PLAGIARISM

Career Pathway

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19% SIMILARITY INDEX	15% INTERNET SOURCES	5% PUBLICATIONS	13% STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to St. Ignatius High School Student Paper	5%
2	www.numpyninja.com Internet Source	3%
3	Submitted to College of Banking and Financial Studies Student Paper	3%
4	github.com Internet Source	1%
5	www.axelerant.com Internet Source	1%
6	Submitted to California State University, Sacramento Student Paper	1%
7	stackoverflow.com Internet Source	1%
8	Submitted to Asia Pacific International College Student Paper	<1%

9	Submitted to National School of Business Management NSBM, Sri Lanka Student Paper	<1%
10	pdfcoffee.com Internet Source	<1%
11	core.ac.uk Internet Source	<1%
12	ir.jkuat.ac.ke Internet Source	<1%
13	www.coursehero.com Internet Source	<1%
14	www.seleniumframework.com Internet Source	<1%
15	Submitted to University of Calgary Student Paper	<1%
16	code.google.com Internet Source	<1%
17	Submitted to University of Westminster Student Paper	<1%
18	Submitted to University of Newcastle Student Paper	<1%
19	moldstud.com Internet Source	<1%

20	Asaad, Omar. "Citizenpal", Universidade de Coimbra (Portugal), 2024 Publication	<1%
21	Pankaj Jalote. "A Concise Introduction to Software Engineering", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2025 Publication	<1%
22	Submitted to Gitam University Student Paper	<1%
23	www.vskills.in Internet Source	<1%
24	Submitted to Swinburne University of Technology Student Paper	<1%
25	www.researchpublish.com Internet Source	<1%
26	Submitted to Asia Pacific University College of Technology and Innovation (UCTI) Student Paper	<1%
27	Blanco-Oliver Antonio, Lara-Rubio Juan, Irimia-Diéguez Ana, Liébana-Cabanillas Francisco. "Examining user behavior with machine learning for effective mobile peer-to-peer payment adoption", Financial Innovation, 2024 Publication	<1%

| 28 | Submitted to CSU, San Jose State University
Student Paper | <1% |

| 29 | Sufyan bin Uzayr. "Conquering JavaScript - The Practical Handbook", CRC Press, 2023
Publication | <1% |

| 30 | Submitted to University of Warwick
Student Paper | <1% |

| 31 | Submitted to University of Wollongong
Student Paper | <1% |

| 32 | dsmworldian.blogspot.com
Internet Source | <1% |

| 33 | fdocuments.us
Internet Source | <1% |

| 34 | studentsrepo.um.edu.my
Internet Source | <1% |

| 35 | arkajainuniversity.ac.in
Internet Source | <1% |

| 36 | docshare.tips
Internet Source | <1% |

| 37 | dspace.chitkara.edu.in
Internet Source | <1% |

| 38 | riogrande.cs.tcu.edu
Internet Source | <1% |

www.iare.ac.in

39	Internet Source	<1%
40	www.interviewbit.com Internet Source	<1%
41	ir.juit.ac.in:8080 Internet Source	<1%
42	resumecat.com Internet Source	<1%
43	vdocuments.site Internet Source	<1%
44	www.essaysauce.com Internet Source	<1%
45	www.lambdatest.com Internet Source	<1%
46	Guojun Gan. "Data Clustering in C++ - An Object-Oriented Approach", Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2019 Publication	<1%
47	Lucjan Stapp, Adam Roman, Michaël Pilaeten. "ISTQB® Certified Tester Foundation Level", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024 Publication	<1%
48	Submitted to Sim University Student Paper	<1%

49 Sujay Raghavendra. "Java Testing with Selenium", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2024
Publication

<1%

50 eprints.upj.ac.id
Internet Source

<1%

51 repository.cuilahore.edu.pk
Internet Source

<1%

52 www.nakov.com
Internet Source

<1%

53 Zhimin Zhan. "Selenium WebDriver Recipes in C#", 'Springer Science and Business Media LLC', 2015
Internet Source

<1%

9.5.2 PLAGIARISM AI REPORT

Abhidarsh K S

Career Pathway

📋 Quick Submit

🖥 Quick Submit

🎓 Amal Jyothi College of Engineering

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::1:3193808295

Submission Date

Mar 25, 2025, 10:10 AM GMT+5:30

Download Date

Mar 25, 2025, 10:15 AM GMT+5:30

File Name

MainProject_CareerPathway_4.docx

File Size

2.6 MB

92 Pages

13,471 Words

82,988 Characters

*% detected as AI

AI detection includes the possibility of false positives. Although some text in this submission is likely AI generated, scores below the 20% threshold are not surfaced because they have a higher likelihood of false positives.

Caution: Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Disclaimer
Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify writing that is likely AI generated as AI generated and AI paraphrased or likely AI generated and AI paraphrased writing as only AI generated) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Frequently Asked Questions

How should I interpret Turnitin's AI writing percentage and false positives?
The percentage shown in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was either likely AI-generated text from a large-language model or likely AI-generated text that was likely revised using an AI-paraphrase tool or word spinner.

False positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated) are a possibility in AI models.

AI detection scores under 20%, which we do not surface in new reports, have a higher likelihood of false positives. To reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, no score or highlights are attributed and are indicated with an asterisk in the report (*%).

The AI writing percentage should not be the sole basis to determine whether misconduct has occurred. The reviewer/instructor should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in accordance with their school's policies.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?
Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be likely AI-generated will be highlighted in cyan in the submission, and likely AI-generated and then likely AI-paraphrased will be highlighted purple.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.