- 1. The MATLAB command eig computes eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a square matrix and the command schur computes Schur decomposition of a square matrix. Type help eig and schur for more information. What is the largest eigenvalue of magic(n) for n = 4, 5, 6 and why? Compute Schur decomposition of magic(5).
- 2. Consider the matrix given by the MATLAB command A = gallery(5). Compute  $A^5$ . What are the eigenvalues of A? Now compute eigenvalues of A using MATLAB command eig. What are the eigenvalues? Now plot the eigenvalues with the following commands:

```
A = gallery(5)
e = eig(A)
plot(real(e),imag(e),'r*',0,0,'ko')
axis(.1*[-1 1 -1 1])
axis square
```

What do you observe? Next, repeat the experiment with a matrix where each element is perturbed by a single roundoff error. The elements of gallery(5) vary over four orders of magnitude, so the correct scaling of the perturbation is obtained with

```
e = eig(A + eps*randn(5,5).*A)
```

Put this statement, along with the plot and axis commands, on a single line and use the up arrow to repeat the computation several times. You will see that the pentagon flips orientation and that its radius varies between 0.03 and 0.07, but that the computed eigenvalues of the perturbed problems behave pretty much like the computed eigenvalues of the original matrix.

This experiment provides evidence for the fact that the computed eigenvalues are the exact eigenvalues of a matrix A + E where the elements of E are on the order of roundoff error compared to the elements of A. This is the best we can expect to achieve with floating-point computation.

- 3. The matlab command [V, D] = eig(A) computes eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A. Type help eig for more information. You can compute condition numbers of the eigenvalues by using the command [V, D, s] = condeig(A). Here V and D contain eigenvectors and eigenvalues of A, respectively, and the vector s contains the condition numbers of the eigenvalues, that is, s(j) is the condition number of the eigenvalue D(j,j). Type help condeig for more information. The condition number of  $\lambda$  is defined by cond( $\lambda$ ) :=  $\frac{\|x\| \|y\|}{|y^*x|}$ , where  $Ax = \lambda x$  and  $y^*A = \lambda y^*$ .
  - (a) Consider the Wilkinson's matrix W. It is a 20-by-20 matrix whose diagonal entries are  $20, 19, \ldots, 1$ , supper diagonal (just above diagonals) entries are 20 (fixed for all) and rest of the entries are zero. This matrix can be generated as follows: W = zeros(20); W = diag([20:-1:1]) + diag([20\*]), 1).

What are the eigenvalues of W? Compute condition number of each of the eigenvalues of W. Now perturb W slightly as follows. Set W1 := W and  $W1(20,1) := \epsilon$ . For

- $\epsilon := 7.8 \times 10^{-10}, 7.5 \times 10^{-12}, 7.8 \times 10^{-14},$  compute eigenvalues of W1. Do these eigenvalues satisfy the perturbation bounds  $|\lambda(W) \lambda(W1)| \leq \operatorname{cond}(\lambda)\epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ ?
- (b) Now compute [V, D] = eig(W) and cond(V). Do you observe some sort of relationship between cond(V) and the condition numbers of the eigenvalues of W? Which eigenvalues are most sensitive to perturbations? (look at the results you have computed above)
- (c) Next, for 500 random perturbations  $E_i$  with  $||E_i|| \le 10^{-12}$ , plot (real and imaginary parts) of the eigenvalues of  $W + E_i$  and W (in a single plot). The distribution of eigenvalues illustrate geometrically the sensitivity of the eigenvalues of W.
- (d) The matlab command jordan(A), computes jordan canonical form of a small matrix A with integers entries. Type help jordan for more information. Try to compute jordan canonical forms of W and W1 considered above. What do you observe?

From all the results above, can you conclude that the distance of W from the set of defective matrices is  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-14})$ ? [Exact distance is  $6.13 \times 10^{-14}$ .] As an illustration, compute eigenvalues of W1 for  $\epsilon := 10^{-15}$ . Then W1 is away from defective matrices and so W should have real and simple eigenvalues as W does. Does your experiment confirm this? Do the eigenvalues of W1 now satisfy the perturbation bounds given above?

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*End\*\*\*\*\*\*\*