

## DSE 210 Worksheet 9

Onysya Stus

1. box 9 R marbles, 1 blue  $n=900$  w/o replacement

$$N(np, np(1-p)) \quad N(900 \times 0.9, 900 \times 0.9(0.1)) \quad N(810, 81)$$

5. actual = 0.5 = p

a. poll 100 ppl

$$\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{0.5(0.5)}{100}} = 0.05$$

b. poll 2500 ppl

$$\sqrt{\frac{0.5(0.5)}{2500}} = 0.01$$

9. What matters is the sample size, not the overall pop. size ( $n$  can be 1000).

11.  $n=100$  w/replacement  $\Sigma = 297$

a. est. the avg. # in the box  $\mu = 2.97$

b. not enough information.

## DSE 210 Worksheet 10

1. No, this isn't shown because no values for population are given for 1960 & 1990 so no stats/assumptions can be made

6. 10,000 tossings head 5,400x is the coin biased  $p=0.5$

a. Null hypothesis: The coin is biased

$$b. \mu = 10000 \times 0.5 = 5000 \quad \text{stddev} = \sqrt{10000 \times 0.5 \times 0.5} = 50$$

Alternative hypothesis: The coin is not biased

$$z = \frac{\text{observed} - \text{expected}}{\text{stddev}} = \frac{5400 - 5000}{50} = 8$$

c.  $p < 0.01 \therefore$  reject null hypothesis, the coin is not biased

8. A higher p-value allows you to accept the null hypothesis

10.  $n=1000$  public  $\bar{mrs} = 12.2$  stddev = 10.5

Is the difference btm. these 2 avg. due to chance?

private  $\bar{mrs} = 9.2$  stddev = 9.9

Null hypothesis: The 2 are the same

Alternative: Due to chance

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{10.5}{\sqrt{1000}} \approx 0.33 \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{9.9}{\sqrt{1000}} \approx 0.31 \quad X_2 - X_1 = 0 \quad \mu = 0 \quad \sigma = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} = 0.453$$

$$Z = \frac{12.2 - 9.2}{0.453} = 6.62$$

$p < 0.01 \therefore$  reject null hypothesis, the difference btm. these 2 averages is due to chance