same traders adapting strategies.

📊 Correlation (FG_Score vs Market Metrics)

Variable	FG_Score Corr
Closed PnL	-0.096
Size USD	-0.274
Fee	-0.269

→ *Interpretation:*

Weak negative relationship — higher “greed” doesn’t guarantee higher profits.

Traders may overtrade during greed phases.

Fee ↔ Size USD remains very strong ($r \approx 0.98$).

✖ Step-3 Observations Summary

- Profits and trade activity increase slightly with Greed but aren’t stable.
 - Weak correlation between sentiment and profit → emotions don’t predict success.
 - Traders trade bigger but fewer positions in Fear phases.
 - Market sentiment drives *volume and volatility* more than returns.
-

Step 4 — Final Insights and Conclusion

⌚ Overall Findings

- Trader performance is **moderately sensitive to sentiment cycles**.
 - Most activity and profit occur in **Fear and Greed** phases.
 - **Extreme Greed** → smaller, frequent trades with volatile outcomes.
 - **Fear** → fewer but higher-value trades and steadier returns.
 - **Correlation between FG_Score and PnL ≈ -0.1**, showing that traders don’t always benefit from optimism.
 - Strong fee-volume correlation ($r \approx 0.98$) confirms proportional cost structures.
-

Key Takeaways

1. Behavioral Insight:

Traders behave more cautiously and strategically during Fear phases — consistent with disciplined trading.

2. Profitability Insight:

Greed boosts participation, not performance — overconfidence may reduce efficiency.

3. Risk Insight:

Extreme sentiment (Fear or Greed) leads to higher volatility and inconsistent profits.

4. Practical Use:

Monitoring sentiment cycles could help optimize entry timing and risk exposure in crypto trading.

Conclusion

Market sentiment doesn't directly dictate profitability — it shapes trader behavior.

While bullish moods drive more trades, disciplined strategies in fearful markets yield steadier returns.

Understanding the Fear–Greed interplay offers a competitive edge for **risk-aware crypto strategy design**.